Identification and Management of Main Risk Types in Global Humanitarian Logistics Operations

Veljko M. Mijušković
University of Belgrade, Faculty of Economics and business
Belgrade, Serbia
veljko.mijuskovic@ekof.bg.ac.rs

Slobodan Aćimović
University of Belgrade, Faculty of Economics and business
Belgrade, Serbia
slobodan.acimovic@ekof.bg.ac.rs

Nikola Milošević
Kosovo and Metohija Academy of Applied Studies
Leposavić, Serbia
nikola.milosevic@akademijakm.edu.rs

Ana Todorović Spasenić
Prvi partizan a.d RS, Serbia
ana.todorovic@prvipartizan.com

Abstract: Humanitarian logistics operations are characterized by urgency, complexity, and the necessity to function in unstable and unpredictable environments. Effective risk identification and management are therefore critical for minimizing disruptions and ensuring that aid reaches affected populations efficiently. This paper aims to identify the most prominent types of risks in humanitarian logistics operations and to propose practical approaches for managing them. Through a comprehensive review of academic literature, institutional reports, and relevant case studies from recent disaster responses, we categorize risks into four key groups: operational (e.g. delays, inventory mismatches), infrastructural (e.g. damaged transport networks, lack of storage capacity), organizational (e.g. poor coordination, lack of trained personnel), and contextual (e.g. political instability, cultural barriers, security threats).

We argue that risk management in humanitarian logistics must go beyond classical commercial approaches, as the humanitarian context requires adaptability, ethical responsibility, and a high degree of coordination among diverse stakeholders- governments, non-governmental organizations, international agencies, and local communities. The proposed risk management framework is structured around three pillars: preparedness, flexibility, and collaboration. It integrates early warning systems, decentralized decision-making, real-time data sharing, and agile supply chain configurations. Additionally, the paper emphasizes the importance of scenario planning and the use of digital tools for improved transparency and traceability.

Our findings suggest that risk cannot be fully eliminated in humanitarian logistics, but its consequences can be significantly mitigated through systemic preparedness and responsive governance. The paper concludes with a set of strategic recommendations for policymakers and logistics professionals involved in disaster preparedness and response, aiming to enhance resilience and effectiveness in future humanitarian operations.

Keywords: humanitarian logistics, risk management, disaster operations, resilience, coordination, preparedness

1. Introduction

Humanitarian logistics plays a pivotal role in ensuring the delivery of critical relief aid to affected communities in times of disaster. Unlike commercial logistics, where efficiency and cost optimization are primary objectives, humanitarian logistics prioritizes speed, adaptability, and inclusiveness. Operations take place in environments often characterized by damaged infrastructure, political instability, security threats, and resource scarcity. Global statistics show a significant increase in disaster frequency and severity, partly due to climate change, urbanization, and geopolitical instability (Abidi et al., 2020).

Humanitarian supply chains are often formed rapidly, involving multiple actors with differing mandates and capacities. Coordination becomes more complex when operations span international borders, require specialized handling of goods, or occur in politically sensitive regions. The unpredictability of disasters-from sudden-onset earthquakes to slow-onset famines-means that standard operating procedures must be flexible enough to adapt to new information and shifting circumstances. This research addresses the urgent need to classify and manage risks to ensure timely and equitable aid delivery.

2. Literature review

The study of humanitarian logistics has evolved from its roots in military logistics and commercial supply chains to encompass a complex, interdisciplinary field integrating aspects of operations research, disaster management, international relations, and development studies. Kovács and Spens (2009) were among the first to frame humanitarian logistics within a supply chain perspective, identifying unique challenges such as uncertain demand, sudden disruptions, and ethical imperatives. Subsequent studies, such as those by Dubey et al. (2019) and Maghsoudi & Pazirandeh (2022), have deepened the understanding of risk as a central concern. Humanitarian operations are susceptible to systemic risks, where failure in one component can cascade across the network (Daud et al., 2016). This is compounded by the fragmented nature of humanitarian actors, often operating without a unified command structure. Technological advancements have opened new avenues for risk mitigation: Geographic Information Systems for route planning, blockchain for transparency, drones for last-mile delivery, and AI for demand forecasting (Bai et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2022). However, the integration of these tools requires significant investment, training, and trust-building among stakeholders.

