UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY AND METALLURGY

Hana Elshaflu

# SPECTROSCOPIC AND ELECTROCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION, QUANTUM MECHANICAL STUDY AND BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY OF 1,3-SELENAZOL-2-YL-HYDRAZONES, 1,3-THIAZOLE-2-YL-HYDRAZONES AND THEIR COMPLEXES WITH COBALT(III)

**Doctoral Dissertation** 

Belgrade, 2018.

UNIVERZITET U BEOGRADU TEHNOLOŠKO-METALURŠKI FAKULTET

Hana Elshaflu

# SPEKTROSKOPSKA I ELEKTROHEMIJSKA KARAKTERIZACIJA, KVANTNOMEHANIČKA STUDIJA I BIOLOŠKA AKTIVNOST 1,3-SELENAZOL-2-IL-HIDRAZONA, 1,3-TIAZOL-2-IL-HIDRAZONA I NJIHOVIH KOMPLEKSA SA KOBALTOM(III)

Doktorskadisertacija

Beograd, 2018.

THESIS ADVISORS:	Dr AleksandarMarinković, associate professor University of Belgrade, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy
	Dr Nenad Filipović, associate professor University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture
FINAL EXAMINING COMMITTEE:	
	Dr Jelena Rogan, associate professor, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy
	Dr SašaDrmanić, associate professor, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy
	Dr Miloš Milčić, associate professor, University
	,,, processor, end

of Belgrade, Faculty of Chemistry

Date:

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah the beneficent, the Merciful first and above all praise be to Allah the Almighty, who has bestowed upon us His Graces of thinking, searching and learning and His blessing in completing this thesis.

I am most grateful to my thesis advisor, Prof. AleksandarMarinkovićfor his guidance and support, encouragement and advice throughout time of my dissertation research. I appreciate all contributions of time, ideas, and funding to make my Ph.D. experience productive and stimulating.

I would like to extend my gratitude to the many people who helped to bring this researchproject to finalization, especially to my family and friends, whoseunlimited devotion help me during this period.

I am also deeply thankful to my Co-supervisor Prof. NenadFilipović and toProf. Jelena Rogan, Prof. SašaDrmanićand Prof. MilošMilčić for their support and knowledge in their area of expertise.

I am also very thankful todr Aleksandra Božić and dr Nevena Prlainović for their kindness and support during my study. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you so much. Spectroscopic and electrochemical characterization, quantum mechanical study and biological activity of 1,3-selenazol-2-yl-hydrazones, 1,3-thiazole-2-ylhydrazones and their complexes with cobalt(III)

### ABSTRACT

In this thesis, series of (1,3-thiazol-2-yl)- and (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones and their Cobalt(III) complexes have been synthesized. All the compounds and complexes have been characterized using elemental analysis, UV-Vis, ATR-FTIR, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy. The crystal structure of the three newly synthesized compounds (HLS<sup>3</sup>, 4-Me, 4-OMe) and six Cobalt(III) complex ((1-3)-S and (1-3)-Se were analyzed using single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD).

The absorption spectra of the investigated compounds were recorded in twenty three solvents of different polarity.Contributions of appropriate substituent- and solventdependent electronic transitions were investigated by the use of linear solvation energy relationships (LSER).The impact of ligandstructural changes, as well as isosteric replacement of sulphur with selenium on electrochemical and electronic absorption features of complexes was explored.

Furthermore, to support the experimental data, density functional theory (DFT) calculations were conducted. Theoretical NMR chemical shifts, the relative energies and natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis are calculated within the DFT approach, while the singlet excited state energies and HOMO-LUMO energy gap were calculated with time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT). The electrophilic f– and nucleophilic f+ Fukui functions are well adapted to describe the electrophile and nucleophile centres in the molecules. It was found that both, substituents and solvents, influence electron density shift, *i.e.* extent of conjugation, and affect intramolecular charge transfer character in the course of excitation.

Additionally, all the compounds and their complexes were tested for biological activities, and they proved as potent antimicrobial, antioxidant and antiproliferative agents, as well as monoamine oxidases (MAO) A/B inhibitors. The results revealed that selenium compounds have a smaller cytotoxicity. Cobalt (III) complex with 2-

hydrazonylthiazoles tested revealed stronger cytotoxic activity on MCF-7 breast cancer cell line, compared to cisplatin.

**Keywords**: Thiazoles; Cobalt(III) complex; X-ray diffraction; Anticancer activity; Three drug combination study; 1,3-Selenazoles;Solvatochromism;UV-Vis; DFT; Fukui functions; Biological activity.

Scientific field: Organic chemistry

Scientific discipline:Organic chemistry

UDC:

# Spektroskopska i elektrohemijska karakterizacija, kvantnomehanička studija i biološka aktivnost 1,3-selenazol-2-il-hidrazona, 1,3-tiazol-2-il-hidrazona i njihovih kompleksa sa kobaltom(III)

#### IZVOD

U ovoj tezi sintetisane su serije (1,3-tiazol-2-il)- i (1,3-selenazol-2-il)hidrazona i njihovi Co (III) kompleksi. Predmet istraživanja je potpuna strukturna i solvatohromna karakterizacija sintetisanih jedinjenja kombinovanjem eksperimentalnih tehnika i kvantno-hemijskih proračuna. Sva jedinjenja i kompleksi okarakterisani su pomoću elementarne analize, UV-Vis, ATR-FTIR, <sup>1</sup>H i <sup>13</sup>C NMR spektroskopije. Kristalna struktura tri novosintetisana jedinjenja (HLS<sup>3</sup>, 4-Me, 4-OMe) i šest Co(III) kompleksa ((1-3)-S and (1-3)-Se) je određena primenom rendgenskestrukturne analize (RSA) na dobijenim monokristalima.

UV-Vis spektralna svojstva detaljno su ispitana u dvadeset tri rastvarača različite polarnosti, a uticaj specifičnih i nespecifičnih interakcija je procenjen primenom principa LSER analize i to Kamlet-Taft-ovim i Catalán-ovim modelom. Ispitan je i uticaj promene strukture liganda, kao i zamena sumpora selenom u strukturi liganda, na elektrohemijska i elektronska apsorpciona svojstva kompleksa.

U cilju potvrde eksperimentalno dobijenih podataka, izvršena su kvantnohemijska izračunavanja. Teorijska NMR pomeranja, relativne energije i stabilnost molekula ispitani su pomoću analize prirodnih vezujućih orbitala (NBO), primenom teorije funkcionala gustine (DFT), dok su energije u pobuđenom stanju kao i razlike HOMO-LUMO energija dobijenje pomoću vremenski zavisne DFT metode (TD-DFT). Elektrofilni f- i nukleofilni f + Fukui funkcije su dobro prilagođeni da opišu elektrofile i nukleofilne centre u molekulima. Optimizovane geometrije u vakumu izračunate su pomoću B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) metode. Utvrđeno je da i supstituenti i rastvarači utiču na promenu gustine elektrona, tj. stepen konjugacije, kao i da utiču na intramolekulski prenos naelektrisanja.

Pored toga, svim sintetisanim jedinjenja i kompleksima testirana je biološka aktivnosti, i pokazali su se kao snažnim antimikrobni, antioksidativni i antiproliferativni agensi, kao i inhibitori monoaminog oksidaza (MAO) A/B. Rezultati su pokazali da jedinjenja sa selenom imaju nižu citotoksičnost.

Co (III) kompleks sa 2-hidrazoniltiazolima testiran na ćelijskoj liniji raka dojke MCF-7, pokazao je snažniju citotoksičnu aktivnosti u poređenju sa cisplatinom (CDDP).

**Ključne reči**: tiazoli; Co (III) kompleks; Rendgenska difrakcija; Antikancer aktivnost; Tri studije o kombinaciji lekova; 1,3-selenazoli; Solvatohromizam; UV-Vis; DFT; Fukui funkcije; Biološka aktivnost.

Naučna oblast: Organska hemija Naučna disciplina: Organska hemija UDK:

# List of abbreviations

<sup>13</sup>C NMR – Carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance

<sup>1</sup>H NMR – Proton nuclear magnetic resonance

2CE-2-Chloroethanol

2ME – 2-Methoxyethanol

2-Pyr-2-Pyrrolidone

A549 – The Human Lung Cell Line

AAPH - 2,2'-Azobis(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride

AcN – Acetonitrile

AOC – Antioxidant Capacity

CDDP-Cisplatin

Chl – Chloroform

CI - Combination Index

CSD – Cambridge Structural Database

CT – Charge Transfer

CV – Cyclic Voltammetry

DCM – Dichloromethane

D<sub>CT</sub> – Charge-Transfer Distance

DFT – Density functional Theory

DMEM – Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's medium

DMF – *N*,*N*-Dimethylformamide

DMSO – Dimethyl sulfoxide

DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> – Deuterated Dimethyl sulfoxide

DNA – Deoxyribonucleic Acid

DPPH –1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl

 $E_{gap}$  – Energy gap between HOMO and LUMO

EtAc - Ethyl acetate

EtOH-Ethanol

F – Formamide

FT-IR –Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy

GC – Glassy Carbon

GGA –Generalized-Gradient Approximation

GIAO – Gauge-Independent Atomic Orbital

HBA -Hydrogen-Bonding Acceptor

HBD –Hydrogen-Bond Donor

HBL-100 - The Human Breast Carcinoma Cell Line

HeLa – The Human Cervical Cancer Cells Line

Hex – Hexane

HOMO – Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital

iBuOH-Isobutanol

ICT –Intramolecular Charge Transfer

iPeOH-3-Methylbutanol

iPrOH – 2-Propanol

LDA –Local Density Approximation)

LMCT - Ligand to Metal Charge Transfer

LSER -Linear Solvation Energy Relationship

LUMO –Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital

MAD – Multiwavelength Anomalus Diffraction

MAO - Monoamine oxidase

MBC - Minimal Bactericidal Concentration

MeOH – Methanol

MIC – Minimal Inhibitory Concentration

 $MS-Mass\ Spectroscopy$ 

MS/MS<sup>n</sup> – Multiple-stage Mass Spectrometry

NBO – Natural Bonding Orbital

NCI – National Cancer Institute

NMP-1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone

NMR –Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

**OD** – Optical Density

ORAC – Oxygen Radical Absorbance Capacity

PBS – Phosphate Saline Buffer

PI – Propidium iodide

Q<sub>CT</sub> – Amount of Transferred Charge

ROS – Reactive Oxygen Species

RSS – Reactive Sulfur Species

RNS – Reactive Nitrogen Species

SCE – Saturated Calomel Electrode

SRB – Sulforhodamine B

SW1537 – The Human Squamous Lung Cancer Cell Line

T-47D – The Human Breast Cancer Cell Line

TAOC – Total Antioxidant Capacity

TBAP – Tetrabytammonium Perchlorate

TCA – Trichloroacetic Acid

TD-DFT - Time Dependant - Density Functional Theory

THF-Tetrahydrofuran

THP-1 – Acute Monocytic Leukemia Cells

TMS-Tetramethyl silane

UV-Vis –Ultraviolet–Visible Spectroscopy

WiDr – The Human Colon Carcinoma Cell Line

XRD – X-ray Diffraction

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Azoles: a) 1,2-azole; b) 1,3-azole
Figure 2.2 1,3-azoles: thiazoel and selenoazole
Figure 2.3 Delocalization of the 1,3-azoles ring
Figure 2.4 Thiazole ring in the structures of various natural products
Figure 2.5 Reactivity of thiazole ring
Figure 2.6 2-amino-4-methyl-1,3-selenazole
Figure 2.7 ORTEP presentation of 2-(2-(Pyridin-2-ylmethylene) hydrazinyl) -4-phenyl-
1,3-thiazole
Figure 2.8 Energy level diagrams with electronic transitions <sup>72</sup>
Figure 2.9 The unit cells with corresponding labels of the crystal family (little letter)
and Bravais lattice (big letter)
Figure 2.10 Graphic view of the <i>Bragg's law</i>
Figure 2.11 Electrochemical cell for voltammetry
Figure 2.12 Details for cyclic voltammetry. (a) One cycle of the triangular potential-
excitation signal showing the initial potential and the switching potential. (b) The
resulting cyclic voltammogram showing the measurement of the peak currents and
peak potentials
Figure 4.12 D NOESY spectrum of HLSe <sup>3</sup> in DMSO- $d_{6}$
Figure 4.2 (a) Perspective view and labeling of the molecular structure of the ligand
HL. Thermal ellipsoids are at the 40% probability level. Selected bond lengths (Å)
and angles (°) with SD's in parentheses: N4–C11, 1.342(2); C10–C11, 1.465(2);
N3-C10, 1.277(2); N2-N3, 1.3604(19); C1-N2, 1.362(2); N1-C1, 1.300(2); S1-
C1, 1.7382(17); S1–C2, 1.7231(19); C2–C3, 1.357(2); C2–S1–C1, 87.88(8); C1–
N1–C3, 109.99(13); N1–C1–N2, 123.79(15); N1–C1–S1, 116.05(12); N2–C1–S1,
120.15(12); N3–N2–C1, 116.98(14); C3–C2–S1, 111.26(13); C10–N3–N2,
117.63(14); N3-C10-C11, 119.78(15). (b) Infinite 1-D chains in the crystal
structure of HL viewed along the <i>a</i> -axis. (c) 2-D supramolecular layers in the
crystal structure of HL viewed along the <i>c</i> -axis
Figure 4.3 Molecular structures of the complexes. Structure of 3-Se is taken as the
representative. Atom numbering scheme is given for one ligand. Atoms
belonging to other ligand are enumerated in analogous way, with suffix B.80
Figure 4.4 Crystal packing in the crystal structure of 3-S viewed along the <i>a</i> -axis. H-
atoms are omitted for clarity
Figure 4.5 Orthogonal nature of ligands coordination seen through chelate planes $\Omega_1 A$
and $\Omega_1 B$
Figure 4.6 Mean planes through selenazole and phenyl rings in the complex 3-Se
<b>Figure 4.7</b> (a) Query used in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) search; (b)
Distribution of dihedral angles, 50 angles (colored in red) out of 500 hits are
greater than $60^{\circ}$

