

Article

On a Generalized Wave Equation with Fractional Dissipation in Non-Local Elasticity

Teodor M. Atanackovic ^{1,*}, Diana Dolicanin Djekic ², Ersin Gilic ³ and Enes Kacapor ³¹ Faculty of Technical Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Trg D. Obradovica 5, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia² Faculty of Technical Sciences, University of Pristina, Knjaza Milosa 7, 38220 Mitrovica, Serbia; dolicanin_d@yahoo.com³ Department of Sciences and Mathematics, State University of Novi Pazar, Vuka Karadzica 9, 36300 Novi Pazar, Serbia; egilic@np.ac.rs (E.G.); ekacapor@np.ac.rs (E.K.)

* Correspondence: atanackovic@uns.ac.rs

Abstract: We analyze wave equation for spatially one-dimensional continuum with constitutive equation of non-local type. The deformation is described by a specially selected strain measure with general fractional derivative of the Riesz type. The form of constitutive equation is assumed to be in strain-driven type, often used in nano-mechanics. The resulting equations are solved in the space of tempered distributions by using the Fourier and Laplace transforms. The properties of the solution are examined and compared with the classical case.

Keywords: wave propagation; general fractional derivative of Riesz type; fractional differential equations

MSC: 74J05; 34K37; 46F12

Citation: Atanackovic, T.M.; Djekic, D.D.; Gilic, E.; Kacapor, E. On a Generalized Wave Equation with Fractional Dissipation in Non-Local Elasticity. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 3850. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math11183850>

Academic Editor: Luis Castro

Received: 8 August 2023

Revised: 4 September 2023

Accepted: 6 September 2023

Published: 8 September 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Differential equations of fractional orders appear in many branches of physics and mechanics. There are numerous solutions to concrete problems collected in the books [1–6], for example. Fractional derivatives (FDs) are non-local operators, and, in most applications in mechanics, fractional derivatives, when the independent coordinate is time, are used to model the dissipation and/or memory in the system. If FDs are used to describe memory, physically they represent the (fading) memory of the system. However, when spatial coordinates are used as independent variables, the fractional derivatives model non-local action. As is well known in nano-materials, the non-local action (see [7]) is an important phenomenon that is used to explain many properties that are characteristic of such materials. In solid mechanics in general, and non-local and nano-mechanics in particular, there are two types of constitutive equations that are used: strain- and stress-driven constitutive equations. In the strain-driven form of constitutive equation, that we use in this work, the stress is determined by action of a non-local operator on strain. In this work we shall formulate relevant equations for the spatially one-dimensional body with a *linear* constitutive equation in a specially selected deformation measure (strain) that is non-local. The use of a general fractional derivative of a displacement field $y(x, t)$ in the form of the so-called *truncated power-law kernel* (see [8]) is the novelty that we propose here. After we formulate the problem in distributional setting, we shall study some special motions, with the emphasis on wave propagation with or without body forces.

2. Mathematical Model

Consider a rod with straight axis. Let x be a coordinate coinciding with the rod axis. Suppose that the rod occupies a part of the space for which $x \in [a, L]$, with $a < L$. We state the definitions of the left and right general fractional derivative (GFD) of the Caputo type

(see [9,10]). Throughout, we assume $0 < \alpha < 1$. The left GFD derivative of the Caputo type of order α is defined as

$$\left({}^C D_x^{\alpha,\lambda} y\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{\exp(-\lambda(x-\tau))y^{(1)}(\tau)}{(x-\tau)^\alpha} d\tau, \quad x \in (a, L), \quad (1)$$

$$\lambda \geq 0,$$

where Γ is Euler's gamma function and y is assumed to be absolutely continuous, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial y(x, t)}{\partial x} \in L^1, \quad t \geq 0.$$

In writing (1) we used specific kernel $\frac{x^{-\alpha} \exp(-\lambda x)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}$, suggested for application in continuum mechanics; see [11], p. 6. The kernel $\frac{x^{-\alpha} \exp(-\lambda x)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}$ is called the truncated power-law kernel and was used earlier for the study of anomalous diffusion in [8] (Equation (2.35)), [12] (Equation (13)) and in [13] (Equation (10)) as a friction kernel for the study of lipid motion in a lipid bilayer system. Similarly, the right GFD derivative of the Caputo type of order α with $0 < \alpha < 1$ is defined as

$$\left({}^C D_L^{\alpha,\lambda} y\right)(x) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_x^L \frac{\exp(-\lambda(\tau-x))y^{(1)}(\tau)}{(\tau-x)^\alpha} d\tau, \quad x \in (a, L), \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda \geq 0.$$