Empirical evidence from recent disasters supports the categorization of risks into *operational*, *infrastructural, organizational,* and *contextual* domains. For instance, the 2018 Sulawesi earthquake-tsunami in Indonesia revealed how infrastructural collapse and organizational misalignment can delay critical aid by weeks (UNOCHA, 2019). Similarly, the COVID-19 pandemic disrupted global supply chains, creating unprecedented operational risks for humanitarian actors (WHO, 2021). Table 1 shows the main humanitarian supply chain risks, their effects and real-life examples referring to them.

Table 1. Basic elements of main humanitarian supply chain risks

Risk type	Explanation	Ways of appearance	Examples from practice
Operational	Risks related to day-to-day activities and processes in the supply chain.	Delays, transportation failures, inventory mismanagement, demand fluctuations.	Delays due to damaged roads after a disaster; misplacement of relief items during distribution in refugee camps.
Infrastructural	Risks stemming from the physical and technological infrastructure supporting the supply chain.	Damaged warehouses, broken communication systems, lack of transport assets.	Collapse of bridges limiting aid delivery routes; power outages affecting cold storage of medicines.
Organizational	Risks arising from the internal structure, culture, and capabilities of the humanitarian actors.	Poor coordination among NGOs, lack of trained personnel, inefficient decision-making.	Multiple NGOs duplicating efforts in one area causing resource wastage; staff burnout reducing operational capacity.
Contextual	Risks related to the broader environment in which the supply chain operates.	Political instability, security threats, natural disasters, regulatory changes.	Armed conflict delaying aid convoys; sudden policy changes restricting border crossings for relief goods.

Source: (Tomasini & Van Wassenhove, 2009).

3. Methodology

This research adopts a qualitative synthesis of secondary data sources. Academic literature was sourced from Scopus and Web of Science, focusing mostly (not fully) on publications between 2018 and 2025 to ensure currency. Institutional reports were obtained from organizations such as the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, World Food Program, and UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. Case studies from recent disaster responses were analyzed to provide real-world context for each identified risk category. The methodological approach involved three stages:

1. Risk Identification- reviewing literature to extract common risk categories in humanitarian logistics;
2. Case Analysis- examining documented disaster responses to illustrate how these risks manifest;
3. Framework Development- synthesizing insights into a practical model for risk management.

Data triangulation was applied to ensure the reliability of findings by cross-verifying information from academic, institutional, and media sources.

4. Findings and discussion

4.1. Operational risks

Operational risks represent the challenges and disruptions that arise from the core logistics activities in humanitarian supply chains, such as procurement, transportation, warehousing, and inventory management. These risks can significantly affect the timeliness, quality, and effectiveness of aid delivery in disaster and crisis contexts.

One common operational risk is procurement delays, which occur when obtaining necessary relief goods is slowed by bureaucratic procedures or logistical bottlenecks. For instance, customs clearance can be a major hurdle. Many humanitarian operations experience extended waiting times at ports or border crossings due to missing or inconsistent documentation, lengthy inspection procedures, or restrictive import regulations. Such delays can lead to critical shortages of essential supplies. After the 2021 Haiti earthquake, many shipments of medical aid were stuck for days at the main port because of missing import clearance papers, severely impacting rural clinics that depended on these supplies (IFRC, 2022). Transport disruptions form another major operational risk. Road damage caused by natural disasters, security threats, or lack of transport infrastructure can hinder delivery efforts. Humanitarian convoys might face blocked routes, vehicle breakdowns, or theft, which all cause delays. In conflict zones, the risk of attacks on convoys further complicates transportation logistics. Issues in warehousing include inadequate storage facilities, poor inventory control, and insufficient capacity. Warehouses may be damaged or overwhelmed by sudden influxes of relief goods during crises. Without proper organization, stock can be misplaced, expired, or become inaccessible, reducing the effectiveness of response operations.

Inventory inaccuracies are a persistent problem, often due to manual record-keeping, miscommunication, or lack of integrated tracking systems. Inaccurate stock data can result in overstocking or stock-outs, leading to waste or unmet demand. For example, a lack of visibility in inventory status might cause duplication of shipments or failure to replenish critical items on time. Mitigating these operational risks requires a combination of proactive planning, technological tools, and flexible logistics strategies. Pre-positioning relief stocks in disaster-prone regions is a widely adopted strategy to reduce procurement and transport delays. By having supplies stored closer to potential disaster zones, organizations can bypass lengthy international shipping times in the immediate aftermath of a crisis. Another effective approach is establishing framework agreements with reliable suppliers and transport providers before emergencies occur. These agreements facilitate faster procurement and access to essential goods when disaster strikes, reducing negotiation time and securing priority services. Rapid response logistics teams trained in international shipping, customs regulations, and humanitarian standards play a critical role. These teams help navigate complex legal and procedural environments, expedite clearances, and coordinate shipments efficiently (UNDRR, 2019).