Figure 4.8 Mean planes through phenyl ring of ligand A and chelate plane of ligand B. Figure 4.9 UV/Vis spectra of: (A) ligands HLSe(1–3) and HLS(1–3); (B) Figure 4.10 Representative cyclic voltammograms of selected substances in DMF + 0.1 M TBAP, GC electrode: (A)  $HLSe^3$  (c = 0.44 mM, v = 0.1 V/s). (B) 3-Se (c= 0.22 mM, v = 0.1 V/s). Full amplitude and cathodic sweep direction. (C) 2-Se (c = 0.42 mM) and HLSe<sup>2</sup> (c = 0.46 mM), v = 0.1 V/s. Narrow amplitude, anodic direction. (D) 3-Se (c = 0.22 mM, v = 0.1 V/s). Narrow amplitude and cathodic sweep direction. (E) 2-S (c = 0.40 mM) v = 0.02 and 0.05 V/s. Narrow amplitude and anodic direction. (F) 2-S (c = 0.40 mM, v =Figure 4.11 Time-resolved UV–Vis spectral changes of 3-S in deoxygenated DMF with 0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub> before (red curve) and after applying the first reduction potential -0.5 V. The arrow indicates the evolution. Blue curve corresponds to the spectrum of the first reduced form. Black curve is the spectrum recorded at equilibrium after Figure 4.14 Graphical representation of calculated HOMO, LUMO and HOMO-LUMO Figure 4.15 Graphic representation of calculated HOMO, LUMO and HOMO-LUMO transition of Co(III) complexes with E-1,3-thiazoles and E-1,3-selenazoles as Figure 4.16 Concentration-response curves and  $ED_{50}$  values for 3-S (a)andCDDP (b) on 2-D MCF-7 cell model after 24 h of incubation. Results are presented as percentage of cell death events determined by means of Annexin-V/PI double staining in two independent experiments (open and closed circles) and asymmetric five-parameter sigmoidal curve computed for both replicates in GraphPad Prism Figure 4.17 (a) MCF-7 3-D culture changes in growth and morphology induced by the treatment with either 1 or CDDP added in three concentrations over the 8-day incubation period. Images have been acquired every other day, starting from day 0 on the Celigo imaging cytometer using Celigo software. Scale bar: 500 µm. (b) Changes in growth rate of MCF-7 spheroids treated with either 1 or CDDP applied in concentrations of 100 µM (open circle), 10 µM (full square), and 1 µM (open square), and non-treated control (full circle). Growth rate was determined by means of spheroid area established with Celigo software, and afterwards computed for every other day of the 8-day incubation period by dividing the area on the dayn with the area on the day 0. Results are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD of two replicates of independent experiments. ..... 110 Figure 4.18 (a) MCF-7 3-D culture changes in growth and morphology induced by the treatment with different combinations of 1, CDDP and paclitaxel. Images have been acquired every other day, starting from day 0 on the Celigo imaging cytometer using Celigo software. Scale bar: 500 µm. (b) Changes in growth rate of MCF-7 spheroids treated with combination of CDDP and paclitaxel (open triangle), combination of 1 and paclitaxel (open circle), combination of 1 and CDDP (open square), combination of 1 with CDDP and paclitaxel (closed square), and non-treated control (full circle). Growth rate was determined by means of spheroid area established with Celigo software, and afterwards computed for days 4 and 8 by dividing the area on the day-n with the area on the day 0. Results are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD of two replicates of independent experiments. (c) Type of interactions between drugs in combination treatments expressed by means of Combination index (CI), where CI < 0.9 indicates on synergistic, 0.9 < CI < 1.1indicates on additive, and CI >1.1 indicates on antagonistic type of interaction. Results are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD of two replicates of independent Figure 4.19 ORTEP drawings of the molecular structures of compounds 4-Me (A) and 4-OMe (B) with labeling of non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level and H atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii...... 116 Figure 4.20 Crystal packing diagrams of compound 4-Me (A) and 4-OMe (B)..... 116 Figure 4.21 Structural superposition of 4-Me (vellow) and 4-OMe (red). ..... 118 Figure 4.22 Cyclic voltammograms of compounds 1 and 2 (A) and 3 and 4 (B). ..... 120 Figure 4.23 Full MS/MS spectra of 1-Me (top) and 1-OMe (bottom) ...... 121 Figure 4.24 Full MS/MS spectra of 2-Me (top) and 2-OMe (bottom). ..... 122 Figure 4.25 Common fragmentation paths of compound 1...... 122 Figure 4.26 Common fragmentation paths of 1-OMe. ..... 123 Figure 4.27 Common fragmentation paths of 1-Me. ..... 124 Figure 4.28 Common fragmentation paths of 2, 3 and 4. ..... 126 Figure 4.29 Common fragmentation paths of 2-OMe, 3-OMe and 4-OMe. ..... 127 Figure 4.30 Common fragmentation paths of 2-Me, 3-Me and 4-Me. ..... 129 Figure 4.31 Common fragmentation pathway of 1, 1-OMe and 1-Me...... 130 Figure 4.33 Common fragmentation paths of 2-OMe, 3-OMe and 4-OMe. ..... 132 Figure 4.34 Common fragmentation paths of 2-Me, 3-Me and 4-Me. ..... 133 Figure 4.35 Absorption spectra of benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones in Figure 4.36 Molecular orbital plots and energy levels of the HOMO, the LUMO and HOMO-LUMO transitions of the benzyldene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones 

Figure 7.4 2D HMBC spectrum of HLSe <sup>1</sup> in DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub>	183
<b>Figure 7.5</b> 2D HSQC spectrum of $HLSe^{1}$ in DMSO- $d_{6}$	184
<b>Figure 7.6</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of HLSe <sup>2</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	184
<b>Figure 7.7</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of HLSe <sup>2</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	185
<b>Figure 7.8</b> 2D COSY spectrum of HLSe <sup>2</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	185
<b>Figure 7.9</b> 2D HMBC spectrum of HLSe <sup>2</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	186
Figure 7.10 2D HSQC spectrum of HLSe <sup>2</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	186
<b>Figure 7.11</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of HLSe <sup>3</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	187
<b>Figure 7.12</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of HLSe <sup>3</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	187
Figure 7.13 2D COSY spectrum of HLSe <sup>3</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	188
<b>Figure 7.14</b> 2D HMBC spectrum of HLSe <sup>3</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	188
Figure 7.15 2D HSQC spectrum of HLSe <sup>3</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	189
<b>Figure 7.16</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of HLS <sup>1</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	189
<b>Figure 7.17</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of HLS <sup>1</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	190
<b>Figure 7.18</b> 2D COSY spectrum of $HLS^1$ in DMSO- $d_6$	190
Figure 7.19 2D HMBC spectrum of HLS <sup>1</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	191
<b>Figure 7.20</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of HLS <sup>2</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	191
<b>Figure 7.21</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of HLS <sup>2</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	192
<b>Figure 7.22</b> 2D COSY spectrum of $HLS^2$ in DMSO- $d_6$	192
<b>Figure 7.23</b> 2D HMBC spectrum of $HLS^2$ in DMSO- $d_6$	193
<b>Figure 7.24</b> 2D HSQC spectrum of $HLS^2$ in DMSO- $d_6$	193
<b>Figure 7.25</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of HLS <sup>3</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	194
<b>Figure 7.26</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of HLS <sup>2</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$	194
<b>Figure 7.27</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 1-Sein DMSO- $d_6$	195
<b>Figure 7.28</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 1-Se in DMSO- $d_6$	195
<b>Figure 7.29</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 2-Sein DMSO- $d_6$	196
<b>Figure 7.30</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 2-Sein DMSO- $d_6$	196
<b>Figure 7.31</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 3-Sein DMSO- $d_6$	197
<b>Figure 7.32</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 3-Sein DMSO- $d_6$	197
<b>Figure 7.33</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 1-Sin DMSO- $d_6$	198
<b>Figure 7.34</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 1-Sin DMSO- $d_6$	198
<b>Figure 7.35</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 2-Sin DMSO- $d_6$	199
<b>Figure 7.36</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 2-Sin DMSO- $d_6$	199
<b>Figure 7.37</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 3-Sin DMSO- $d_6$	200
<b>Figure 7.38</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 3-Sin DMSO- $d_6$	200
<b>Figure 7.39</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 1 in DMSO- $d_6$ .	201
<b>Figure 7.40</b> <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 1 in DMSO- $d_6$	201
Figure 7.41 COSY spectrum of 1 in DMSO- <i>d</i> <sub>6</sub>	202
<b>Figure 7.42</b> NOESY spectrum of 1 in DMSO- $d_6$ .	202
Figure 7.43 <sup>1</sup> H $^{-13}$ C HSQC NMR spectrum of 1 in DMSO- $d_6$	203
Figure 7.44 <sup>1</sup> H $^{-13}$ C HMBC NMR spectrum of 1 in DMSO- $d_6$	203
<b>Figure 7.45</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 1-OMe in DMSO- $d_6$	204

Figure 7.46 <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 1-OMe in DMSO- $d_6$	. 204
<b>Figure 7.47</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 1-Me in DMSO- $d_6$ .	. 205
Figure 7.48 <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 1-Me in DMSO- $d_6$ .	. 205
Figure 7.49 <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 2 in DMSO- $d_6$ .	. 206
Figure 7.50 <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 2 in DMSO- $d_{6}$	. 206
<b>Figure 7.51</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 2-OMe in DMSO- $d_6$	. 207
Figure 7.52 <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 2-OMe in DMSO- $d_{6}$	. 207
Figure 7.53 COSY spectrum of 2-OMe in DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> .	. 208
Figure 7.54 NOESY spectrum of 2-OMe in DMSO-d <sub>6</sub>	. 208
Figure 7.55 <sup>1</sup> H $^{-13}$ C HSQC NMR spectrum of 2-OMe in DMSO- $d_6$	. 209
Figure 7.56 <sup>1</sup> H $^{-13}$ C HMBC NMR spectrum of 2-OMe in DMSO- $d_6$	. 209
Figure 7.57 <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 2-Me in DMSO- $d_6$ .	. 210
Figure 7.58 <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 2-Me in DMSO- $d_{6}$	. 210
<b>Figure 7.59</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 3 in DMSO- $d_6$ .	. 211
Figure 7.60 <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 3 in DMSO- $d_6$	. 211
<b>Figure 7.61</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 3-OMe in DMSO- $d_6$	. 212
Figure 7.62 <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 3-OMe in DMSO- $d_{6}$	. 212
Figure 7.63 <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 3-Me in DMSO- $d_6$ .	. 213
Figure 7.64 <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 3-Me in DMSO- $d_6$ .	. 213
Figure 7.65 COSY spectrum of 3-Me in DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> .	. 214
Figure 7.66 NOESY spectrum of 3-Me in DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> .	. 214
Figure 7.67 <sup>1</sup> H $^{-13}$ C HSQC NMR spectrum of 3-Me in DMSO- $d_6$	. 215
Figure 7.68 <sup>1</sup> H $^{-13}$ C HMBC NMR spectrum of 3-Me in DMSO- $d_6$	. 215
<b>Figure 7.69</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 4 in DMSO- $d_6$ .	. 216
Figure 7.70 <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 4 in DMSO- $d_6$	. 216
Figure 7.71 COSY spectrum of 4 in DMSO-d <sub>6</sub>	. 217
Figure 7.72 NOESY spectrum of 4 in DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> .	. 217
Figure 7.73 <sup>1</sup> H $^{-13}$ C HSQC NMR spectrum of 4 in DMSO- $d_6$	. 218
Figure 7.74 <sup>1</sup> H $^{-13}$ C HMBC NMR spectrum of 4 in DMSO- $d_6$	. 218
Figure 7.75 <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 4-OMe in DMSO- $d_6$	. 219
Figure 7.76 <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 4-OMe in DMSO- $d_6$	. 219
<b>Figure 7.77</b> <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectrum of 4-Me in DMSO- $d_6$ .	. 220
Figure 7.78 <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectrum of 4-Me in DMSO- $d_6$ .	. 220
Figure 7.79 Molecular orbital plots and energy levels of the HOMO, the LUMO and	d
HOMO-LUMO transition of the benzylydene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-	
yl)hydrazones in EtOH.	. 221
Figure 7.80 Molecular orbital plots and energy levels of the HOMO, the LUMO and	d
HOMO-LUMO transition of the benzylydene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-	
yl)hydrazones in THF	. 222

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Summary of sample methods used in DFT.         3	33
Table 2.2 Classification of crystals according to the length of the crystallographic axes	3
and the angular size between them with given minimal number of elements of	
symmetry	35
<b>Table 4.1</b> Data collection and refinement parameters for the crystal structures of the	
HLS <sup>3</sup>	77
<b>Table 4.2</b> Crystal packing parameters in the crystal structures of HLS <sup>3</sup>	79
Table 4.3 Crystallographic details HLSe <sup>1-3</sup> 8	81
Table 4.4 Crystallographic details HLS <sup>1-3</sup> 8	82
Table 4.5 Crystal packing parameters in the crystal structures 3-S	84
Table 4.6 Selected bond lengths (Å) for the complexes.       8	86
Table 4.7 Electronic absorption properties and HOMO–LUMO energy gaps of HLSe <sup>1</sup>	-3
and HLS <sup>1–3</sup>	89
Table 4.8 Electronic absorption properties and DFT calculation and assignment of $\lambda_{ma}$	x
(in nm) in DMF for (1–3)-S and (1–3)-Se	90
<b>Table 4.9</b> Voltammetric characteristics of the ligands at $v = 0.10$ V/s.	92
<b>Table 4.10</b> Values of $k^{0}$ for the processes $I_R$ and $II_R$ in solutions of complexes	
calculated according to reference. <sup>34</sup>	93
<b>Table 4.11</b> Voltammetric characteristics of the complexes.*	94
<b>Table 4.12</b> Calculated the relative energy $\Delta E$ (kcal/mol) of <i>E</i> -1,3-thiazoles and <i>E</i> -1,3-	
selenazoles	97
<b>Table 4.13</b> Electrochemical properties and energies of HOMO orbitals of $(1-3)$ -S and	
(1–3)-Se	04
<b>Table 4.14</b> Antibacterial activity of investigated compounds tested by the disc-	
diffusion method	<b>)4</b>
<b>Table 4.15</b> Antifungal activity of investigated compounds tested by the disc-diffusion	
method (inhibition zone size including disc/mm)10	05
<b>Table 4.16</b> LC <sub>50</sub> values of the A. salina cytotoxic activity and IC <sub>50</sub> of the DPPH	
free-radical scavenging activity of investigated compounds	)6
<b>Table 4.17</b> LC <sub>50</sub> values of the <i>A. salina</i> cytotoxic activity and IC <sub>50</sub> of the DPPH	
free-radical scavenging activity of investigated compounds	)7
Table 4.18 Crystallographic data of 4-Me and 4-OMe	15
Table 4.19 Selected experimentally obtained (XRD) and calculated (DFT) bond length	18
(Å) and angles (°) for 4-Me and 4-OMe11	17
Table 4.20 Angles between the selenazole ring least square plane and phenyl rings least	st
square planes 1	18
Table 4.21 Voltammetric characteristics of the the benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2)	,- 
yl)hydrazones <sup>a</sup> 11	19
<b>Table 4.22</b> Absorption maxima shifts, $v_{max}$ (10 <sup>-3</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> ), of investigated (1,3-selenazol-2	,- -
yl)hydrazones in selected solvents	37
	XII