Note that $\left({}^C D_x^{1,\lambda} y\right)(x) = \frac{dy}{dx}$, $\left({}^C D_L^{1,\lambda} y\right)(x) = -\frac{dy}{dx}$. We need the definitions of Riesz-type GFD. Using (1) and (2), we define

$$\overline{D}_x^{\alpha,\lambda} y(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[{}^C D_x^{\alpha,\lambda} y(x) - {}^C D_L^{\alpha,\lambda} y(x) \right]. \quad (3)$$

Equation (3) is written as

$$\overline{D}_x^{\alpha,\lambda} y(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_a^L \frac{\exp(-\lambda|x-\tau|)}{|x-\tau|^\alpha} y^{(1)}(\tau) d\tau. \quad (4)$$

Before we define a new deformation measure, we state the classical strain tensor of linear elasticity (see [14]) that for the three-dimensional body reads

$$E_{ij}(x_k, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3. \quad (5)$$

In (5), we denoted by $u_i(x_j, t)$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ components of the displacement vector with respect to a prescribed Cartesian coordinate system with axes x_j . Also, we use t to denote time. Since here we consider one-dimensional bodies only, (5) becomes

$$E(x, t) = \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x}. \quad (6)$$

Here $u = u_1$ is the only non-zero component of displacement vector. Also, $x = x_1$ is the axis coinciding with the rod axis. Instead of (6), we shall use the following deformation measure

$$\mathcal{E}^{\alpha,\lambda}(x, t) = \overline{D}_x^{\alpha,\lambda} u(x, t). \quad (7)$$

In (7) we used $\overline{D}_x^{\alpha,\lambda}$ defined by (4). Also, the derivatives are taken with respect to x . We assume that the displacement field is absolutely continuous $u(x, t) \in AC(\mathbb{R})$ in the variable x (absolutely continuous, i.e., $\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x} \in L^1, t \geq 0$). In this case, (1) and (2) exist and

$$\mathcal{E}^{\alpha,\lambda}(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_a^L \frac{\exp(-\lambda|x-\tau|)}{|x-\tau|^\alpha} \frac{\partial u(\tau,t)}{\partial \tau} d\tau,$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$ exists too. The deformation measure, or strain, $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha,\lambda}(x,t)$ is non-local. Actually $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha,\lambda}(x,t)$ takes values of the classical strain $\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x}$ at all points of the body, with the weighting function equal to $\frac{\exp(-\lambda|x|)}{|x|^\alpha}$.

Remark 1. For the case of three-dimensional elasticity theory, one can use the recently defined multidimensional generalized Riesz derivative of the Luchko type, presented in [15], to define generalization of the type (7) for the strain tensor (5).

Next we propose the constitutive equation of the rod. We assume that it is given in strain-driven form, so that with (7) the linear stress-deformation measure relation becomes

$$\sigma(x,t) = E_0 \mathcal{E}^{\alpha,\lambda}(x,t), \quad (8)$$

where σ is the stress and E_0 is a constant (generalized modulus of elasticity). We consider several special cases of (8).

- $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha,0}(x,t)$ is the strain measure used in [16];
- $\mathcal{E}^{1,\lambda}(x,t) = \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x}$, since $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \frac{x^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} = \delta(x)$;
- $\mathcal{E}^{0,\lambda}(x,t)$ is the Riesz type of derivative for the Caputo–Fabrizio fractional derivative

$$\mathcal{E}^{0,\lambda}(x,t) = \int_a^L \exp(-\lambda|x-\tau|) \frac{\partial u(\tau,t)}{\partial \tau} d\tau,$$

if we take $\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ and add the constant $\frac{2}{1-\alpha}$ in front [17,18].

Suppose that we assume that the displacement field is given as $u(x,t) = c(t)$, with $c(t)$ being arbitrary. This represents the rigid body motion along the axis of the body. Then

$$\mathcal{E}^{\alpha,\lambda}(x,t) = 0.$$

Therefore, we conclude that the rigid body motion of the rod, i.e., $u(x,t) = c(t)$, with $c(t)$ being arbitrary, leads to zero strain. This shows that $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha,\lambda}(x,t)$ can be used as a strain measure. Now we analyze the general problem of motions that result in strain given by (7), that is equal to zero. To do this, we examine the solution to the equation $\mathcal{E}^{\alpha,\lambda}(x,t) = 0$ in general. We consider

$$\int_a^L \frac{\exp(-\lambda|x-\tau|)}{|x-\tau|^\alpha} \frac{\partial u(\tau,t)}{\partial \tau} d\tau = 0. \quad (9)$$

The next Lemma summarizes the result.