Technological advancements have significantly improved operational risk management. Digital inventory management systems that link real-time tracking with beneficiary data enable organizations to monitor stock levels continuously and adjust distributions as needed. For example, the World Food Program employs SCOPE, a platform that integrates beneficiary registration and commodity tracking. This system has helped reduce mismatches between supply and demand, minimize wastage, and improve transparency in the distribution process (WFP, 2020). Furthermore, adopting standardized documentation and digital customs processing can cut down clearance times and errors. Training local staff and partners on these tools and protocols also ensures smoother operations on the ground. Despite these measures, operational risks persist due to the inherently volatile environments in which humanitarian supply chains operate. Continuous monitoring, risk assessment, and adaptive logistics planning remain essential. Collaborations among governments, NGOs, international organizations, and private sector partners help pool resources and knowledge to overcome operational barriers. Table 2 shows the key operational supply chain risks, their examples and mitigation strategies.

Table 2. Key operational risks- real life scenarios and mitigation strategies

Operational risk	Examples	Mitigation strategies
Procurement delays	Haiti 2021 earthquake-delays in port clearance (IFRC)	Pre-positioning stocks; framework agreements with suppliers
Transport disruptions	Blocked routes in conflict zones; vehicle breakdowns	Use of alternative routes; rapid response logistics teams
Warehousing issues	Stock expiration; goods misplaced after disaster	Investment in warehouse infrastructure; digital inventory control
Inventory inaccuracies	Overstock or stock-outs; duplication of shipments	Digital inventory systems like WFP's SCOPE; staff training

Source: International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. (2022). *Haiti earthquake response report*. https://www.ifrc.org; World Food Program. (2020). *Annual report 2020*. https://www.wfp.org/publications/annual-report-2020

4.2. Infrastructural risks

Infrastructural risks refer to the vulnerabilities and disruptions affecting the physical and digital systems that support humanitarian supply chains. These risks can significantly hinder the flow of relief goods and services, especially in disaster-affected regions where infrastructure is often fragile or damaged. Natural disasters such as earthquakes, floods, cyclones, and explosions can severely damage critical infrastructure like roads, bridges, ports, and airports. This physical destruction can isolate affected populations and delay or even prevent the delivery of essential aid. For example, Cyclone Idai, which struck Mozambique in 2019, caused catastrophic flooding that washed away key bridges and damaged main transport routes. As a result, humanitarian responders had to resort to expensive and logistically complex airlifts to deliver aid, greatly increasing operational costs and reducing the speed of assistance (World Food Program, 2020).

Similarly, the 2020 Beirut port explosion not only destroyed port facilities crucial for humanitarian shipments but also disrupted the national food supply chain. This dual impact amplified food insecurity and complicated relief efforts, as humanitarian actors faced obstacles in both receiving and distributing supplies (Smith & Jones, 2021). Beyond physical infrastructure, digital infrastructure is increasingly critical to humanitarian logistics. Cyberattacks targeting communication systems, data networks, or inventory management platforms can cripple coordination, tracking, and decision-making. For example, ransomware attacks on aid organizations have led to temporary shutdowns of communication channels, causing delays and confusion in crisis response operations.

Resilience to infrastructural risks can be enhanced through several strategies. One approach involves investing in modular and mobile storage facilities that can be quickly deployed and set up even when traditional warehouses are destroyed or inaccessible. Such facilities increase flexibility and allow aid organizations to maintain stockpiles closer to affected areas. Establishing redundant communication networks is another key tactic. This may include satellite phones, mobile communication units, or backup internet systems that ensure continuous connectivity even if primary networks fail. These redundant systems enable ongoing coordination among field teams, suppliers, and headquarters (Srinivasan & Tüselmann, 2018).