Table 4.23 Results of LSER correlations for investigated benzylidene-based (1,3selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones obtained by the use of the Kamlet–Taft eq (3.4)..... 138 
 Table 4.24 Correlation results of investigated benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2 yl)hydrazones according to Catalán eq. (3.5)..... 140 **Table 4.25** The experimental ( $\lambda_{abs}$ ) and calculated absorption maxima ( $\lambda^*$ ), obtained by the use of TD/DFT method, of (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones in DMSO, EtOH Table 4.26 Calculated energies of the HOMO and LUMO orbitals and energy gap (in eV) for E-(1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazonesin DMSO, EtOH and THF, obtained by **Table 4.27** Selected highest values of the condensed Fukui functions  $(f^+ \text{ and } f^-)$  for unsubstituted (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones, considering DFT/DMSO/NBO charges according to eqs. (3.2) and (3.3)...... 146 **Table 4.28** Selected highest values of the condensed Fukui functions  $(f^+ \text{ and } f^-)$  for the nitro substituted (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones, considering DFT/DMSO/NBO charges according to eqs. (3.2) and (3.3)...... 147 Table 4.29 Antibacterial activity of investigated benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2yl)hydrazones tested by the disc-diffusion method. ..... 148 
 Table 4.30 Antifungal activities of benzylydene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones

 Table 4.31 Antioxidant capacity of investigated benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2 yl)hydrazones and the standard. ..... 150 
 Table 4.32 Antiproliferative activities of benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2 Table 4.33 Monoamine oxidase (MAO) A/B inhibition capacities of benzylidene-based 

 Table 7.1 Solvent parameters used in Kamlet–Taft equation<sup>172,173</sup>.
 167

 Table 7.2 Solvent parameters <sup>22</sup>used in Catalán equation<sup>a</sup>
 168

 Table 7.3 Selected dihedral angles (°) for complexes.
 168

**Table 7.4** Elements of DFT optimized geometries of *E*- and *Z*-isomers of ligands
 Table 7.5 Comparison of average values of the theoretically calculated Co-N bond lengths (in Å) and N–Co–N angles (in °) obtained for the complex 1-S, using two DFT functionals with several basis sets and experimental measured values. ..... 170 Table 7.6 Comparison of average values of the experimental and theoretical calculated Co–N bond lengths (in Å) and N–Co–N angles (in °) obtained for the (1–3)-Se and **Table 7.7** Average values of experimental and calculated chemical shifs ( $\delta$  in ppm), coupling constants (J in Hz) and assignments of the signals in <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR 

Table 7.10 Selected highest values of the condensed Fukui functions (f<sup>+</sup>and f<sup>-</sup>) for the ligands, considering DFT / DMF / NBO charges according to equations (1 and 2).
Table 7.11 Calculated absorption maxima (λ<sup>\*</sup>, nm), oscillator strengths (f) and composition of bands of benzylydene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones in

# CONTENTS

ABST	TRACT	I
LIST (	OF FIGURES	VII
LIST (	OF TABLES	XII
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	THEORETICAL PARTS	4
2.1 yl-h	Structure and classification of 1,3-selenoazol-2-yl-hydrazones, 1,3-thi hydrazones	azole-2- 4
2.1.1	Azoles	4
2.1.2	1,3-Thiazoles	8
2.1.3	1,3-selenazoles	13
2.1.4	(1,3-thiazol-2-yl)hydrazones and (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones	17
2.2	Linear solvation energy relationship (LSER)	25
2.2.1	Solvatochromism of organic molecules	25
2.2.2	Solvent effect - multi-parameter approach	26
2.3	Density Functional Theory (DFT)	
2.3.1	Fundamental aspects of DFT	29
2.3.2	Methods in DFT	31
2.3.3	Application of DFT	33
2.4	Introduction to X-ray crystallography <sup>89</sup>	34
2.5	Electrochemistry in analysis of organic compounds	40
2.5.1	Voltammetry	41
2.5.2	Cyclic voltammetry	42
2.5.3	Electrochemistry of hydrazones	44
2.6	Biological activity	45
2.6.1	Antimicrobial activity	46
2.6.2	Antioxidant activity	47
2.6.3	Anticancer activity	48
3	EXPERIMENTAL PART	51

3.1	Synthesis 1,3-seleno(thi)azoles-2-yl-hydrazones and complexes	51
3.1.1	General procedure for synthesis first series HL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup>	51
3.1.2	General procedure for synthesis complexes with HL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup>	52
3.1.3	General procedure for synthesis second series	52
3.2	Characterization of synthesized compounds and complexes	53
3.3	X-ray crystallography	64
3.4	Cyclic voltammetry and spectroelectrochemistry	65
3.5	MS/MS <sup>n</sup> study	65
3.6	Computational methodology	66
3.7	Multi parameter correlation analysis	67
3.8	Biological experiments	68
3.8.1	Antimicrobial activity	68
3.8.2	Antioxidant activity	69
3.8.3	Anticancer activity	70
3.8.4	Monoamine oxidase A/B inhibition	74
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	75
4.1	Pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones	75
	1.2	
4.1.1	Synthesis and structural characterization of first series HL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup>	75
4.1.1 4.1.2	Synthesis and structural characterization of first series HL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup> Synthesis and structural characterization complexes withHL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup>	75 79
<ul><li>4.1.1</li><li>4.1.2</li><li>4.1.3</li></ul>	Synthesis and structural characterization of first series HL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup> Synthesis and structural characterization complexes withHL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup> The absorption spectra of HL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup> and their Co(III) complexes	75 79 88
<ul><li>4.1.1</li><li>4.1.2</li><li>4.1.3</li><li>4.1.4</li></ul>	Synthesis and structural characterization of first series HL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup> Synthesis and structural characterization complexes withHL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup> The absorption spectra of HL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup> and their Co(III) complexes Voltammetric and spectoelectrochemical studies	75 79 88 90
<ul> <li>4.1.1</li> <li>4.1.2</li> <li>4.1.3</li> <li>4.1.4</li> <li>4.1.5</li> </ul>	Synthesis and structural characterization of first series HL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup> Synthesis and structural characterization complexes withHL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup> The absorption spectra of HL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup> and their Co(III) complexes Voltammetric and spectoelectrochemical studies DFT calculations	75 79 88 90 96
<ul> <li>4.1.1</li> <li>4.1.2</li> <li>4.1.3</li> <li>4.1.4</li> <li>4.1.5</li> <li>4.1.6</li> </ul>	Synthesis and structural characterization of first series HL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup> Synthesis and structural characterization complexes withHL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup> The absorption spectra of HL(Se/S) <sup>1-3</sup> and their Co(III) complexes Voltammetric and spectoelectrochemical studies DFT calculations Biological activity	75 79 88 90 96 94
<ul> <li>4.1.1</li> <li>4.1.2</li> <li>4.1.3</li> <li>4.1.4</li> <li>4.1.5</li> <li>4.1.6</li> <li>4.2</li> </ul>	Synthesis and structural characterization of first series $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ Synthesis and structural characterization complexes with $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ The absorption spectra of $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ and their Co(III) complexes Voltammetric and spectoelectrochemical studies DFT calculations Biological activity Nitro ( <i>o</i> , <i>p</i> and <i>m</i> ) benzaldehyde-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones	75 79 90 96 104 113
<ul> <li>4.1.1</li> <li>4.1.2</li> <li>4.1.3</li> <li>4.1.4</li> <li>4.1.5</li> <li>4.1.6</li> <li>4.2</li> <li>4.2.1</li> </ul>	Synthesis and structural characterization of first series $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ Synthesis and structural characterization complexes with $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ The absorption spectra of $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ and their Co(III) complexes Voltammetric and spectoelectrochemical studies DFT calculations Biological activity Nitro ( <i>o</i> , <i>p</i> and <i>m</i> ) benzaldehyde-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones Synthesis and characterization of second series 1-4 (OMe, Me)	75 79 90 96 104 113 113
<ul> <li>4.1.1</li> <li>4.1.2</li> <li>4.1.3</li> <li>4.1.4</li> <li>4.1.5</li> <li>4.1.6</li> <li>4.2</li> <li>4.2.1</li> <li>4.2.2</li> </ul>	Synthesis and structural characterization of first series $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ Synthesis and structural characterization complexes with $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ The absorption spectra of $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ and their Co(III) complexes Voltammetric and spectoelectrochemical studies DFT calculations Biological activity Nitro ( <i>o</i> , <i>p</i> and <i>m</i> ) benzaldehyde-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones Synthesis and characterization of second series 1-4 (OMe, Me) Analysis of crystal structures	75 79 88 90 96 104 113 113 114
<ul> <li>4.1.1</li> <li>4.1.2</li> <li>4.1.3</li> <li>4.1.4</li> <li>4.1.5</li> <li>4.1.6</li> <li>4.2</li> <li>4.2.1</li> <li>4.2.2</li> <li>4.2.3</li> </ul>	Synthesis and structural characterization of first series $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ Synthesis and structural characterization complexes with $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ The absorption spectra of $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ and their Co(III) complexes Voltammetric and spectoelectrochemical studies DFT calculations Biological activity Nitro ( <i>o</i> , <i>p</i> and <i>m</i> ) benzaldehyde-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones Synthesis and characterization of second series 1-4 (OMe, Me) Analysis of crystal structures Cyclic voltammetry (CV)	75 79 90 96 96 104 113 113 114 119
<ul> <li>4.1.1</li> <li>4.1.2</li> <li>4.1.3</li> <li>4.1.4</li> <li>4.1.5</li> <li>4.1.6</li> <li>4.2</li> <li>4.2.1</li> <li>4.2.2</li> <li>4.2.3</li> <li>4.2.4</li> </ul>	Synthesis and structural characterization of first series $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ Synthesis and structural characterization complexes with $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ The absorption spectra of $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$ and their Co(III) complexes Voltammetric and spectoelectrochemical studies DFT calculations Biological activity Nitro ( <i>o</i> , <i>p</i> and <i>m</i> ) benzaldehyde-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones Synthesis and characterization of second series 1-4 (OMe, Me) Analysis of crystal structures Cyclic voltammetry (CV)	75 79 90 90 96 104 113 113 113 114 119 121

4.2.6	DFT and TD-DFT calculations	142
4.2.7	Biological activity	148
5	CONCLUSIONS	154
6	REFERENCES	158
7	APPENDIX	

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

Sulfur and selenium heterocycles represent an impressive class of compounds because of both, outstanding chemical properties and pharmaceutical applications. 1,3-Thiazole ring system is a building block found in major natural and synthetic biologically active compounds.<sup>1</sup> Thiazoles are easily metabolized by known biochemical reactions, and are non-cancerogenic in nature. Their diverse biological activity is widely known.<sup>2–7</sup>Selenazole is the selenium analogue of thiazole. Selenium, the essential trace element, is controversial regarding its biological activity. In 1930s it was marked as toxic, but twenty years later it has been shown that Se prevented pathologies in vitamin E-deficient animals. After selenium was recognized as essential element to mammals, awareness about selenium toxicity changed in great extent and nowadays it is considered as micronutrient used in disease prevention and treatment by selenium supplementation.<sup>8</sup>

Developing of synthetic organosulfur and organoselenium compounds, as well as their metal complexes, has been subject of research in the field of modern medicinal chemistry. The potential of synthetic sulfur and selenium compounds in medicinal chemistry include antioxidant, antitumor, antiviral, antimicrobial, anti-infective, antiinflammatory, antiparasitic, antidiabetic, antimalarial, neuroprotective, antihypersensitive and cardiotonic agents as well as enzyme inhibitors and immunomodulators.<sup>43–45</sup> 1,3-Thiazole and 1,3-selenazole rings are well recognized pharmacophores. Thiazole ring is a constituent of many drugs such as tiazofurin (antineoplastic agents), ritonavir (anti-HIV drug), ravuconazole (antifungal agent), nitazoxanide (antiparasitic agent), fanetizole (anti-inflammatory agent), and nizatidine (antiulcer agent).<sup>9</sup> Also, a number of recent studies have indicated that 1,3-selenazole derivatives inhibit the synthesis of nitric acid,<sup>10</sup> and they are antagonists for histamine H2 receptors.<sup>11</sup>1,3-Selenoazoles also display anticancer,<sup>12-14</sup> antimicrobial,<sup>15-19</sup> and xantine oxidase inhibitory activities.<sup>20</sup>

Regarding the fact that biological activity is influenced by the structural and molecular properties, particularly electronic, future prospects for designing and development of new molecules with potential targeted biological activity can be based on the information obtained from experimental and theoretical data. In the present dissertation we synthesized and characterized two series of (1,3-thiazol-2-yl)- and (1,3-thiazol-2-yl)-

selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones by Hantzsch's reaction between the corresponding thio(seleno)semicarbazone and 2-bromoacetophenone or its *p*-methyl and *p*-methoxy derivatives. Such compounds differ in each other by the nature of heteroatom of the azole ring (S or Se), by the type of the group (pyridine or o-/m-/p-nitrobenzyl) attached to the azometine caron or by the nature of the phenyl substituent connected to the thio(seleno)azole ring. In addition, within the first series of investigated compounds Co(III) complexes have been synthesized, with the emphasis that the selenium ligands, as well as their complexes are synthesized for the first time. All the compounds and complexes has been characterized using elemental analysis, UV-Vis, ATR-FTIR, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy.