Lemma 1. For $0 < \alpha < 1$ the only solution to (9) for $x \in (a,L)$, $t \geq 0$, is $u(x,t) = c(t)$.

Proof. Let $U(x,t)$ be a function defined as

$$U(x,t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x}, & a < x < L, \\ 0, & x < a \text{ or } x > L, \end{cases} \quad t \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^L \frac{\exp(-\lambda|x-\tau|)}{|x-\tau|^\alpha} \frac{\partial u(\tau,t)}{\partial \tau} d\tau = \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\exp(-\lambda|x-\tau|)}{|x-\tau|^\alpha} \frac{\partial u(\tau,t)}{\partial \tau} d\tau = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\exp(-\lambda|\tau|)}{|\tau|^\alpha} * U(\tau, t) \right)(x, t),$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution. Equation (9) now becomes

$$\left(\frac{\exp(-\lambda|\tau|)}{|\tau|^\alpha} * U(\tau, t) \right)(x, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (10)$$

Since (10) is an equation represented by convolution of two elements from the space of tempered distribution, one of which is with compact support, we analyze (10) in the space of tempered distribution S' . Let $[U(x, t)]$ be a regular distribution defined by $U(x, t)$. $[U(x, t)]$ is with the compact support. Distribution $\left[\frac{\exp(-\lambda|\tau|)}{|\tau|^\alpha} \right]$, defined by $\frac{\exp(-\lambda|\tau|)}{|\tau|^\alpha}$, is in S' . Consequently, the convolution

$$\left[\frac{\exp(-\lambda|\tau|)}{|\tau|^\alpha} \right] * [U(x, t)]$$

exists. We now apply the Fourier transform to (10). Firstly, we have, see [19], p. 346,

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{\exp(-\lambda|\tau|)}{|\tau|^\alpha}\right)(k) = 2i\Gamma(1-\alpha)(\lambda^2 + k^2)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)], \quad (11)$$

where

$$\Theta(k) = \arctan \frac{k}{\lambda}. \quad (12)$$

Next, by using (11) in (10) we obtain

$$\left[2i(\lambda^2 + k^2)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)] \right] \mathcal{F}[U(\tau, t)](k) = 0. \quad (13)$$

Since $\mathcal{F}([U])(k)$ is an entire function in $k, t \geq 0$, from (13) and the uniqueness theorem for the Fourier transform we conclude that $\mathcal{F}(U) = 0$ if $0 < \alpha < 1$, or $\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x} = 0$, a.e. Thus, $u(x, t) = c(t)$. \square

We combine (4) and (11) to obtain

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\overline{D}_x^{\alpha, \lambda} y(x)\right) = 2i|k|(\lambda^2 + k^2)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)] \operatorname{sgn}(k) \mathcal{F}(y)(k), \quad (14)$$

where $\Theta(k)$ is given by (12).

Equation of motion is

$$\frac{\partial \sigma(x, t)}{\partial x} + f(x, t) = \rho \frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial t^2}, \quad (15)$$

where f denotes the prescribed body forces and ρ denotes density. We take body force in the form of friction force, proportional to the Caputo fractional derivative of displacement $u(x, t)$ with respect to time, i.e.,

$$f(x, t) = -\mu {}^C_0 D_t^\beta u(x, t) = -\mu \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-\xi)^\beta} \frac{\partial u(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi} d\xi, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 1, \quad (16)$$

with $\mu \geq 0$. The form of the body force is taken to be proportional to the Caputo fractional derivative of displacement in order to be able to model viscous force $\beta = 1$ and purely elastic resistance force $\beta = 0$. Then, the equation of motion becomes

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = E_0 \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}^{\alpha, \lambda}(x, t)}{\partial x} - \mu {}^C_0 D_t^\beta u(x, t). \quad (17)$$

To (17), we prescribe the following initial condition

$$u(x, 0) = C_1(x), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = C_2(x). \quad (18)$$

Remark 2. Note that

$$\left[{}^C_0 D_x^{\alpha, \lambda} u(x, t) - {}^C_x D_L^{\alpha, \lambda} u(x, t) \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} R^{\alpha, \lambda}(u)(x, t),$$

where

$$R^{\alpha, \lambda}(u)(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u(x-\xi, t) \exp(-\lambda|\xi|)}{|\xi|^\alpha} d\xi \quad (19)$$

is modified Riesz potential (cf. [20]). It reduces to the classical Riesz potential for $\lambda = 0$.