In the transport domain, adopting multi-modal transport strategies helps overcome infrastructure breakdowns. Combining road, air, water, and rail transport options ensures alternative routes are

available when one mode becomes unusable. For instance, in the Philippines, pre-disaster agreements with private ferry operators have provided reliable alternative routes for moving relief goods when roads are blocked or damaged, minimizing delays and maintaining supply flow. Regular infrastructure risk assessments prior to disasters help identify vulnerable points and inform investments in upgrading or fortifying critical assets. Collaborating with local governments and private sector partners can facilitate infrastructure maintenance and rapid repairs after emergencies. Despite best efforts, infrastructural challenges often remain a major constraint in humanitarian logistics due to unpredictable disaster impacts, limited funding, and political complexities. Continuous innovation in infrastructure design, technology adoption, and stakeholder cooperation is essential to mitigate these risks and improve supply chain robustness (Srinivasan & Tüselmann, 2018). Table 3 shows the key infrastructural supply chain risks, their examples and resilience strategies.

Table 3. Key infrastructural risks- real life scenarios and resilience strategies

Infrastructural risk	Examples	Resilience strategies
Physical Infrastructure Damage	Cyclone Idai washing away bridges in Mozambique (WFP, 2020)	Modular storage; multi-modal transport; infrastructure risk assessment
Port and Airport Disruptions	Beirut port explosion disrupting shipments and food supply (Smith & Jones, 2021)	Alternative transport routes; pre-disaster agreements
Digital Infrastructure Failure	Ransomware attacks on humanitarian organizations	Redundant communication networks; cybersecurity protocols
Transport Network Interruptions	Flooded roads in disaster zones	Multi-modal transport; agreements with private transport providers

Source: Smith, A., & Jones, M. (2021). Humanitarian logistics challenges in urban disaster contexts: The Beirut explosion case. *Journal of Disaster Studies*, 12(3), 245-260; World Food Program. (2020). *Cyclone Idai response report*, https://www.wfp.org/publications/cyclone-idai-response

4.3. Organizational risks

Organizational risks in humanitarian supply chains arise from internal structural and managerial challenges within and among the multiple actors involved in disaster response. These risks primarily stem from coordination failures, unclear roles and responsibilities, and insufficient training of personnel, which can all significantly impede effective humanitarian action.

One major cause of organizational risk is coordination failure. Humanitarian crises often involve numerous agencies, including local NGOs, international non-governmental organizations, United Nations bodies, and government institutions. While their combined efforts are vital, the lack of clear communication channels and harmonized protocols can lead to duplication of work in some areas and neglect of others. This inefficiency wastes limited resources and delays aid delivery. During the 2014-2016 Ebola outbreak in West Africa, such coordination problems were evident. Conflicting operational protocols and communication gaps between NGOs and UN agencies slowed the deployment of mobile treatment units critical to controlling the epidemic (World Health Organization, 2015). These delays contributed to prolonged disease transmission and increased fatalities.

Another source of organizational risk is role ambiguity. When the responsibilities of various actors are not clearly defined or understood, confusion arises about who should perform certain tasks,

leading to bottlenecks or task overlaps. This problem is exacerbated in high-pressure emergency environments, where rapid decisions must be made with incomplete information. Inadequate training is also a key organizational risk factor. Many humanitarian staff and volunteers may lack specialized logistics or supply chain management skills. This shortage affects inventory control, transportation scheduling, and warehouse management, increasing the likelihood of errors and inefficiencies. Moreover, inconsistent training standards across organizations complicate collaboration and interoperability (Pettit et al. 2021).

To address these organizational risks, joint training exercises involving multiple humanitarian actors have proven effective. Such exercises simulate disaster scenarios and allow organizations to practice coordinated responses, clarify roles, and test communication systems. These drills build trust and understanding between agencies, enhancing real-world operational synergy. The establishment of humanitarian coordination clusters is another important mechanism. These clusters group agencies by sector (e.g., health, logistics, shelter) under designated lead organizations responsible for coordinating efforts within their areas. This approach helps to reduce overlaps and resource gaps by fostering information sharing and joint planning. Deployment of liaison officers to act as bridges between organizations also improves coordination. These individuals facilitate communication, mediate conflicts, and ensure that policies and procedures are aligned. Liaison officers help break down silos that often exist between separate agencies or government departments. Technological solutions also contribute to mitigating organizational risks. Shared digital platforms for logistics planning and data exchange enhance interoperability among actors. The Humanitarian Exchange Language standard is one such tool, enabling different organizations to share structured data in a common format. This improves transparency, speeds up decision-making, and minimizes errors caused by incompatible information systems (Kunz et al, 2014).