As the compounds synthesized in this work belong to the class of Shiff bases, and carry imine (-C=N-) functional group it was of interest to investigate electrochemical behavior. The knowledge of the redox properties of hydrazone compounds is important for better understanding of their behavior in chemical and biological processes. Voltammetric techniques, especially cyclic voltammetry, is favourable and was successfully applied to synthesized (1,3-thiazol-2-yl)- and (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones.

To obtain comprehensive insight about solid-state structure, stability of molecules and investigate the impact of the polarity of the medium, hydrogen bonding and electronic substituent effects on their solvatochromism, a single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD), mass spectrometry (MS) as well as spectral and computational solvatochromic study of this class of compounds has been performed for the first time. The computational studies include density functional theory (DFT) geometry optimization and time-dependent density functional theory calculations (TD-DFT) of absorption spectra, electronic transitions and evaluation of intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) in the course of transition. Contributions of appropriate substituent- and solvent-dependent electronic transitions were investigated by the use of linear solvation energy relationships (LSER). The effects of non-specific solvent effects, dipolarity and polarizability, and specific, solvent–solute hydrogen bonding interactions, were evaluated by means of the LSER principles using Kamlet-Taft<sup>21</sup> and Catalán model.<sup>22</sup>

In order to investigate the effect of the structure on the activity, a systematic testing of the antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of the synthesized compounds and complexes has been conducted. Antimicrobial activity was examined against four Gram-negative, four Gram-positive bacteria and three fungi strains. Antioxidant activity was evaluated in term of their abilities to scavenge stable free radical, two physiologically relevant reactive oxygen species (ROS) and their reducing antioxidant power for ferric and molybdenum(VI) ions. Results showed that selenium based compounds possess more potent antimicrobial activity, lower toxicity and superior free-radical scavenging activity in comparison to the sulphur analogues.

Also, the first study of anticancer property of Cobalt (III) complex of pyridine based 1,3-thiazole was conducted in this dissertation. The complex has been tested on human breast cancer MCF-7 cell line. Investigation has been performed on standard monolayer two-dimensional (2-D) cell culture model, and on three-dimensional (3-D) spheroid model. To the best of our knowledge this is the first three-drug combination study carried out on a 3-D cell culture up to date.

Finally, benzylidene based 1,3-selenazoles – II series, has been tested on a panel of six human solid tumor cell lines: A549, HBL-100, HeLa, SW1573, T-47D and WiDr, and as selective monoamine oxidases (MAO) A/B inhibitors. The results have shown that some of the synthesized compounds are very powerful candidates for further testing as potential anti-cancer drugs.

# **2 THEORETICAL PARTS**

More than seventy percent of drugs used today are heterocyclic compounds. They are widely distributed in nature and heterocyclic compounds isolated from natural sources act as lead compounds for the development of new molecules of biological interest. In addition, most heterocyclic drugs are synthesized easily, therefore, synthesis and characterization of new molecular entities incorporating heterocyclic structures is of high significance.<sup>23</sup>

## 2.1 Structure and classification of 1,3-selenoazol-2-yl-hydrazones, 1,3-thiazole-2yl-hydrazones

#### 2.1.1 Azoles

Heterocyclic chemistry includes a large class of compounds, and *azoles* being one among them. Azoles are five-membered heterocyclic compounds containing nitrogen atom and at least one other non-carbon atom of either nitrogen, sulphur, or oxygen. <sup>23</sup> There are two classes of these types of compounds with the two heteroatoms: 1,2- and 1,3-azoles (Figure 2.1). These compounds have many features of heterocycles containing five atoms: furan, thiophene, pyrrole and selenazole, but, as expected, their chemical behavior is further influenced by the other heteroatom inside thering <sup>24</sup>. Considering this paper analyzes 1,3-thi-(selen)-azoles, the following text will include overview of the properties, synthesis and application of these types of compounds.



Figure 2.1 Azoles: a) 1,2-azole; b) 1,3-azole.

## 2.1.1.1 Properties of 1,3-azoles

Thiazole and selenazole belong to class of 1,3-azoles (Figure 2.2). The structure resembles on the 5-membered heterocycles with one hetero atom. 1,3-azoles contain a

nitrogen (position 3) in an environment analogous to that in pyridine, that is, an imine nitrogen with a lone pair of electrons in an sp2 orbital in the plane of the ring and not involved in the aromatic sextet (Figure 2.3).<sup>25</sup>



Figure 2.2 1,3-azoles: thiazoel and selenoazole.

A one pair of electrons in a position 1, (in structure of furan, pyrrole, thiophene or selenophene) is placed inside p orbital and forms an integral part of an electronic sextet ring having aromatic character. Consequently, the nitrogen in the position 3 can easily react with a proton or an electrophile (Scheme 2.1)



Figure 2.3 Delocalization of the 1,3-azoles ring.

This heteroatom also affects the reactivity of the ring due to resonance and inductive effects ( $-\mathbf{R}$  and  $-\mathbf{I}$ ) and has an importante role in defining the acid-base properties of these classes of compounds. Compared with the pyrrole, an additional heteroatom causes an increase in acidity N-H bonds and the same effect has each subsequent heteroatom which replaces the C-H group. It is obvious that heteroatom contribute to a higher polarization of N-H bond and also an improved stabilization of the resulting anion<sup>24</sup>.



Scheme 2.1 Delocalization electrons in the 1,3-azoles ring.

The base properties of a 1,3-azoles are derived from a nitrogen in the position **3**. A cation formed by protonation is stabilized with delocalized electrons of heteroatom in the position **1**. The heteroatom substantially influences the basic properties of the final compound (Scheme 2.2).



Scheme 2.2 Acidity constant: imadizole, thiazole, oxazole, and selenazole.

#### 2.1.1.2 Reactivity of 1,3-azoles

### Electrophilic aromatic substitution

1,3-Azoles are generally less reactive in the electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions than corresponding analogs with a single heteroatom. The contribution of an additional *N*-atom (-**R**, -**I** effect) makes the ring an electron-deficient and therefore less reactive. The process of electrophilic substitution of these compounds can be explained by considering the stability of the intermediate  $\sigma$ -complex (Scheme 2.3)



Scheme 2.3 Electrophilic aromatic substitution.

The most reactive position in a 1,3-azoles is C-5, because an intermediate that leads to final product of substitution is stabilized by resonant structures in which the positive charge is not located on the nitrogen atom in the **3**-position.

#### Nucleophilic aromatic substitution

The nucleophilic substitutions of H atoms in 1,3-azoles are not characteristic reactions for this class of compounds. If they occur, the substitution takes place at the C-2 atom. On the other hand, the halogen derivatives of 1,3-azoles, and in particular 2-halo-1,3-azoles, participate in this type of reactions. Increased reactivity of 2-halo azoles is a consequence of the N-3 atom. Nitrogen of the pyridine type contributes to the higher electron-deficiency of the C-2 carbon and better stability of the intermediate (Scheme 2.4). Of particular importance is the structure in which the N-3 is the carier of the negative charge.



Scheme 2.4 Nucleophilic aromatic substitution of 2-haloazoles.

## 2.1.2 1,3-Thiazoles

#### 2.1.2.1 Properties and applications

Thiazoles and their derivatives have been known since the 19th century. Wallach presented synthesis of some thiazoles in the 1870. One of the first synthesized thiazole is 5-aminothiazole-2-formamide<sup>26</sup>. Detailed studies of thiazole and its derivatives were initiated by Hantzsch's group in the 1887. So far, many studies in chemistry of thiazoles have been conducted: investigation of physical properties, synthetic methods, reaction mechanisms and industrial applications. 1,3-Thiazole (Scheme 2.2) is an aromatic compound, with weak basic properties (p*Ka* = 2.5). N-3 atom is the carrier of basic features, but presents of substituents can significantly affect those features. Electron-acceptor group on the ring contribute to the reduction of basic properties of thiazole derivatives, while the electron-donor substituents have the opposite effect. Aromatic character of thiazoles is attributed to delocalisation of one pair of electrons on the sulfur atom, thereby completing the required  $6\pi$  electrons, in accordance with Huckel's rule.





Thiazole ring is the structural component of various natural products (Figure 2.4), including vitamin B1 (thiamine). In a reduced form, this ring became part of penicillin derivatives. Vitamin B1 in the phosphorylated form is a coenzyme of the pyruvate decarboxylase, an enzyme which catalyses the decarboxylation of pyruvic acid to acetaldehyde<sup>27</sup>. That biochemical process is based on the properties of C2-H bond of thiazolium compounds. Interruption of the bond causes formation of an anion, witch as nucleophile participates in the decarboxylation of pyruvic acid (Scheme 2.5).



Scheme 2.5 Decarboxylation of pyruvic acid.

## 2.1.2.2 Synthesis 1,3-thiazoles

Classical methods for the synthesis of thiazoles is the Hantzsch's reaction in which the  $\alpha$ -halocarbonyl compound (or the corresponding  $\alpha$ -haloacetate compound) are condensed with a primary thioamides (thiourea in order to obtain the corresponding 2-amino thiazole derivatives)<sup>28</sup>. The reaction is initiated by nucleophilic attack of the sulfur atom to a carbon atom which is bonded to a halogen. Acyclic intermediate (isolated only in a few cases)  $\alpha$ -S-alkiliminium salt, upon proton transfer, starts acid catalyzed cyclization reaction with elimination of water molecules (Scheme 2.6).



Scheme 2.6 The mechanism of Hantzsch's reaction.

This reaction is typically carried out without obstructions and the yields, in a case of simple thiazoles are satisfying. The selection of the substituted  $\alpha$ -halocarbonyl compounds determines the substituents in the positions **4** and **5**. Consequently, the choice of a substituted thioamide (thioamino) determines the substituents in the **2**-position (Scheme 2.7).



Scheme 2.7 Simplified mechanism of Hantzsch's reaction.

Modification of Hantzsch reaction conditions consistimplies the use of tosyl ketones instead of  $\alpha$ -halocarbonyl compound <sup>28</sup>. The advantage of this modified reaction is the avoidance of toxic and lachrymatory  $\alpha$ -halocarbonyl compounds. The method involves the reaction of a ketone with hypervalent iodine reagent HTIB hydroxy (tosyloxy) iodo benzene, which gives the  $\alpha$ -tosyl ketones from the intermediate structure of the  $\alpha$ - $\lambda^3$  iodonil-ketone (Scheme 2.8).



Scheme 2.8 Modified Hantzsch's reaction with  $\alpha$ -tosyl ketones instead of halocarbonyl compound.



Scheme 2.9 Gabriel's synthesis.

It is important to mention that  $\alpha$ - $\lambda^3$  iodonil-ketones, obtained *in situ*, can also be subjected to a cyclization reaction with thioamides, there by avoiding the isolation of  $\alpha$ -tosyl ketone<sup>29</sup>. Another important method of isolating thiazole comprises treating of  $\alpha$ -acylamino ketone with phosphorus pentasulfide as a Lewisson reagent (Gabriel's synthesis, Scheme 2.9).

There is also the synthesis of the 2,4-substituted thiazole, which combines isocyanide with other three components, so-called four component synthesis (Scheme 2.10). Substituent at the C-4 atom is the carbomethoxy group<sup>30</sup>.



Scheme 2.10 Four component synthesis of 1,3-thiazoles.

#### 2.1.2.3 Reactivity of 1,3-thiazoles

The thiazole is not particularly reactive in electrophilic aromatic substitution reactions, except in cases where the ring is activated by electron-donor substituents. As expected, in the reactions of sulfonylation and nitration the major products are the results of the substitution at C-5 atom, but in those reactions often appears C-4-substituted product (Figure 2.5). In the strong acid conditions thiazolium cation participate in the reaction. The C-2 position in the nucleophilic substitution reactions is more reactive than the positions C-4 and C-5. Reactivity further increases when thiazolium salt participates in the substitution reaction, or in the presence of electron-acceptor substituents, which cause the ring to be electron-deficient (Figure 2.5).





Electrophilic substitutionNucleophilic substitutionReacts with strong basesFigure 2.5 Reactivity of thiazole ring.

#### 2.1.3 1,3-selenazoles

#### 2.1.3.1 Properties and applications

The first selenazoles were described in 1889, when Hantzsch's student, Hoffman performed the condensation of selenourea with chloroacetone and obtained 2-amino-4-methyl-1,3-selenazole (Figure 2.6). Selenazoles are extensively studied and they shown to have a biological activity. 1,3-Selenoazoles are pharmacologically important because of their antibacterial and anticancer activity, and 2-amino-1,3-selenazole proved to be an excellent scavenger of superoxide anion<sup>31</sup>.



Figure 2.6 2-amino-4-methyl-1,3-selenazole.

#### 2.1.3.2 Synthesis of 1,3-selenazoles

The selenazoles are formed by cyclization of the primary selenoamides with  $\alpha$ halocarbonyl compounds. This procedure provides possibiliti to produce a wide range of selenazoles by using appropriate reactants (Scheme 2.11). The choice of the substituted selen-carboxyl amides determines positions 2 and 3 in the ring, while the choice of  $\alpha$ halocarbonyl component in the same way determines the substituent at the positions 4 and 5 (Figure 2.2). Attempts to obtain unsubstituted selenazole by reduction of the 2aminoselenoazole have proved to be unsuccessful. In contrast, unsubstituted selenazole is successfully obtained by condensation of  $\alpha$ -selenoformamides with chloroacetone and resulting yield was 34%. Structure of unsubstituted selenazoles was confirmed by mass spectrometry. Unsubstituted selenazol decomposes under light and during the degradation releases selenium. Alkyl and aryl components lead to stabilization of selenazole ring.<sup>32</sup>



Scheme 2.11 Mechanism of Hantzsch's reaction for synthesis of 1,3-selenazoles.