3. Notations

In this Section, we present definitions that we shall use in the sequel. The Fourier transform of a tempered distribution $u \in \mathcal{S}'$ is the tempered distribution $\mathcal{F}u$, that we denote by \hat{u} . It is defined by

$$\langle \mathcal{F}u, \varphi \rangle = \langle u, \mathcal{F}\varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S},$$

where

$$(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(ix\omega) \varphi(x) dx.$$

The inverse Fourier transform is

$$(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-ix\omega) \psi(\omega) d\omega,$$

where $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}$. \mathcal{F} is a homeomorphism of \mathcal{S}' onto \mathcal{S}' . Operations \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} are the inverse of each other; see [21–24].

For the case where $f \in L^1$, f has the classical Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f$. We denote by $[f]$ the regular distribution defined by function f . Then $\mathcal{F}[f] = [\mathcal{F}f]$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}[f]) = [f]$. In the case where $\mathcal{F}f \in L^1$, then $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}f) = f$ a.e. See [23].

Suppose that $f \in L^2$ and consider $F(\omega, a)$ defined as

$$F(\omega, a) = \int_{-a}^a \exp(ix\omega) f(x) dx.$$

Then, as $a \rightarrow \infty$, $F(\omega, a)$ converges in L^2 to a function $F(\omega) \in L^2$ and

$$f(x, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-a}^a \exp(-ix\omega) F(\omega) d\omega,$$

converges in L^2 to $f(x)$. The functions f and F are connected by the formulae

$$F(\omega) = \frac{d}{d\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \frac{\exp(i\omega x) - 1}{ix} dx, \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d}{dx} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\omega) \frac{\exp(-ix\omega) - 1}{(-i\omega)} d\omega, \quad (20)$$

for almost all values of x ; see [25], p. 69. Then, $F(\omega)$ is defined as the Fourier transform of $f \in L^2$. Fourier transform is a linear isometry of L^2 onto L^2 and $\mathcal{F}[f] = [\mathcal{F}f]$ see [23], p. 148 and [22], p. 216.

Let $f \in L^1_{loc}$ and suppose that for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $|f(x)| \leq M|x|^k$, for $|x|$ sufficiently large. Then

$$[f] \in \mathcal{S}', \quad \langle [f], \varphi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}[f], \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}[f], \mathcal{F}\varphi \rangle,$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$; see [23], p. 159 and 202.

From Fubini's theorem, it is easily seen that f holds the following additional condition: $(\mathcal{F}f)(y)(1+|y|^2)^{-k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, belongs to L^1 , then $\mathcal{F}[f] = [\mathcal{F}f]$.

The GFD derivative of the Caputo type of order α , of a function f , $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$, $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ($f(\pm\infty) = 0$) and for $0 < \alpha < 1$, is defined by (1) and (2). The definitions of the order α GFD of the Caputo type (1) and (2) can be extended on \mathcal{S}' as follows. For f , $f(\pm\infty) = 0$, we have (see (14)),

$$\mathcal{F}(\overline{D}_x^{\alpha,\lambda} f(x))(k) = 2i|k|(\lambda^2 + k^2)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)] \operatorname{sgn}(k) \mathcal{F}(f)(k),$$

where $\Theta(k) = \arctan \frac{k}{\lambda}$.

Definition 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ such that

$$2i|k|(\lambda^2 + k^2)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)] \operatorname{sgn}(k) \mathcal{F}f \in \mathcal{S}'.$$

Then, we define

$$\overline{D}_x^{\alpha,\lambda} f(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(2i|k|(\lambda^2 + k^2)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)] \operatorname{sgn}(k) \mathcal{F}f \right). \quad (21)$$

From the definition, it follows that $\overline{D}_x^{\alpha,\lambda} f$ does not exist for every $f \in \mathcal{S}'$. For the case where $f \in AC(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\overline{D}_x^{\alpha,\lambda} [f] = [\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(2i|k|(\lambda^2 + k^2)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)] \operatorname{sgn}(k) \mathcal{F}f \right)] = [\overline{D}_x^{\alpha,\lambda} f].$$

Consequently, using Definition 1 we extended the operator $\overline{D}_x^{\alpha,\lambda} f(\cdot)$ onto \mathcal{S}' and for $f \in AC(\mathbb{R})$ we determine that $\overline{D}_x^{\alpha,\lambda} f(\cdot)$ is a regular distribution.

Let

$$\mathcal{S}'_+ = \{f \in \mathcal{S}', \operatorname{supp} f \subset [0, \infty)\}.$$

The Laplace transform of $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+$ can be defined as

$$(\mathcal{L}f)(s) = (\mathcal{F}f \exp(-\sigma x))(-\omega) = \langle f, \exp(-sx) \rangle,$$

where $s = \sigma + i\omega$ (cf. [22,24]).