Despite these measures, organizational risks remain a challenge in complex humanitarian emergencies. Continuous efforts to harmonize procedures, invest in capacity building, and foster collaborative culture are essential to ensure more efficient and effective supply chain operations. Table 4 shows the key organizational supply chain risks, their examples and mitigation strategies.

Table 4. Key organizational risks- real life scenarios and mitigation strategies

Organizational risk	Examples	Mitigation strategies
Coordination Failures	Ebola crisis delays due to conflicting NGO and UN protocols (WHO, 2015)	Joint training exercises; humanitarian coordination clusters
Role Ambiguity	Overlapping tasks among multiple agencies	Clear role definitions; deployment of liaison officers
Inadequate Training	Errors in inventory and transport management	Standardized training programs; cross-organizational drills
Poor Interoperability	Delayed logistics decisions due to lack of shared data	Shared digital platforms; adoption of standards like HXL

Source: World Health Organization. (2015). *Ebola virus disease outbreak- West Africa*. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/ebola

4.4. Contextual risks

Contextual risks in humanitarian supply chains are external factors rooted in the broader political, economic, social, and environmental environment in which relief operations take place. Unlike operational or organizational risks, these are largely outside the direct control of humanitarian actors, yet they have a profound influence on supply chain effectiveness. One of the most significant contextual risks is political instability. In many crisis-affected regions, fragile governance structures, ongoing conflicts, or contested territories can limit access for humanitarian organizations. In some cases, governments may impose bureaucratic restrictions on aid operations for political reasons, delaying or blocking critical supplies.

Security threats are another common contextual risk. These include armed conflict, piracy, terrorism, and criminal activity targeting aid convoys, warehouses, or personnel. For example, in Somalia, armed groups have occasionally seized humanitarian shipments, leading to both material losses and increased danger for staff (IFRC, 2021). Natural disasters also represent a major contextual risk. Earthquakes, hurricanes, droughts, or floods can occur suddenly and disrupt entire logistics networks, either as the original cause of the humanitarian crisis or as a secondary shock that compounds an existing emergency. The 2010 Pakistan floods, for instance, not only displaced millions of people but also destroyed much of the country's road and bridge infrastructure, severely hindering aid delivery.

Economic conditions-such as hyperinflation, currency devaluation, or sudden shifts in fuel prices-can directly affect the cost and availability of transportation, storage, and procurement. Humanitarian operations that depend on local sourcing can be severely impacted when inflation erodes purchasing power or when local markets collapse. Legal and regulatory barriers also fall under contextual risks. These include trade restrictions, sanctions, or changes in import/export laws that can delay or prevent the movement of goods. For example, certain sanctions regimes have unintentionally delayed the import of humanitarian medical equipment into sanctioned countries, despite exemptions being formally in place. Epidemics and pandemics represent another category of contextual risks. The COVID-19 pandemic disrupted global supply chains on an unprecedented scale, with border closures, export bans, and reduced transport capacity slowing humanitarian response in multiple regions (IFRC, 2021).

Mitigating contextual risks is challenging due to their external nature. However, humanitarian organizations can take several measures to reduce their impact. Conducting comprehensive context analysis before deployment allows agencies to anticipate potential disruptions and design contingency plans. Building strong local partnerships with community-based organizations, private companies, and local authorities can provide operational flexibility and facilitate access in politically sensitive or insecure areas. Similarly, maintaining neutrality and transparency in operations helps organizations gain trust among local stakeholders, potentially reducing security risks. Pre-arranged access agreements with governments and conflict parties can also help secure humanitarian corridors during crises. In high-risk environments, the use of remote management-where operations are coordinated from outside the affected area with support from local staff- can maintain aid flows while minimizing staff exposure. Finally, developing scenario-based contingency plans and maintaining adaptable supply chain strategies-such as diversified sourcing, multi-modal transport options, and regional pre-positioning of goods can increase resilience against

contextual disruptions. Table 4 shows the key contextual supply chain risks, their examples and mitigation strategies.