One of thesynthetic routes of primary selenoamides is introduction of selenium using a hydrogen source which is derived from selenide and reacts with nitrile. Accordingly, selenoamides can be prepared by reaction of nitrile and aluminum selenide<sup>33</sup>. Convenient procedure is also the reaction mixture of  $P_2Se_5$  and a nitrile in the presence of ethanol and water. Formamide can be converted into selenoformamide with  $P_2Se_5^{34}$ . Also, the mixture of the nitrile and pyridine reactes with sodium hydrogen selenide in the presence of hydrochloric acid to obtain alkyl and aryl selenoamides <sup>35</sup> (Scheme 2.12).

Utilization of selenourea in Hantzsch's reaction provides as a product 2-amino selenazoles (Scheme 2.13). Analogously, the cyclocondensation of selenourea with ketone and iodine gives  $selenazole^{36}$ 



Scheme 2.12 Synthesis and Cyclocondensation of Selenocarboxamides.



Scheme 2.13 Synthesis of 2-amino selenazole.

The reaction of N-benzoylselenourea and  $\alpha$ -halocarbonyl compounds results in 2-substituted benzylamino selenazoles (Scheme 2.14)<sup>37</sup>



X = Cl, Br

Scheme 2.14 Synthesis of substituted 2- benzylamino selenazoles.

This procedure can be used in order to obtain other acyl (aryl) – selenourea products. Hydrolysis of the substituted 2-benzoylamido selenazoles in the presence of sulfuric or phosphoric acid produces 2-amino selenazole, which are identical to those 15
obtained by direct synthesis from selenourea. The reaction diselenomalonamide and monoselenomalonamide with phenylacyl bromide produces bis(4-phenyl selenazole-2-yl)methane (Scheme 2.15). The starting reactant is obtained by reaction of cyanoacetamide or malonodinitrile with hydrogen selenide.<sup>32,37</sup> 5-Fluoro-4-(trifluoromethyl) selenoazole is obtained by reacting hexafluoroacetone with primary selenoamides <sup>38</sup>(Scheme 2.16).



Scheme 2.15 Synthesis of bis(4-phenyl selenazole-2-yl)methane.



Scheme 2.16 Synthesis of 5-Fluoro-4-(trifluoromethyl) selenoazole.

2-Chloro-oxacyclopropanes are isomers with  $\alpha$ -halocarbonyl compounds in which both of the carbon atoms in positions **2** and **3** are in the same oxidation state. 2-Chloro-oxacyclopropanes react with selenoamides and selenourea to form 2-aminoselenoazoles in the mild conditions, with satisfactory yields (Scheme 2.17)<sup>39</sup>.



Scheme 2.17 Synthesis of 1,3-selenazole from 2-chloro-oxacyclopropane and selenourea.

Heating of 2-Amino-5-phenyl-1,3,4-(seleno)diazine in the presence of concentrated hydrochloric or hydrobromic acid causes changes in the structure presented in Scheme 2.18. The changes are reflected in disintegration of (seleno)diazine ring followed by cyclization to form a acetophenone-(4-phenyl selenazole-2-yl)hydrazone<sup>40</sup>.



**Scheme 2.18** Transformation of 2-amino-5-phenyl-1,3,4-(seleno)diazine to acetophenone-(4-phenyl selenazole-2-yl)hydrazone.

#### 2.1.3.3 Reactivity of 1,3-selenazole

In a comparison of the chemical properties of thiazoles and the selenazoles it can be concluded that they are mutually very similar <sup>41</sup>, and small differences exist between the reactivity of thiazoles and the corresponding selenazoles. Nevertheless the 2position of selenazoles appears to be somewhat less reactive than the 2-position of thiazoles toward nucleophilic reagents. <sup>42</sup>

## 2.1.4 (1,3-thiazol-2-yl)hydrazones and (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones

Hydrazones are important compounds because of their wide range of biological and pharmacological activities, primarily antitubercular, analgesic, anticancer, antibacterial, antifungal and antiinflammatory effects, they represent the goal of numerous research in medical chemistry.<sup>43–48</sup>Similarly, thiazoles possess activities and they showed antituberculosic, antifungal, antibacterial, antiparasitic, antioxidant and

anticancer activities<sup>49–53</sup>, and potent inhibitory activities against human monoamine oxidase B and histone acetyltransferase<sup>54–56</sup>. Potential possibility of utilization hydrazone–thiazole derivatives in order to improve therapeutic results attracts the attention in the scientific community during the last decade. Similar studies were carried out with selenazoles-hydrazone derivatives.<sup>57–59</sup>

# 2.1.4.1 Synthesis of (1,3-thiazol-2-yl)hydrazones and (1,3-selenazol-2yl)hydrazones

Different carbonyl compounds can react directly with thio(seleno)semicarbazides along with a catalytic amount of acetic acid in ethanol or 2-propanol in order to yield the corresponding thio(seleno)semicarbazone, which further react with correspond  $\alpha$ -haloacetophenone to obtain *N*-(4-aryl-thiazole (selenazole)-2-yl) hydrazone product (Hantzsch's reaction,Scheme 2.19)<sup>60</sup>.



Scheme 2.19 N-(4-aryl-thiazole (selenazole)-2-yl) hydrazone.

Cardoso et al.<sup>52</sup> have synthesized a series of 2-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,3-thiazole derivatives, starting from pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde thiosemicarbazone. The reaction is carried out by Hantzsch's mechanism, wherein pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde thiosemicarbazone reacted with a 2-bromoacetophenone in an equimolar ratio with the addition of CaCO<sub>3</sub>. The condensation of thiazole ring takes place, and HBr formed in

this reaction is neutralized with  $CaCO_3$ . The reaction is performed in 2-propanol at room temperature in an ultrasonic bath (Scheme 2.20).



Scheme 2.20 Synthesis of 2-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,3-thiazole.

All compounds were characterized by IR spectroscopy, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy, elemental analysis and mass spectrometry, and were in accordance with the proposed structures. The group of Italian and Spanish scientists synthesized a series of (hetero)arylidine-(4-substituents-thiazol-2-yl) hydrazone<sup>61</sup>. The carbonyl compounds are converted into a thiosemicarbazonethrough reaction with thiosemicarbazide in ethanol or 2-propanol, with the addition of a catalytic amount of acetic acid. After recrystallization of hydrazones obtained by Hantzsch's reaction further reacted with the appropriate  $\alpha$ -haloacetophenone, resulting in new series of *N*-(4-aryl-thiazole-2-yl) hydrazone. The final products were purified by column chromatography (Scheme 2.21).



Scheme 2.21 Synthesis of *N*-(4-aryl-thiazole-2-yl) hydrazine.

The study of organic reactions in aqueous medium is of great importance for environmental protection and for better understanding of the biochemical processes. Aqueous medium offers many practical and economic advantages as a solvent in the reaction, including low cost, safety and environmental compatibility. Dong-Nun Zhang <sup>62</sup>was carried out the synthesis of *N*-(4-aryl-thiazole-2-yl) hydrazone by using threecomponent synthesis. The reaction was performed in an ultrasonic bath at room temperature (Scheme 2.22). The syntheses were also carried out at an raised temperature, but the yields under those conditions were proven to be substantially lower. The use of organic solvents such as ethanol, 2-propanol, acetonitrile and dichloromethane gave lower yields, compared with reaction conducted in an aqueous medium. Nuan-Dong Zhang and colleagues came to the conclusion that the ultrasonic waves can accelerate the reaction. This process avoids the use of organic solvents and catalysts. The method offers several advantages, including better yields, simple experimental procedure, cleaner products and low cost, making it useful and attractive strategy, taking into consideration the economic and environmental benefits.



Scheme 2.22 Synthesis of *N*-(4-aryl-thiazole-2-yl) hydrazone byusing three-component in aqueous medium

Valentin Zaharia and colleagues<sup>63</sup> synthesized a series of new 1,3-selenazoles by Hantzsch's reaction mechanism, and also determined tests in vitro cytotoxicity of two cancer cell lines for those compounds. Synthesized selenosemicarbazones obtained from 2-hydrazinyl-1,3-selenazole derivatives were characterized by IR, NMR, mass spectrometry and elemental analysis. Some of the synthesized compounds showed a significant cytotoxic activity. In the reaction between selenosemicarbazides or benzoyl selenocarbazones with  $\alpha$ -halocarbonyl compounds 1,3-selenazoles were obtained (Scheme 2.23 and Scheme 2.24).



Scheme 2.23 Synthesis of 2-hydrazinyl-1,3-selenazoles.



Scheme 2.24 Synthesis of 2-hydrazinyl-1,3-selenazoles.

## 2.1.4.2 Isomerisation of (1,3-thiazol-2-yl)hydrazones and (1,3-selenazol-2yl)hydrazones

Theoretically, all of the compounds may exist in two geometric isomeric forms, E and Z, and these isomeric forms refer to the imine moiety (C = N) (Scheme 2.25). The results of an experimental study of the existence of intramolecular bonds indicate that the molecules of this type of compound are stabilized in the Z-form, due to the formation of an intramolecular hydrogen bond of the type NH---N.



Scheme 2.25 *E* i *Z* isomers of2-(pyridin-2-yl)-1,3-thiazole.

RSA is a very useful method for testing the condition of the tautomeric equilibrium, as it allows obtaining detailed information on molecular conformations, bond lengths and angles, as well as on intermolecular interactions in solid state. After performing X-ray structural analysis for 2-(2-(Pyridin-2-ylmethylene) hydrazinyl) -4-phenyl-1,3-thiazole, results confirmed that the compound occupies Z configuration (Figure 2.7). Namely, formation of intamolecular hydrogen bond between N–NH hydrogen atom and pyridine nitrogen atom in Z-isomeric form of pyridine based hydrazones causes significant downfield shift (~ 2–3 ppm) of N–NH hydrogen atom signal in <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum.<sup>42</sup>



**Figure 2.7** ORTEP presentation of 2-(2-(Pyridin-2-ylmethylene) hydrazinyl) -4-phenyl-1,3-thiazole.

## 2.2 Linear solvation energy relationship (LSER)

#### 2.2.1 Solvatochromism of organic molecules

The position, intensity and shape of the absorption bands in the UV/Vis spectra is influenced by the choice of solvents, the chemical reaction rate and the position of the chemical equilibrium.<sup>64–71</sup>The influence of solvents on the UV/Vis spectrum, due to the movement and change in the intensity of the absorption band is called solvatohromism. These changes are the result of intermolecular interactions between the solvent and the solution (ion-dipole, dipol-dipole, dipole-induced dipole, hydrogen bonds, etc.). Solvatochromic effects can be *hypsochromic*, blue shift, which means a shift of the absorption maximum to lower wavelengths with increasing solvent polarity (negative solvatochromism), and *bathochromic*, red shift, which means a shift of the absorption maximum to longer wavelengths with increasing solvent polarity (positive solvatochromism).

The energy absorption in the visible and ultraviolet range is determined by the presence of chromophore or chromophore group - which could be a functional group, a carbon group responsible for the UV/VIS absorption of the molecule, *ie*.the double bond C=C, C=C-C=C, C=O, N=N, aromatic rings, *etc.*, or even complex moiety. Any chromophore has a characteristic value corresponding to the maximum absorption wavelength and causes the structure and environment of the molecule.Absorption spectra of multi-atomic molecules are studied from the point of view of the transition of electrons localized in the bounds or to certain functional groups.

Absorption of electromagnetic radiation energy  $\Delta E = hv$ , the molecule moves from primary to excited condition manifested by amplification of vibration or rotation of atomic nuclei or exceed the electronic system to a higher energy level. When the system returns to the ground state, it emits energy that is characteristic of a given substance. The possible electronic transitions are (Figure 2.8):

 $-n \rightarrow \pi^*$ , when electrons cross the free electron pair of the less stable antibonding  $\pi^*$  orbital;

 $-\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ , when electrons bonding  $\pi$  orbital taking the less stable antibonding  $\pi^*$  orbital;

 $\sigma \to \sigma^*$ , when electrons bonding  $\sigma$  orbital crossing in less stable anti-bonding  $\sigma^*$  orbital.

Electronic transitions of special significance are  $n \to \pi^*$ ,  $\pi \to \pi^*$  and absorption caused by the transfer of charge.



Figure 2.8 Energy level diagrams with electronic transitions<sup>72</sup>

Electron-donating groups cause shift of absorption maxima to longer wavelengths (bathochromiceffect) and electron-acceptorgroups smaller wavelengths (hypsochromic effect). Molecules with  $\pi$ -electrons, in which the charge distribution varies considerably in the ground and excited state, have expressed solvahoromatic properties. Compounds which contain  $\pi$  -electrons, can be classified into three different groups according to the idealized structure: aromatic compounds, polyenes (or Poliina) and polymethine.<sup>73,74</sup>

## 2.2.2 Solvent effect - multi-parameter approach

The inability to quantitatively express the effect of solvents (through physical constants) on the reactivity of organic compounds and their absorption spectra encouraged the introduction of an empirical scale of the effects of solvents based on reference reactions. In the model, responses that are susceptible to solvents, the empirical measure of the polarity of solvents (solvation power) is expressed. Empirical parameters determined on the basis of a single reaction can't be applied to other systems because it has been shown that the solvent ability to solvatepresent molecules and the ions does not depend only form solvent polarity, but also of all intermolecular interactions.

In order to consider two or more aspects of the solvation, a multi-parameter approach has been applied in which each parameter is relates to a particular interaction in total solvation of the molecule. It allows the prediction of values dependent variable based on independently variable values and can be applied to a set of data obtained for a large number of well-selected solvents.

Although the separation of the effects of solvents into different types of interactions is of a formal nature, they can't always be separatedbecause of their activity. In generally effects of solvents can be divided into two groups of contributions: specific interaction (donor-acceptor, acid-base with included hydrogen bonds) and non-specific interaction (derived from the action of the solvent as a dielectric continuum).

## 2.2.2.1 Kamlet-Taft model

*Kamlet* and *Taft* developed the first multi-parameter correlation equation (2.1),<sup>75</sup> based on LSER in 1976:

$$A = A_o + s(\pi_1^* + d\delta) + a\alpha_1 + b\beta_1$$
(2.1)

Size A is a physical-chemical properties, which depends on the solvent: the logarithm of the rate constant or equilibrium position or absorption maximum in the UV/Vis, IR, NMR and ESR spectra. A<sub>0</sub> is the extrapolated value of the properties in the vapor phase, or an inert solvent (cyclohexane). Parametar  $\pi_1$ \*is an index of the solvent dipolarity/polarizabilityand it is the function of his dipole moment  $\mu = -0, 1 + 4, 3\pi_1$ \* (0 (cyclohexane)do 1,00 (DMSO)).<sup>76</sup> $\alpha_1$  is a measure of the solvent HBA basicity(0 for non-polar aprotic solvents up to 1 for methanol) and $\beta_1$  is a measure of the solvent HBD acidity (0 for non-polar aprotic solvents up to 1 for triamidehexamethylphosphoric acid).<sup>77</sup>The regression coefficients *s*, *b* and *a* measure the relative susceptibilities of the absorption frequencies to the different solvent parameters.