4. Solutions to the Cauchy Problem (17), (18)

To write the relevant system of equations in the distributional form, we note that the system of equations in dimensionless form, describing motion of one-dimensional continuum, consists of the equation of motion, the constitutive equation, and the strain definition (geometrical equation) defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and reads

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \frac{\partial^2 h(x, t)}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial \sigma(x, t)}{\partial x} - \mu_0^C D_t^\beta h(x, t), \\ \sigma(x, t) &= E_0 \mathcal{E}^{\alpha,\lambda}(x, t), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \varepsilon(x, t) &= \frac{\partial h(x, t)}{\partial x}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $\sigma(x, t)$, $h(x, t)$, $\varepsilon(x, t)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $t > 0$ denote the stress, displacement, and strain at the point x and time t , respectively. The initial and boundary conditions associated with (22) are

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, 0) &= C_1(x), \quad \partial_t h(x, 0) = C_2(x), \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} h(x, t) &= 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \sigma(x, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Since we will consider the above equation with initial data over $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ (and $x \in \mathbb{R}$), we put for the displacement $u(x, t) = H(t)h(x, t)$, where H is Heviside distribution, and we consider u as a distribution. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(x, t)H(t) + u_0(x) \times \delta(t), \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u(x, t) &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} h(x, t)H(t) + v_0(x) \times \delta(t) + u_0(x) \times \delta'(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $u_0(x) = C_1(x)$, $v_0(x) = C_2(x)$, so that the distributional form to (22) becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2 u(x, t)}{\partial t^2} = B^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{E}^{\alpha, \lambda}(x, t) - b {}^C_0 D_t^\beta u(x, t) + C_1(x) \times \delta'(t) + C_2(x) \times \delta(t),$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and where we used $B^2 = \frac{E_0}{\rho}$ and $b = \frac{\mu}{\rho}$.

The equation in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ which corresponds to (17) and (18) is

$$D_t^2 u = B^2 D_x \overline{D}_x^{\alpha, \lambda} u - b {}^C_0 D_t^\beta u + C_1(x) \times \delta^{(1)}(t) + C_2(x) \times \delta(t), \quad (24)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$, $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\text{supp } u \subset [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$. Also, D_t and D_x denote the partial derivatives in the sense of distributions. We first sought the solutions to (24) that are regular distributions $u = [u(x, t)]$. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Suppose that $C_1(x)$ and $C_2(x)$ have Fourier transforms $\hat{C}_1(\omega)$ and $\hat{C}_2(\omega)$, respectively, such that $(-i\omega)^\alpha \hat{C}_i(\omega) \in \mathcal{S}'$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\hat{C}_i(\omega)$, $i = 1, 2$, are regular distributions or measures. Then the distributions u given by:

- $b > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\hat{C}_1(k) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{b^j \mathcal{K}^{(m-j)}(k) t^{2m-\beta j}}{\Gamma(2m+1-\beta j)} \right) (x, t) \\ &+ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\hat{C}_2(k) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{b^j \mathcal{K}^{(m-j)}(k) t^{2m+1-\beta j}}{\Gamma(2(m+1)-\beta j)} \right) (x, t); \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

- $b = 0$

$$u(x, t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\hat{C}_1(k) \left[\cos(a(|k|)t) \right] \right) + \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\hat{C}_2(k) \left[\frac{\sin(a(|k|)t)}{a(|k|)} \right] \right), \quad (26)$$

with

$$a^2(|k|) = \mathcal{K}(k) = B^2 |k|^2 \left(\lambda^2 + |k|^2 \right)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)],$$

and where

$$\Theta(k) = \arctan \frac{k}{\lambda};$$

- $b \neq 0, \beta = 1$

$$u(x, t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\exp \left(\frac{bt}{2} \right) \left[\hat{C}_1(k) \cos \left(t \sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}} \right) + \frac{\hat{C}_2(k) + \frac{b}{2} \hat{C}_1(k)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}}} \sin \left(t \sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}} \right) \right] \right); \quad (27)$$

are solutions to (24).