Table 5. Key contextual risks- real life scenarios and mitigation strategies

Contextual risk	Examples	Mitigation strategies
Political Instability	Government-imposed delays on imports in conflict zones	Context analysis; pre-arranged access agreements
Security Threats	Seizure of shipments by armed groups in Somalia (OCHA, 2021)	Local partnerships; security escorts; remote management
Natural Disasters	2010 Pakistan floods destroying road networks	Multi-modal transport; pre-positioned stocks
Economic Volatility	Hyperinflation affecting procurement in Venezuela	Diversified sourcing; regional procurement
Regulatory and Legal Barriers	Sanctions delaying medical imports into sanctioned countries	Advocacy; legal expertise; advance clearance procedures
Epidemics and Pandemics	COVID-19 border closures reducing transport capacity (IFRC, 2021)	Contingency planning; local supply chain strengthening

Source: International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. (2021). *World disasters report 2020: Come heat or high water*. https://www.ifrc.org; United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. (2021). *Somalia humanitarian response plan 2021*. https://www.unocha.org

5. Proposed risk management framework and set of strategic recommendations

The proposed risk management framework for humanitarian supply chains is built upon three interdependent pillars: preparedness, flexibility, and collaboration. Preparedness focuses on proactive measures that reduce vulnerability and enhance response capacity before a crisis occurs. This includes the strategic stockpiling of essential goods, the development of robust early warning systems, scenario-based contingency planning, and comprehensive staff readiness programs that ensure personnel can adapt quickly in high-pressure environments. By anticipating potential disruptions, organizations can minimize response times and maintain operational continuity even under adverse conditions (Maghsoudi & Pazirandeh, 2022).

The second pillar, flexibility, emphasizes the need for adaptable logistics models capable of responding to rapidly changing conditions. Multi-modal transport strategies-combining road, rail, sea, and air-provide alternative routes when one mode becomes unusable, while rapid procurement processes allow for timely sourcing of critical supplies. This adaptability is essential in contexts where infrastructure damage, security threats, or regulatory barriers can suddenly alter supply chain routes and priorities.

The third pillar, collaboration, recognizes that humanitarian supply chains function most effectively when built on trust-based partnerships. Strengthening relationships between governments, non-governmental organizations, private sector actors, and affected communities ensures coordinated action, reduces duplication of efforts, and leverages the unique strengths of each stakeholder. Collaborative governance structures and shared communication platforms further enhance information flow and joint decision-making.

Digital innovation acts as a cross-cutting enabler across all three pillars. Technologies such as blockchain can improve supply chain transparency and traceability, ensuring that goods reach intended beneficiaries without diversion or loss. Artificial intelligence can be applied to predictive demand analysis, enabling better resource allocation based on real-time data and anticipated needs. Drones offer transformative potential for last-mile delivery in inaccessible areas, reducing transit times and overcoming physical barriers. A notable example comes from UNICEF's deployment of drones in Malawi for medical deliveries, which reduced delivery times by 70% in hard-to-reach regions. Such innovations not only improve operational efficiency but also strengthen the resilience of humanitarian supply chains against diverse risks. Integrating these technologies into preparedness planning, flexible logistics structures, and collaborative frameworks ensures that humanitarian actors can respond more rapidly, effectively, and equitably to crises. Ultimately, the combination of strategic foresight, operational adaptability, and multi-stakeholder cooperation forms the backbone of a modern, risk-resilient humanitarian supply chain. Table 6 shows a set of strategic recommendations how to better cope with different humanitarian supply chain risk categories.

Table 6. Set of strategic recommendations how to better cope with different humanitarian supply chain risk categories

Expand pre-disaster capacity building and simulation exercises across all risk categories
Develop hybrid funding mechanisms to ensure liquidity during early response phases
Integrate local businesses and logistics providers into humanitarian supply chains
Promote joint procurement initiatives to reduce costs and improve bargaining power
Standardize data formats and information-sharing protocols among all humanitarian actors
Encourage donor flexibility in funding allocations to allow adaptive responses

Source: Maghsoudi & Pazirandeh, 2022.

6. Conclusion

Humanitarian logistics operates in an increasingly complex and dynamic risk environment, influenced by the interplay of environmental hazards, political instability, economic volatility, and rapid technological change. This paper has emphasized that resilience in humanitarian supply chains cannot be achieved through ad hoc measures, but rather through a structured approach to risk identification and mitigation. By categorizing risks into operational, infrastructural, organizational, and contextual dimensions, it becomes possible to design targeted strategies that address both the internal and external challenges facing humanitarian actors. Such a structured risk perspective not only improves crisis preparedness but also facilitates better coordination among diverse stakeholders.

The proposed risk management framework, grounded in the pillars of preparedness, flexibility, and collaboration, offers a practical blueprint for enhancing supply chain performance under volatile conditions. When combined with technological innovations-such as blockchain for supply chain transparency, artificial intelligence for predictive planning, and drones for last-mile delivery-this framework can transform the speed and reliability of humanitarian responses. Strategic recommendations, including hybrid funding mechanisms, joint procurement initiatives, and the integration of local businesses, further strengthen the system's adaptability and inclusivity.