*Kamlet* and *Taft* have shown that  $\beta$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\pi^*$  may be applied in the correlation analysis by multiple regressions of reaction rates and equilibria, and of spectroscopic data according to eq. (2.2):

$$\nu_{max} = \nu_o + a\alpha + b\beta + s\pi^* \tag{2.2}$$

The parameter  $\pi^*$  represents polarity/polarizability and is based on the absorption frequencies  $\pi \to \pi^*$  transition of several reference compounds,<sup>76</sup> $v_0$  represents the absorption frequency of the dissolved substance in the reference system, and  $v_{\text{max}}$  is the absorption frequency of the compound in a particular solvent.

*Kamlet-Taft*'s approach has a significant success in qualitative estimation of solvent effects on absorption spectra, but there are some disadvantages of this method. Since dipolarity polarizability of the solvent are represented by only one parameter  $(\pi^*)$ , the solvatochromic properties of non-polar substances (wich don't establish hydrogen connections with the solvent) can't be adequately described by using the *Kamlet-Taft* scale. The polarizability correction parameter  $(d\delta)$  is not suitable because it doesn't allow differentiation of the effects of polarizability between two related solvents (aromatic and halogenated hydrocarbons). Another deficiency is the determination of Kamlet-Taft parameters by calculating the mean values of the properties of different reference molecules.<sup>78</sup>

#### 2.2.2.2 Catalán model

*Catalánet al.* introduced three alternative scale of polarity SA, SB and SPP, which can be formally linked to the *Kamlet-Taft* parameters  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\beta_1$ , and  $\pi_1^*$ . Determination of each solvatochromic solvent parameter is based on a pair of well-defined homomorphic reference compounds. The need for introducing a fourth polarity scale (SP) it came from the conclusion of *Catalán* and *Hopf*, which showed that the compound ttbP9(3,20-di-tert-butyl-2,2,21,21-tetramethyl-5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19-docosanonene) is sensitive to changes in the polarizability of the medium and isn't sensitive to the acidity, alkalinity and dipolarity of solvents<sup>79</sup>. The dependence of the SPP and SP scale justifies the use of only one of these parameters, which caused the need for the introduction of an additional scale (SdP), which describes the solubility of solvents. SdP is derived from the scale SP and the absorption frequencies of DMANF (2-N, N-dimethylamino-7-nitrofluorene). More elaborated LSER model uses Catalán solvent parameters scale,<sup>80</sup>*i.e.*, eq. (2.4) qualitatively and quantitatively interprets the effect of solvent dipolarity, polarizability, and solvent–solute hydrogen bonding interactions:

$$A = A_o + aSA + bSB + cSP + dSdP$$
(2.3)

which if applied to UV-Vis absorption frequencies has the form:

$$\nu_{max} = \nu_o + aSA + bSB + cSP + dSdP \tag{2.4}$$

where SA, SB, SP, and SdP characterize solvent acidity, basicity, polarizability, and dipolarity, respectively. The regression coefficients a-d describe the sensitivity of the absorption maxima to different types of the solvent–solute interactions. Quantitative separation of the non-specific solvent effect (coefficient *s*; eq. (2.2)) into polarizability and dipolarity term (coefficients *c* and *d*, eq. (2.4)) give more detailed information on electron density distribution over investigated molecule subjected to solvent influences.

#### **2.3 Density Functional Theory (DFT)**

The Density Functional Theory (DFT) is a computational method that derives properties of the molecule based on determination of their electron density. The theory was introduced in two papers by Hohenberg and Kohn in 1964, and by Kohn and Sham in 1965<sup>81,82</sup>. Ever since, number of publications, using DFT calculations for prediction of molecular properties, increases constantly. The density functional theory is presently the most successful and also the most promising approach to compute the electronic structure of matter. When it comes to chemistry, DFT predicts a great variety of molecular properties: molecular structures, vibrational frequencies, atomization energies, ionization energies, electric and magnetic properties, reaction paths, etc.

#### 2.3.1 Fundamental aspects of DFT

The fundamental underlying mathematics of this method is the functional. A functional is a function of a function, and is denoted as follows

$$y=f(x) \tag{2.5}$$

where, the dependent variable (y) depends on one or more single variables. Mathematically, the notation f(x) is read as the "the function of x".

To understand the DFT theory it is important to introduce the functional denoted as follows

$$y=F[f(x)] \tag{2.6}$$

where, the value of y is in and of itself dependent on another function. The first f(x) function becomes the input for the functional, that is, a function of a function.

The most fundamental parameter in DFT is the electron density  $\rho(r)$ , and the energy of the molecule is a functional of the electron density. Electron density is a function, with

three variables: x-position, y-position, and z-position of the electrons. Regardless of the number of electrons, the electron density function is always only dependent on those three numbers. The functional (F) of electron density gives the energy of the molecule. The practical advantage is that the mathematics does not spiral out of control as the number of electrons increase.

Electron density = 
$$\rho(x, y, z)$$
 (2.7)

Energy = F[
$$\rho(x, y, z)$$
] (2.8)

The goal in DFT is to find the value of the functional F, and it is necessary to make approximations. One of the reasons why there are so many different DFT methods is that there are multiple ways of approximating the functional.

DFT is based on two theorems proved by Hohenberg and Kohn<sup>81</sup> in the 1960s The first theorem says:

"The ground-state energy from Schrödinger's equation is a unique functional of the electron density."

The second theorem states:

"The electron density that minimizes the energy of the overall functional is the true electron density corresponding to the full solution of the Schrödinger equation."

Using those theorems they were able to develop a more detailed version of the theory, but they did not offer practical way for the calculations. The advanced theory was adapted, in 1965 by Kohn and Sham,<sup>82</sup> into a practical version of the density functional theory (KS theory). The KS theory, which describes the mathematics of electron densities and their subsequent correlations to molecular energies, is shown in its simplest form as follows

$$E_{DFT}[\rho] = T[\rho] + E_{ne}[\rho] + J[\rho] + E_{xc}[\rho]$$
(2.9)

where E is the energy, T is the kinetic energy of the electrons,  $E_{ne}$  is the nuclear-electron attraction (Coulombic) energy, J is the electron-electron repulsive (Coulombic) energy, and  $E_{xc}$  is the electron electron exchange-correlation energy. Each of these terms is a function of the function  $\rho$ , the electron density, which is itself a function of the three positional coordinates (x, y, and z). The first three terms can be determined reasonably well using *ab initio* or semi-empirical methods, but explicit form for electron-exchangecorrelation energy,  $E_{XC}$ , can not be given.

Minimizing the energy function leads to the Konh-Sham (KS) equations (2.10-2.13).

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V_{eff}(\boldsymbol{r})\right]\Psi_i(\boldsymbol{r}) = \varepsilon_i\Psi_i(\boldsymbol{r})$$
(2.10)

$$V_{eff}(\boldsymbol{r}) = V_{ext}(\boldsymbol{r}) + V_{H}(\boldsymbol{r}) + V_{XC}(\boldsymbol{r})$$
(2.11)

$$(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i} |\Psi_{i}(\mathbf{r})|^{2}$$
(2.12)

$$V_{xc} = \frac{\delta E_{xc}}{\delta \rho(r)} \tag{2.13}$$

Solving the KS equations must be done using iterative technique by selfconsistent field method because effective potential Veff depends on n(r), and hence on  $\Psi(r)$ , which is the solution of the equation. From the eigen-energies and eigen-functions obtained it is possible to get ground state total energy, charge density, vibrational properties, etc. Finding this functional is a very challenging task, and there have been developed different approximate functional.

#### 2.3.2 Methods in DFT

Methods in DFT are complicated and diverse, but can roughly be divided into three classes:

1. Methods that use a local density approximation (LDA). The LDA is determined solely based on the properties of the electron density. The critical assumption of this approximation is that, for a molecule with many electrons in a gaseous state, the density is uniform throughout the molecule (eq 2.14).

$$E_{xc} = \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \varepsilon_{xc}^{LDA}(\rho(\mathbf{r}))$$
(2.14)

were  $\varepsilon_xc^LDA$  ( $\rho$ ) is the exchange-correlation energy density per electron of a uniform gas with density  $\rho$ . The performance of the LDA in calculations is surprisingly good for such a method, but the gross overestimation of the bond energies, and the poor thermochemistry, had diminished its usefulness in dealing with most of the questions of interest in chemistry.

2. Methods that combine the electron density calculations with a gradient correction factor (GGA). In this approach the exchange-correlation functional depends on both the value and the gradient of the electron density (eq. (2.15)):

$$E_{xc} = \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \varepsilon_{xc}^{GGA} (\rho(\mathbf{r}), \nabla \rho(\mathbf{r})) d\mathbf{r}$$
(2.15)

The most common GGA functionals are PW91 85, PBE 86 and BLYP 87<sup>83</sup>.

In general, GGA functionals provide much better results for the calculation of most properties; however, they are not sufficient enough to be useful in the calculation of chemical reaction energies. GGA functionals are also very popular in the solid-state field because they give accurate geometries, elastic properties of periodic solids and qualitatively correct electronic band structures. However, they severely underestimate the electronic band gaps.

3. Methods that are a combination of a Hartree-Fock approximation to the exchange energy and a DFT approximation to the exchange energy, all combined with a functional that includes electron correlation (eqs. 2.16 and 2.17).

$$E_{xc} = \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \varepsilon_{xc}^{GGA} (\rho(\mathbf{r}), \nabla \rho(\mathbf{r}), \nabla^2 \rho(\mathbf{r}), \tau_{KS}(\mathbf{r})) d\mathbf{r} (2.16)$$

$$\tau_{KS}(\boldsymbol{r}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} |\nabla_{i}(\boldsymbol{r})|$$
(2.17)

These methods are known as hybrid methods, and are currently the most common and popular DFT method used in practice. A summary of sample methods by name, acronym and type is given in the Table 2.1.

Method name	Method type	Acronym
Hartree-Fock Slater functional	Hartree-Fock with local density	HFS
	approximation exchange	
Vosko, Wilks, and Nusair	Local Density Approximation	VWN
	(emphasis on electron correlation	
	approximation)	
Becke correlation functional;	Gradient-corrected LDA functional	BLYP
Lee, Yang, Parr electron		
exchange functional		
Becke 3-term correlation	Hybrid DFT	B3LYP
functional; Lee, Yang, and Parr		
exchange functional		
Perdew 1986 functional	Gradient-corrected LDA functional	P86
Becke 3-term correlation	Hybrid DFT	P3P86
functional; Perdew correlation		
term		
Modified Perdew-Wang one	Hybrid DFT	MPW1K
parameter hybrid for kinetics		

Table 2.1 Summary of sample methods used in DFT.

Of all these methods, in terms of practical applications, the B3LYP functional is considered to be the "industry standard".

#### 2.3.3 Application of DFT

Density functional theory is a general-purpose computational chemistry method, and as such, can be applied to the most systems. With the number of variations of this method (seven of them shown in the table above) – there is no simple guide to the correct choice of a DFT method. However, some general guidelines for the choice of a DFT method are listed below:

- B3LYP, run with a 6-31G\* or better basis set, is on average the best choice of a model chemistry for most systems. B3LYP/6-31G\* is particular good for organic molecules, but less so for metal containing compounds.
- 2. BLYP with most basis sets is the opposite of B3LYP: not particularly accurate with organics, but provides reasonably good energy values for metal-containing compounds.
- 3. BLYP and B3LYP methods perform close to the same for determination of charge densities on atoms in molecules.

- 4. Both gradient-corrected and hybrid methods provide high levels of accuracy in the determination of a geometry optimization.
- 5. B3LYP methods clearly provide better results for reaction chemistry calculations
- 6. DFT methods are considered to produce unacceptable results for weak hydrogen bonding interactions.

One important advantage is that DFT scales threedimensionally, or as N3, contrary to *Ab initio* methods that scale as N4. DFT calculations are slightly faster with better accuracy. What is most important, DFT methods overcome one of the main disadvantage of *ab initio* methods such as Hartree-Fock: the complete neglect of electron correlation. DFT can also perform calculations on some molecules that are not possible with *ab initio* methods, most notably transition metals. This is a serious advantage considering that a great number of recent studies showed that metal complexes express greater biological activity than free compounds. As biological activity undoubtedly depends on the molecule structure, in order to understand pharmacological activity a lot of investigations based on DFT are successfully developed in the last years.

For a proper understanding of the spectroscopic data a reliable assignment of all vibrational bands, DFT calculations, particularly those based on hybrid functional methods have evolved to a powerful quantum chemical tool for the determination of the electronic structure of molecules.<sup>84</sup>

Compounds containing 1,3-selenazole or 1,3-thiazole rings<sup>85</sup>, and also compound containing hydrazone moiety are separately subject of many research and lot of theoretical calculations has been done. Howerever, investigations of electronic, spectroscopic, geometrical or structural properties of (1,3-selenazole-2-yl)-hydrazones and (1,3-thiazole-2-yl)-hydrazones using quantum mechanical methods are very obscure.<sup>86–88</sup>

# 2.4 Introduction to X-ray crystallography<sup>89</sup>

X-ray crystallography is a very useful scientific method used to determine the arrangement of atoms, to obtain detailed information on molecular conformations, bond lengths and angles, as well as on intermolecular interactions in solid state.<sup>90–94</sup>

The crystal can be defined as a solid state of a substance with a periodic displacement of the motif in a crystal lattice, where in the structural motive can be an atom (ion), and a set of atoms, molecules, a group of molecules, or a combination thereof. One of the main properties of crystals is that they have smooth, properly formed surfaces called flat crystals (facets). The facets are commonly recognized by their shape, consisting of flat faces with sharp angles. The coordinate system of crystals (x, y, z) consists of three imaginary axis that cut at the center of the crystal and are chosen to be parallel to the existing or possible edges of the crystal.