Proof. First we look for solutions $u = [u(x, t)]$ that are regular tempered distributions defined by the function $u(x, t)$, $u(\pm\infty, t) = 0$, $t \geq 0$. By applying the Fourier and Laplace transforms to (24) (cf. Section 3), we obtain

$$s^2(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}u)(k, s) = -B^2k^2(\lambda^2 + k^2)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)]\mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}u - bs^\beta \mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}u + \hat{C}_1(k)s + \hat{C}_2(k), \quad (28)$$

where $\hat{C}_i(k) = \mathcal{F}C_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Consequently,

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}u(k, s) = \frac{\hat{C}_1(k)s + \hat{C}_2(k)}{s^2 + bs^\beta + B^2k^2(\lambda^2 + k^2)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)]}. \quad (29)$$

We write (29) as

$$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}u(k, s) = \frac{\hat{C}_1(k)s + \hat{C}_2(k)}{s^2 + bs^\beta + \mathcal{K}(k)}, \quad (30)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}(k) = B^2k^2(\lambda^2 + k^2)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)].$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{K}(k) \geq 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (31)$$

The inverse Laplace transform of (30), with (31), is given in [26], Equation (38), and reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}u(k, t) &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{\hat{C}_1(k)s + \hat{C}_2(k)}{s^2 + bs^\beta + \mathcal{K}(k)} \right] (k, t) = \\ &= \hat{C}_1(k) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{b^j \mathcal{K}^{(m-j)}(k) t^{2m-\beta j}}{\Gamma(2m+1-\beta j)} + \\ &+ \hat{C}_2(k) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \frac{b^j \mathcal{K}^{(m-j)}(k) t^{2m+1-\beta j}}{\Gamma(2(m+1)-\beta j)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (25). To obtain other forms of the solution, we consider the following special cases:

- Let $b = 0$. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{F}u(k, t) = \hat{C}_1(k) \left[\cos(a(|k|)t) \right] + \hat{C}_2(k) \left[\frac{\sin(a(|k|)t)}{a(|k|)} \right],$$

with

$$a^2(|k|) = \mathcal{K}(k) = B^2|k|^2(\lambda^2 + |k|^2)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)],$$

where $\Theta = \arctan \frac{k}{\lambda}$. Now the solution corresponding to $b = 0$, becomes

$$u(x, t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\hat{C}_1(k) \left[\cos(a(|k|)t) \right] \right) + \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\hat{C}_2(k) \left[\frac{\sin(a(|k|)t)}{a(|k|)} \right] \right). \quad (32)$$

Since D_t^2 and D_x exist for every tempered distribution, the distribution u given by (32) is a solution to (24) if and only if $(-i\omega)^\alpha (\mathcal{F}u)(\omega) \in \mathcal{S}'$. This follows from Definition 1 and the fact that the functions $\cos(a(|k|)t)$ and $\sin(a(|k|)t)$ are bound on \mathbb{R} .

- Let $b > 0$, $\beta = 1$. In this case, we have

$$\mathcal{LF}(u)(k, s) = \frac{\hat{C}_1(k)s + \hat{C}_2(k)}{s^2 + bs + \mathcal{K}(k)}. \quad (33)$$

The inverse Laplace transform of (33) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(u)(k, t) &= \exp\left(\frac{bt}{2}\right) \left[\hat{C}_1(k) \cos\left(t\sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\hat{C}_2(k) + \frac{b}{2}\hat{C}_1(k)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}}} \sin\left(t\sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-ixk) \exp\left(\frac{bt}{2}\right) \left[\hat{C}_1(k) \cos\left(t\sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\hat{C}_2(k) + \frac{b}{2}\hat{C}_1(k)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}}} \sin\left(t\sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}}\right) \right] dk. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Note that when $\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4} < 0$, we have in (35)

$$\begin{aligned} \cos\left(t\sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}}\right) &= \cosh\left(t\sqrt{\frac{b^2}{4} - \mathcal{K}(k)}\right), \\ \frac{\sin\left(t\sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{b^2}{4} - \mathcal{K}(k)}} \sinh\left(t\sqrt{\frac{b^2}{4} - \mathcal{K}(k)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So, theorem is proved. \square

Remark 3. Suppose that $b = \lambda = 0$. In this case, we have

$$\mathcal{K}(k) = B^2 |k|^{1+\alpha} \cos\left[(1-\alpha)\frac{\pi}{2}\right] = B|k|^{1+\alpha} \sin\frac{\alpha\pi}{2},$$

so that

$$\mathcal{LF}u = \frac{\hat{C}_1(k)s + \hat{C}_2(k)}{s^2 + B^2 |k|^{1+\alpha} \sin\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}}.$$

We have this case treated in [16]. The inverse Laplace transform gives

$$\mathcal{F}u = \hat{C}_1(k) \left[\cos(|k|^\gamma at) \right] + \hat{C}_2(k) \left[\frac{\sin(|k|^\gamma at)}{a|k|^\gamma} \right], \quad (36)$$

where $a^2 = B^2 \sin\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$ and $\gamma = \frac{1+\alpha}{2}$; see [27], p. 171. From (36), it follows that \hat{C}_i , $i = 1, 2$, could only be a regular distribution or a measure (distribution of order zero) because the products in the both two addends of (36) have to exist. Finally,

$$u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\hat{C}_1(k)\left[\cos(|k|^{\gamma}at)\right]\right) + \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\hat{C}_2(k)\left[\frac{\sin(|k|^{\gamma}at)}{a|k|^{\gamma}}\right]\right), \quad (37)$$

which is the result presented in [16].