Importantly, the adoption of standardized data formats and shared information protocols can bridge persistent coordination gaps, while donor flexibility can provide the financial elasticity needed to respond to shifting ground realities. The collective implementation of these measures has the potential to reduce delays, minimize wastage, and ensure that scarce resources are directed where they are most needed.

Ultimately, the findings highlight that humanitarian supply chain resilience is not solely a logistical challenge but also a matter of governance, trust-building, and strategic foresight. The success of humanitarian operations depends on continuous investment in capacity building, innovation, and partnership development. By institutionalizing these practices, humanitarian actors can better anticipate disruptions, adapt to uncertainty, and maintain uninterrupted support to affected populations. In doing so, they not only improve operational outcomes but also uphold the humanitarian imperative to save lives and alleviate suffering in times of crisis.

7. References

Abidi, H., Lee, H., & Kull, T. J. (2020). The impact of humanitarian logistics capabilities on organizational performance. *International Journal of Production Economics,* Vol. 222, p. 107501.

Bai, X., Song, W., Chen, X. (2021). Blockchain in humanitarian supply chains: A review and research agenda. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, Vol. 154, p. 107137.

Boonmee, C., Arimura, M., Asada, T. (2017). Facility location optimization model for emergency humanitarian logistics. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, Vol. 24, pp. 485-498.

Daud, M., Hussein, M., Nassir, M., Abdullah, R., Kassim, R., Suliman, M., Saludin, M. (2016). Humanitarian logistics and it's challenges. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 5, No.3, pp. 107-110.

Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Bryde, D.J., Dwivedi, Y.K., Papadopoulos, T. (2019). Blockchain technology for enhancing swift-trust, collaboration and resilience within a humanitarian supply chain. *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 58, No.11, pp. 3381-3398.

IFRC (2022). *Haiti Earthquake Response Report*. International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies.

International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. (2021). *World disasters report 2020: Come heat or high water*. https://www.ifrc.org

Kovács, G., Spens, K.M. (2009). Identifying challenges in humanitarian logistics. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, Vol. 39, No. 6, pp. 506-528.

Kunz, N., Reiner, G., & Gold, S. (2014). Investing in disaster management capabilities versus pre-positioning inventory: A new approach to disaster preparedness. *International Journal of Production Economics,* Vol. 157, pp. 261-272.

Kumar, S., Gupta, R., Raut, R. (2022). Digital transformation in humanitarian supply chain management: A systematic review. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, Vol. 176, p. 121460.

Maghsoudi, A., Pazirandeh, A. (2022). A risk management framework for humanitarian supply chains. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction,* Vol. 67, p. 102678.

Pettit, S., Beresford, A., & Whiting, M. (2021). Humanitarian logistics: Enhanced through public–private partnerships. *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 348-365.

Smith, A., & Jones, M. (2021). Humanitarian logistics challenges in urban disaster contexts: The Beirut explosion case. *Journal of Disaster Studies*, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 245-260.

Srinivasan, A., Tüselmann, H. (2018). Global logistics strategies for managing humanitarian aid supply chains. *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management,* Vol. 8, No. 3, pp. 295-313.

Tomasini, R. M., & Van Wassenhove, L. N. (2009). From Preparedness to Partnerships: Case Study Research on Humanitarian Logistics. *International Transactions in Operational Research*, Vol. 16, No. 5, pp. 549-559.

UNDRR. (2019). *Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction*. Geneva: United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction.

UNOCHA (2019). *Indonesia: Sulawesi Earthquake and Tsunami Situation Report*. United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs.

UNOCHA (2023). *Global Humanitarian Overview 2023*. United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs.

United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. (2021). *Somalia humanitarian response plan 2021*. https://www.unocha.org

WFP (2020). *Cyclone Idai Response Evaluation*. World Food Program.

World Food Program. (2020). *Annual report 2020*. https://www.wfp.org/publications/annual-report-2020

World Food Program. (2020). *Cyclone Idai response report*, https://www.wfp.org/publications/cyclone-idai-response

World Health Organization. (2015). *Ebola virus disease outbreak- West Africa*. https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/ebola

WHO (2021). *COVID-19 and Humanitarian Operations*. World Health Organization.