Crystal system	Axes and axial angles	Minimum number of symmetry elements
Triclinic, <i>a</i>	The three axes of different length which are not perpendicular $a \neq b \neq c \ \alpha \neq \beta \neq \gamma \neq 90^{\circ}$	1-fold rotation axis
Monoclinic, m	Three axes of different lengths, one pair of axes is not perpendicular $a \neq b \neq c$ $\alpha = \gamma = 90^{\circ} \beta > 90^{\circ}$	2-fold rotation axis or mirror plane
Rhombic, <i>o</i> (orthorhombic)	Three mutually perpendicular axes of different lengths $a \neq b \neq c \ \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$	Three perpendicular 2- fold rotation axes or two mirror planes
Tetragonal, t	Three mutually perpendicular axes of which are of equal length $a = b \neq c \ \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$	4-fold rotation axes or roto-inversion axis
Trigonal, r	Three axes of equal length with equal angles that are different from 90° $a = b = c \ \alpha = \beta = \gamma \neq 90^{\circ}$	3-fold rotation axes or roto-inversion axis
Hexagonal, h	Two coplanar axes of equal length at an angle of about 120 ° and a third axis perpendicular to them and different lengths $a = b \neq c \ \alpha = \beta = 90^{\circ} \ \gamma = 120^{\circ}$	6-fold rotation axis or roto-inversion axis
Cubic, c	Three mutually perpendicular axes of equal length $a = b = c \ \alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$	Four 3-fold rotation axes, two will generate other two

**Table 2.2** Classification of crystals according to the length of the crystallographic axes

 and the angular size between them with given minimal number of elements of symmetry

It has been observed that the crystals of the same substance exhibit some mutual similarity and possess certain elements of symmetry, and can be classified according to the length of the crystallographic axes and the size of the angles on six crystal families and seven crystal systems (Table 2.2). Each family contains the same crystal system, the

exception is a hexagonal family that contains two systems: hexagonal and rhombohedral. For each crystal system, the minimum number of elements of symmetry is characteristic. The crystal can always be classified into a triclinic system, but the essence is to determine the highest symmetry and accordingly divide it.

The unit cell is an imaginary parallelepiped containing at least one structural motif. By combining these parallelepipeds in space, the whole structure is being built. In other words, the unit cell is the smallest possible element of a volume having a complete symmetry of the entire structure. The shape and dimensions of the unit cell are determined by the angles between the translation vector (in which directions the translation of the motif is made) and the lengths of these vectors. These sizes are determined by the parameters of the unit cell: the crystallographic axes *a*, *b*, *c*, which are filled with the *x*-, *y*- and *z*-axes of the crystal, and the angles  $\gamma$  (in the plane *ab*, opposite the *c*-axis),  $\beta$  (in the plane *ac*) and  $\alpha$  (in the plane *bc*). The unit cells are also classified into seven existing crystal systems (Table 2.2).

The position of the atom is defined by the fractional coordinates of the atoms, which represent the ratio of the coordinates of the atoms and the length of the corresponding axis. They are calculated as x = p/a, y = q/b i z = r/c, where p, q, r represent the coordinates of the atom. The possible range of the atomic coordinates is between 0 and 1. Fractional coordinates also define the positions of equivalent atoms in the space. Generally, the atomic coordinates are  $x \pm k$ ,  $y \pm l$ ,  $z \pm m$ , where are k, l, m = 0,  $1, 2, ... \infty$ .

Bravais lattices are formed as a result of a combination of crystal systems and possible translations of a structural motif. (Figure 2.9). The simplest lattices are called primitive and are designated as P, with the exception of rhombic, which is marked with R. For this type of lattice, translation is exclusively performed for the length of the crystallographic axis, and the points of the grid are located on the sharp edge of the unit cell. Each point on the sharp edge is at the same time the point of the eight adjacent unit cells and each P-lattice. Lattices in which translation is done for half the length of one or more axes are centered. They can be seen as a combination of at least two identical primitive lattices that are moved relative to one another. Depending on the translation vector, they can be classified into face centered (F), basal face centered (C) and body centered (I).

The asymmetric unit is defined as the elementary part of the space for which the fact that the application of symmetry operations can completely fill the space. By applying symmetry operations to an asymmetric unit, the content of the unit cell is obtained,

and by stacking unit cells in the space, a crystal structure is being built. When translation-free symmetry elements, expressed as elements of the symmetry of a point group (rotation axis, roto-inversion axis, center of symmetry and mirror plane) affect the unit cells, only 32 combinations are possible, which are designated as point symmetry groups.



**Figure 2.9** The unit cells with corresponding labels of the crystal family (little letter) and Bravais lattice (big letter).

The rotation axes are a combination of rotation and parallel translation with the axis, while the glide plane represents a combination of reflection and translation. By combining Bravais lattice, point groups, axis of rotation and glide plane, 230 crystallographic space groups are obtained. Space group markings begin with the symbol of the Bravais grid, followed by one, two or three markings of the present axes and levels. Space group tags begin with the symbol of the Bravais lattice, followed by one, two or three markings lattice, followed by one, two or three marks of the present axis and plane.

The crystal lattice can be described as a regular, infinite distribution of points in a space in which each single point has the same environment. If each point of the crystal lattice joins the structural motif, a crystal structure is obtained. Various sets of equidistant levels can be set through the crystal lattice, between which the distance is equal and is called the lattice spacing (d). The plane of the grid is marked with Miller indices,

which are integers, defined as the reciprocal values of the sections of these levels on the crystallographic axes and labeled with *hkl*.

The basic condition that needs to be fulfilled for the diffraction of electromagnetic radiation in X-ray radiation is nearness of wavelength of radiation and the dimension of the lattice. This condition is satisfied, since the X-rays have a wavelength of about 1 Å, while the lattice spacings are in the range of 1-10 Å. When the X-rays fall into a series of points (atoms), they become sources of secondary radiation, and the scattering occurs in all directions, where the scattering refers to an electronic shell.

In Figure 2.10 beams of X-rays falling to adjacent levels are shown. In order for the C and C' rays to be in the same phase, or that there would be constructive interference, it is obvious that A'C' ray should go a long way from the AC ray for the length 1B'2.The difference of these paths must be equal to the total number of wavelengths, *i.e.*  $1B'2 = n\lambda$ .

Since 1B' = B'2 =  $d \sin\theta$ , Bragg's law or equation is derived:  $n\lambda = 2d \sin\theta$  where n = 1, 2, 3... (2.18.)



Figure 2.10 Graphic view of the Bragg's law.

One of the concluding ideas from Bragg's law is that diffraction is an "arranged event"; three parameters need to be harmonized: the wavelength of the X-rays,  $\lambda$ , the crystal orientation as defined by the angle,  $\theta$ , and the spacing, d, of the crystal planes under consideration. For a given wavelength and set of planes one can conspire to arrange for diffraction to occur by, for example, continuously changing the orientation, *i.e.* changing  $\theta$ , until a point arrives when Bragg's law is satisfied: this is precisely when diffraction occurs. If the incident angle differs from Bragg's angle, there is a destructive interference, the rays are not in the phase and they are annulled.

For all experimental techniques, a source of X-ray radiation (usually monochromatic), a diffracted ray detector (film or counter) and a sample (monocrystalline or polycrystalline) is required. The diffraction pattern of the sample contains information on the structure of the compounds that can be obtained by indicating the diffractograms, which involves determining the Miller indexes and the parameters of the unit cell.

After determining the phase of one part or all reflections, different maps are calculated in which an approximate structure of the compound and the coordinates are approximately correct. They need to be pulled out and for that the least squares method is used. By alternating application of this method and calculation maps it is possible to locate all atoms and make a more accurate their coordinates and thermal parameters. As a general indicators of the actual agreement and superior structural model using various indicators of reliability indices: *R*-factor (*R*) and weight factor ( $R_w$ )

The primary results of determining the crystal structure are the atomic coordinates and their thermal parameters. Atomic displacement parameters are obtained as a result of vibration of the atom, usually due to the temperature change. The isotropic thermal parameters, *B* and *U*, are a significant indicator in determining the crystal structure. *B* can have values between 1 and 10 Å<sup>2</sup>. Values that deviate from this range indicate an error in a structural model.

The refinement of anisotropic thermal parameters assumes that the vibrations are isotropic, *i.e.* equal in all directions, and the atoms are represented as spheres. However, vibrations of atoms in molecular compounds are, as a rule, anisotropic and can be presented as ellipsoids. After the refinement of the structure with isotropic parameters,

the anisotropic parameters  $B_{ij}$  and  $U_{ij}$  are separated. On the basis of the atomic coordinates, interatomic distances (lengths) and angles are obtained.

The atomic coordinates are given in the coordinate system of crystals, which for simplicity are translated into orthogonal coordinates. The obtained distances and angles are compared with the literature data. Based on the results, torsional angles, significant geometric parameters describing the conformation of molecules, the mirror plane through the group of atoms, and the angle between two levels can be obtained. Torsional angles are significant in the analysis of nonplanar molecules, and the medium level in the analysis of compounds containing aromatic, planar rings.

The crystal structure, in addition to the coordinates of the atoms, implies the packaging of structural motifs in the crystal lattice. In organic molecules, the packaging depends on intermolecular forces (van der Val's and hydrogen bonds). The length of the hydrogen bond in which the oxygen is the donor is 2.73 Å. When the bonds are strong and short, usually of intramolecular type, they can only be 2.3 Å. The maximum distance of the two O atoms which form the hydrogen bond is 3.1 Å. The usual length of the hydrogen bond between N and O is about 2.9 Å.

## 2.5 Electrochemistry in analysis of organic compounds

The basis of electrochemistry represents chemical reactions which include an electron (a basic particle of electricity and electric current) as active participant. If the compound receives an electron in the interaction it is reduction, and *vice versa* if the compound gives an electron it is oxidation. The electrode reaction is always carried out at the boundary surface of the electrode-electrolyte <sup>95</sup>.

The basic element of the electrochemical system is an electrochemical cell with, at least, two electrodes (cathode and anode) and the solution of the electrolyte. Electrode reduction is performed on the cathode, and the electrode oxidation is performed on the anode. The electrolyte solution serves as a carrier of organic compound that reacts, but also as a transmitter of electrical energy in the electric circuit of the cell <sup>95</sup>.

Electroanalytical methodsare techniques inwhich analyte is studied by measuring the potential (volts) and/or current (amperes) in an electrochemical cell containing the analyte. Depending on which aspects of the cell are controlled and which are measured electroanalytical methods can be divided into three main categories:

- potentiometry(the difference in electrode potentials is measured),
- coulometry(the current is measured over time), and
- voltammetry(the current is measured while actively altering the potential).

Due to its high sensitivity, voltametric techniques have been favorable for the investigation of electrochemical behavior of biologically important compounds <sup>96</sup>.

#### 2.5.1 Voltammetry

Voltammetry is an electroanalytical method in which a time-dependent potential is applied to the electrochemical cell and the resulting current as a function of that potential is measured. The resulting plot of current *versus* applied potential is called avoltammogram, and it is the electrochemical equivalent of a spectrum in spectroscopy, providing quantitative and qualitative information about the species involved in the oxidation or reduction reaction <sup>97</sup>. A modern voltammetric system consists of three electrodes immersed into a solution of analyte and the basic electrolyte which does not react. A typical electrochemical cell for voltammetry is shown in the Figure 2.11.

Working electrode is the electrode on which the desired phenomenon is examined. The potential on the working electrode can be defined value or can be linearly changed over time. The electrode has a small surface and can be constructed using several different materials: mercury, platinum, gold, silver, and carbon. Mercury is the oldest used material and there are:

- hanging mercury drop electrode(HMDE),
- dropping mercury electrode(DME),
- static mercury drop electrode(SMDE) and
- mercury film electrode

Solid electrodes are constructed using inert metals (platinum, gold, silver), or carbon. Except for the carbon paste electrode, a solid electrode is a disk sealed into the end of an inert support. The carbon paste electrode is made by filling the cavity at the end of the inert support with a paste consisting of carbon particles and viscous oil.



Figure 2.11 Electrochemical cell for voltammetry.

The second electrode is a reference and its potential is known and stable<sup>98</sup>. The reference electrode is introduced into the cell *via* Luggin capillary. The tip of the electrode should be positioned as close as possible to the working electrode, so the resistance of the electrolyte between the electrode and the capillary tip can be reduced to a negligible value. The reference electrode should be separated from the cell solution using electrolytic bridge to prevent leakage of the electrolyte from the cell into the electrolyte of the reference electrode, and *vice versa*.<sup>95</sup>

The third electrode is the auxiliary electrode (counter electrode), which is often a platinum or gold wire, and allows electricity flow through the solution, from the source to the working electrode<sup>95</sup>. The electrode counter is placed at a greater or lesser distance from the working electrode.

There are many different voltammetric techniques. The most common forms of voltammetry currently in use are:

- polarography (voltammetry with the linear change of the potential)
- pulse voltametry (normal and differential)
- cyclic voltammetry and
- stripping voltammetry.

## 2.5.2 Cyclic voltammetry

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) has become an important and widely used electroanalytical technique in many areas of chemistry. This technique is based on varying the applied potential at a working electrode in both forward and reverse directions (at some scan rate) while monitoring the current. As shown in Fig x2a the initial scan can start from the initial E in positive direction to the switching potential. In this part oxidation reaction takes place. At the point of switching E the scan is reversed and run in the negative direction. In this part reduction takes place. Depending on the analysis, one full cycle, a partial cycle, or a series of cycles can be performed. The scan rate, in most cases, varies from 0.1 mV s<sup>-1</sup> to  $1.0 \text{ V s}^{-1}$ .

The response obtained from a CV for reversible redox system is shown in Figure 2.12.



**Figure 2.12** Details for cyclic voltammetry; (a) one cycle of the triangular potentialexcitation signal showing the initial potential and the switching potential. (b) The resulting cyclic voltammogram showing the measurement of the peak currents and peak potentials.