5. Numerical Examples

(A) As a first specific example, we take generalization of the problem treated in [16]. Let $b = 0$ and

$$C_1(x) = \frac{1}{x^2 + d^2}, \quad C_2(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$\hat{C}_1(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{d} \exp(-d|k|), \quad d > 0,$$

so that (32) becomes

$$u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\pi}{d} \exp(-d|k|)\left[\cos(a(|k|)t)\right]\right), \quad (38)$$

where

$$a(|k|) = \left[B^2|k|^2\left(\lambda + |k|^2\right)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)]\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \Theta = \arctan \frac{k}{\lambda}.$$

Since $\hat{u}(\omega, t)$ is even, we have from (38)

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{d} \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-d|k|) \cos(xk) \cos\left([B^2|k|^2(\lambda + |k|^2)^{-\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \cos[(1-\alpha)\Theta(k)]]^{\frac{1}{2}} t\right) dk. \quad (39)$$

In the Figures 1 and 2 we show solution given by (39) for the same set of parameters except for values of λ . It is seen that increase in λ leads to a decrease in the amplitude of the propagating wave. However, an increase in λ leads to the increase in speed of propagation of the maximum of the wave. This is a rather unexpected effect of λ .

(B) As a second example we take $C_1(x) = \delta(x)$, $C_2(x) = 0$, for $\alpha = 1$, $b = 0$. Since $\mathcal{F}(\delta)(k) = 1$, the Equation (32) becomes

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(xk) \cos(B|k|t) dk, \quad (40)$$

or

$$u(x, t) = \delta(x - Bt). \quad (41)$$

Equation (41) shows that in this case we have the classical wave equation with the speed of propagation B .

(C) Next, we take $b = 1$, $\alpha = 0.1$, $\lambda = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.1$ and $C_1(x) = \delta(x)$, $C_2(x) = 0$. By solving (25), we obtain the result shown in Figure 3.

(D) Finally we present the solution to (35). We take $B = 1$, $C_1(x) = \delta(x)$, $C_2(x) = 0$, for $\alpha = 0.1$, $b = 1$, $\lambda = 0.1$, $\beta = 1$. Since $\mathcal{F}(\delta)(k) = 1$, the Equation (35) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-ixk) \exp\left(\frac{bt}{2}\right) \left[\cos\left(t\sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\frac{b}{2}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}}} \sin\left(t\sqrt{\mathcal{K}(k) - \frac{b^2}{4}}\right) \right] dk. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

From the Figures 3 and 4, we conclude that, for the case where other parameters have fixed values, the order of fractional derivative that models external dissipation β has small

influence on the waves at the beginning of motion. It decreases the amplitude of waves for larger times.




Figure 1. Solution (39) at three time instants for $B = 1$, $\alpha = 0.1$, $b = 0$, and $\lambda = 1 \times 10^{-4}$.




Figure 2. Solution (39) at three time instants for $B = 1$, $\alpha = 0.1$, $b = 0$, and $\lambda = 1$.




Figure 3. Solution (25) at three time instants for $B = 1$, $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.1$, $b = 1$, and $\lambda = 0.1$.




Figure 4. Solution (35) at three time instants for $B = 1$, $\alpha = 0.1$, $b = 1$, $\beta = 1$, and $\lambda = 0.1$.

6. Conclusions

In this work, we formulated a new wave equation with non-local action with fractional type of dissipation (24). The solution to the equation is given for several special cases. Our main conclusions are:

1. We introduced a new measure of deformation, generalizing the classical one-dimensional strain. It is non-local and contains two parameters, α and λ . In the special case $\lambda = 0$, it is reduced to classical or the strain measure or the generalized strain measure used in [16]. We note that a similar formalism was used in [28] in the context of classical particle mechanics, i.e., a finite number of degrees of freedom.
2. The solution is a regular distribution. The explicit form of the solution is given with (25)–(27).
3. The obtained solution shows dissipation in the sense that amplitude is decreasing. However, there is no periodicity/quasiperiodicity of the solution. This is explained by the known property of the fractional derivative: the fractional derivative of a periodic function is not periodic; see [29,30].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, T.M.A. and D.D.D.; methodology, T.M.A. and E.K.; software, E.G. and E.K.; formal analysis, T.M.A., D.D.D., E.G. and E.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by State University of Novi Pazar.

Acknowledgments: Faculty of Technical Sciences, University of Novi Sad, supported T.M.A.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Oldham, K.B.; Spanier, J. *The Fractional Calculus*; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 1974.
2. Miller, K.S.; Ross, B. *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 1993.
3. Gorenflo, R.; Mainardi, F. Fractional Calculus, Integral and Differential Equations of Fractional Order. In *Fractional Calculus in Continuum Mechanics*; Carpinteri, A., Mainardi, F., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1977; pp. 223–276.
4. Samko, S.G.; Kilbas, A.A.; Marichev, O.I. *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives*; Gordon and Breach: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1993.
5. Podlubny, I. *Fractional Differential Equations*; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 1999.
6. Kilbas, A.A.; Srivastava, H.M.; Trujillo, J.I. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2006.
7. Lazopoulos, K.A. Non-local Continuum Mechanics and Fractional Calculus. *Mech. Res. Commun.* **2006**, *33*, 753–757. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Sandev, T.; Chechkin, A.; Kantz, H.; Metzler, R. Diffusion and Fokker-Planck-Smoluchowski equations with Generalized memory kernel. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **2015**, *18*, 1006–1038. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Tarasov, V.E. General Fractional Dynamics. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1464. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

10. Diethelm, K.; Kiryakova, V.; Luchko, Y.; Tenreiro Machado, J.A.; Tarasov, T.E. Trends, directions for further research, and some open problems of fractional calculus. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **2022**, *107*, 3245–3270. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Tarasov, V.E. General Non-Local Continuum Mechanics: Derivation of Balance Equations. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 1427. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Sandev, T.; Sokolov, I.M.; Metzler, R.; Chechkin, A. Beyond monofractional kinetics. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **2017**, *102*, 210–217. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Molina-Garcia, D.; Sandev, T.; Safdari, H.; Pagnini, G.; Chechkin, A.; Metzler, R. Crossover from anomalous to normal diffusion: Truncated power-law noise correlations and applications to dynamics in lipid bilayers. *New J. Phys.* **2018**, *20*, 103027. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Atanackovic, T.M.; Guran, A. *Theory of Elasticity for Scientists and Engineers*; Birkhauser: Boston, MA, USA, 2000.
15. Tarasov, V.E. General Fractional Calculus in Multi-Dimensional Space: Riesz Form. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 1651. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Atanackovic, T.M.; Stankovic, B. Generalized Wave Equation in Non-local Elasticity. *Acta Mech.* **2009**, *208*, 1–10. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Caputo, M.; Fabrizio, M. A New Definition of Fractional Derivative without Singular Kernel. *Prog. Fract. Differ. Appl.* **2015**, *1*, 73–85.
18. Atanackovic, T.M.; Pilipovic, S.; Zorica, D. Properties of the Caputo–Fabrizio Fractional Derivative and Its Distributional Settings. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **2018**, *21*, 29–44. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Gradshteyn, I.S.; Ryzhik, I.M. *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*; Academic Press: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2007.
20. Stein, E.M. *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 1970.
21. Schwartz, L. *Théorie des Distributions*; Hermann: Paris, France, 1957.
22. Schwartz, L. *Méthodes Mathématiques pour les Sciences Physiques*; Hermann: Paris, France, 1961.
23. Szmydt, Z. *Fourier Transformation and Linear Differential Equations*; Reidel Publishing Company: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1977.
24. Vladimirov, V.S. *Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics*; Mir Publishers: Moscow, Russia, 1979.
25. Titchmarsh, E.C. *Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals*; Clarendon Press: Oxford, UK, 1948.
26. Baclic, B.S.; Atanackovic, T.M. Stability and Creep of a Fractional Derivative Order Viscoelastic Rod. *Bulletin* **2000**, *25*, 115–131.
27. Magnus, W.; Oberhettinger, F. *Formeln und Sätze für die Speziellen Funktionen der Mathematischen Physik*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1948.
28. Klimek, M. Fractional Sequential Mechanics—Models with Symmetric Fractional Derivative. *Czechoslov. J. Phys.* **2001**, *51*, 1348–1354. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Area, I.; Losada, J.; Nieto, J.J. On Fractional Derivatives and Primitives of Periodic Functions. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2014**, *2014*, 392598. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Garrappa, R.; Kaslik, E.; Popolizio, M. Evaluation of Fractional Integrals and Derivatives of Elementary Functions: Overview and Tutorial. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 407. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.