The important parameters in a cyclic voltammogram are the peak potentials (Ep,c and Ep,a) and peak currents (ip,c and ip,a) of the cathodic and anodic peaks, respectively. If the electron transfer process is fast compared with other processes (such as diffusion), the reaction is said to be electrochemically reversible, and the peak separation is:

$$\Delta E = |Ep, \mathbf{a} - Ep, \mathbf{c}| \tag{2.19}$$

The peak current is related to the concentration by the Randles–Sevcik equation (T=25 °C):

$$i_p = (2.69 \times 10^5) n^{3/2} A D^{1/2} v^{1/2} C = KC$$
 (2.20)

where  $i_p$  is the peak current in amperes, *A* is the electrode area (cm<sup>2</sup>), *D* is the diffusion coefficient (cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), *C* is the concentration in mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and n is the scan rate in V s<sup>-199-101</sup>.

For a well-behaved system, the anodic and cathodic peak currents are equal, and the ratio ip,a/ip,c is 1.00. The half-wave potential,  $E_{1/2}$ , is midway between the anodic and cathodic peak potentials.

$$E_{1/2} = (E_{p,a} + E_{p,c})/2 \tag{2.21}$$

Cyclic voltammetry is a very good method to investigate the kinetics of electrochemical reactions. Scanning the potential in both directions provides the opportunity to explore the electrochemical behavior of species generated at the electrode. This is a distinct advantage of cyclic voltammetry over other voltammetric techniques.

#### 2.5.3 Electrochemistry of hydrazones

Many physiologically active hydrazones and their metal complexes find application in the treatment of variety of diseases as tuberculosis, leprosy and mental disorder <sup>102</sup>. As oxidation and reduction represents one of many ways in drug metabolism it is, therefore, very useful to elucidate redox properties of such compounds. Electrochemical methods are favorable to obtain this information and successfully used on various biological compounds.

In the literature, there are many published works on the electrochemical reduction of azo and hydrazone compounds. The hydrazones are electroactive compounds. The first systematic electrochemical study of hydrazones was performed by Lund in late 1950s<sup>103</sup> and since then, there are many published works on the electrochemical reduction of hydrazones<sup>104–109</sup>. Electrochemical activity of hydrazones has been investigated in both protic, and aprotic solvents. Under aqueous conditions, C=N–NH group converts to CH–NH–NH group by reduction that consists of two-electron, two-proton transfer. In aprotic solvents, like DMF, the hydrolysis of imine is hampered and it is good to examine electrochemical behavior in such conditions<sup>110</sup>.

Andrieux et al. studied electrochemical reduction of various imines in acetonitrile and DMF and came to conclusion that reduction was one two-electron transfer or two one-electron transfer<sup>110</sup>. Isse et al. investigated electrochemical reduction of the Schiff bases in DMF and published that self-protonation reaction is involved in the reduction process, while Kononenko et al. claimed that the first wave observed in the electrochemical reduction was an irreversibletwo-electron transfer reaction and that overall process is controlled by diffusion of the depolarizer to the electrode<sup>111</sup>.

Ozel et al. have demonstrated that some substituted hydrazones have two reduction and two oxidation peaks at platinum electrode in DMF. They proposed an electrode reaction mechanism pathway for these hydrazones. Das et al.<sup>96</sup> investigated electrochemical properties of some isatin-3-hydrazones and their metal complexes and revealed that electrochemical reduction is influenced by the nature of groups attached to carbon atom (-N=CR<sub>1</sub>R<sub>2</sub>).

By far there are no literature data about electrochemical investigation of 1,3selenazol-and 1,3-thiazole-2-yl-hydrazones. In this dissertation electrochemical behavior of eighteen hydrazones derivatives and their Cu complexes has been examined. The electrochemical characteristics of investigated compounds may be useful in the future research on their action mechanism as well as pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic purposes in biological media, if they find use as drugs.

## 2.6 Biological activity

Compounds containing nitrogen and sulphur atoms in their structure are the subject of ongoing research in medical chemistry<sup>112</sup>. A large number of heterocyclic nitrogen or sulphur compounds are interesting targets for the design of different types of drugs.<sup>113–116</sup>

It is known that thiazoles and selenazoles, and their hydrazone derivatives exhibit a wide spectrum of biological activity and are used as antioxidants, analgesics, anticonvulsants, antidepressants, antiinflammatory and antitubercular agents. In addition, they are used as cardioprotective agents, and they are also known for antihelmictic and anticancer effects.<sup>9,18,63</sup>

## 2.6.1 Antimicrobial activity

Antimicrobial resistance is a serious public health problem particularly in hospitals and other health care settings. During the last decade, due to an increase of pathogen resistance, the antimicrobial agents are losing their efficiency. The evolution of bacterial resistance can be attributed to the use and overuse of antibiotics and transmission of resistance within and between individuals. Therefore, there is an urgent need for development of new classes of antimicrobials that may not be as susceptible to bacterial resistance mechanisms as the current drugs.<sup>117</sup>. The strategy of the pharmaceutical industry is reflected in the changes in the molecular structure of existing antibiotics in order to improve their efficacy. The reason for this is the synthesis and testing of new antimicrobial substances that will have a wide range of effects, will not cause resistance and will show low potential for causing adverse effects. Due to the specificity in the bacteria, there are many antibacterial targets, and the most successful agents work on building a bacterial cell wall, protein synthesis, or replication and DNA transcription.<sup>118</sup>

The parameters that determine the sensitivity of bacteria and fungi to the existing antimicrobial drugs and new antimicrobial agents in the study are:

a) Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC),

b) Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC).

The minimum (or minimal) inhibitory concentration (MIC) is the lowest concentration of a specific chemical that inhibits the growth of a specific microorganism.

The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) is the lowest concentration of an antibacterial agent required to kill a particular bacterium. The MBC is identified by determining the lowest concentration of antibacterial agent that reduces the viability of the initial bacterial inoculum by  $\geq$ 99.9%.

# 2.6.1.1 Antimicrobial activity of (1,3-thiazol-2-yl) and (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones

Only few studies dealed with the antimicrobial activities of (1,3-thiazol-2-yl) and (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones. Chimenti et al. tested a series of 2,4-disubstituted

thiazoles derivatives and against 20 clinical isolates of pathogenic Candida spp and revealed a promising inhibitory activity.<sup>119,120</sup> Łaczkowski et al. tested twenty different thio(seleno)hydrazones for their antimicrobial activity against a panel of reference strains of 27 microorganisms, including Gram-positive bacteria, Gram-negative bacteria, and fungi belonging to yeasts. They showed that investigated compound have fungistatic/fungicidal or bacteriostatic/bactericidal effect.<sup>121</sup>

Mbaveng et al. determined the antibacterial activities of a panel of ptoluenesulfonyl-hydrazinothiazoles and hydrazinoselenazoles against different bacterial strains the obtained demonstrated that hydrazinoselenazoles are strong source of inspiration in antibacterial drug discovery.<sup>15</sup>

#### 2.6.2 Antioxidant activity

Free radicals are extremely reactive chemical species (molecules, atoms or ions) that can occur in the human organism, even in normal physiological processes. Each organism has the ability to neutralize the production of free radicals by its natural mechanisms, which are found in cells or introduced through a food.Oxidative stress is essentially an imbalance between the production of free radicals and the ability of the body to counteract or detoxify their harmful effects through neutralization by antioxidants<sup>122</sup>. Oxidative stress leads to many pathophysiological conditions in the body. For this reason, optimal antioxitant protection against potentially harmful chemical species is essential for life, and the modern medicine devotes a lot of attention to find new anti-oxidants, "scavengers" of free radicals. The chemical disappearance of free radicals arises due to the tendency for the pairing of unpaired electrons at the last energy level of the atom. In most cases, this process is monitored by the disturbance of the stability of other molecules, and sometimes by the movement of chain reactions that cannot be controlled<sup>122</sup>. The greatest damage is due to the fact that free radicals damage their genetic material when attacking cell membranes, causing the occurrence of various diseases<sup>123</sup>. Depending on the element that is included in their composition, there are three major groups:

- 1. Reactive Oxygen Species ROS;
- 2. Reactive Nitrogen Species RNS;
- 3. Reactive Sulfur Species RSS.

Antioxidants are molecules which can safely interact with free radicals and terminate the chain reaction before vital molecules are damaged. Although there are several enzyme systems within the body that scavenge free radicals, the principle micronutrient (vitamin) antioxidants are vitamin E, beta-carotene, and vitamin C. Additionally, selenium, a trace metal that is required for proper function of one of the body's antioxidant enzyme systems, is sometimes included in this category. The body cannot manufacture these micronutrients so they must be supplied in the diet. Antioxidants operatethrough different mechanisms:

- As inhibitors of oxidative reactions involving free radicals;
- By interrupting the chain reaction of auto-oxidation of the substrate;
- Through synergy with other antioxidants;
- As metallic ionchelator and/or translating redox active pro-oxidants (iron and copper derivatives) into stable products;
- As inhibitors of pro-oxidative enzymes (e.g. lipoxygenase)<sup>124</sup>.

The product must be stable and less harmful than radicals. If the organism has low levels of antioxidants or low levels of antioxidant enzymes, oxidative stress is created can damage the cells and cause aging, as well as many pathological conditions, such as arterosclerosis, diabetes, and cancer<sup>125</sup>.

# 2.6.2.1 Antioxidant activity of (1,3-thiazol-2-yl) and (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones

Selenium and sulphur inorganic and organic compounds and proteins are well known by their antioxidant properties <sup>8,118,125–127</sup>. On the other hand, the only study of antioxidant capacity of (1,3-thiazol-2-yl)hydrazones has been done by Shih at al <sup>128</sup>. They showed that thiazole ring contributes to the antioxidant activity of investigated compounds. To the best of our knowledge there is no such study published for (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones.

## 2.6.3 Anticancer activity

Cancer is a class of diseases characterized by the development of abnormal cells that divide uncontrollably and have the ability to infiltrate and destroy normal body tissue. There are more than 100 different types of malignant tumors, which can occur within certain organs. In order to develop new, applicable anti-cancer drugs, it is necessary to understand the complex mechanisms of the process of carcinogenic and cellular death<sup>129</sup>.

Cell culture has become an indispensable tool to help uncover fundamental biophysical and biomolecular mechanisms by which cells assemble into tissues and organs, how these tissues function, and how that function becomes disrupted in disease. Cell culture is now widely used in biomedical research, tissue engineering, regenerative medicine, and industrial practices. There are two different ways of how cells can be cultured: two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) structures.

## 2.6.3.1 Activity testing of the compounds on the 2D model

Conventional 2D cell culture relies on adherence to a flat surface to provide mechanical support for the cells (glass or polystyrene petri dish). Presence of nutrients and growth factors in the medium allows for homogenous growth and proliferation<sup>130</sup>. This characteristic makes 2D platforms attractive to biologists and clinical users due to simplicity and efficiency. However, most of these 2D methods do not provide control of cell shape, which determines biophysical cues affecting cell bioactivities in vivo. For over a century, two-dimensional (2D) cell cultures have been used as *in vitro* models to study cellular responses to stimulations from biophysical and biochemical cues. Although testing using 2D model help understanding of cell behavior, growing evidence shows that bioactivities resulted from 2D cell culture assay deviate significantly from the *in vivo* response. For instance, some important characteristics of cancer cells cannot be appropriately modeled in 2D cultures <sup>131</sup>. To overcome this limitation, novel 3D cell culture platforms are being created to better mimic *in vivo* conditions<sup>132–134</sup>.

## 2.6.3.2 Activity testing of the compounds on the 3D model

Cells in our organism perform their biological function in response to the stimulation of a highly complex 3D microenvironment. By choosing suitable microenvironment it is possible to control the tissue formation<sup>135–137</sup>. A well-designed microenvironment in tissue and cell engineering can be used to promote proliferation, migration, matrix production, and stem cell differentiation. There is a great deal of

evidence that the cells are organized into three-dimensional (3D) models by morphological and physiological parameters much more similar to the parent tumor cells compared to the same cells maintained in the 2D culture184. Therefore, 3D cultures represent an excellent in vitro replacement for animal testing in terms of cell proliferation rate, cell differentiation, gene and protein expression, and cell response to the examined treatment. The introduction of 3D cell culture approaches aimed at modeling the *in vivo* interactions of tissues and organs has opened new possibilities in studying the underlying biochemical and biomechanical signals<sup>138,139</sup>.

## 2.6.3.3 Anticancer activity of (1,3-thiazol-2-yl) and (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones

While 1,3-thiazoles are well known by their anticancer activity, and the Ayati et al. summarized all the testing that have been done<sup>9</sup>, their selenium analogs have been studied in much less extent. To the best of our knowledge, there are only two systematic anticancer activity studies of 1,3-selenazoles<sup>63,140</sup>. 1,3-Selenazole has been tested on two human cancer cell lines: DU-145 and Hep-G2 by Zaharia et al.<sup>63</sup> and MCF-7 and L1210 by Zhao et al<sup>140</sup>.

# **3 EXPERIMENTAL PART**

In order to study the synthesis, structure and biological properties, two series of 1,3-seleno(thi)azoles-2-yl-hydrazones were synthesized and characterized. Both series were synthesized by Hantzsch's reactionreaction between the corresponding selenosemicarbazone and 2-bromoacetophenone and its derivatives which in p- position contain a methyl or methoxy group. The first series was obtained by reacting mentioned bromo compounds with pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde-seleno(thio)semicarbazone (**Hfpse(t)sc**), while the second series was obtained from nitro (o-, p- and m-) benzaldehyde-selenosemicarbazone (o-(m-p-)nsesc).

## 3.1 Synthesis 1,3-seleno(thi)azoles-2-yl-hydrazones and complexes

## 3.1.1 General procedure for synthesis first series HL(Se/S)<sup>1-3</sup>

2-Bromoacetophenone (**2-baf**) and its para- methyl or methoxy substituted derivatives (0.5 mmol) was added into suspension of **Hfpse(t)sc** (0.5 mmol) in 20 mL  $H_2O$  / EtOH mixture (1 : 1, v/v) and stirred for 3 h at room temperature (Scheme 3.1). Yellow precipitate was filtered off and washed three times with  $H_2O$  and EtOH. 2-Formylpyridine selenosemicarbazone(**Hfpsesc**) and 2-formylpyridine thiosemicarbazone (**Hfptsc**) were obtained by condensation of 2-formylpyridine with selenosemicarbazide/ thiosemicarbazide according to the reported literature procedures <sup>141,142</sup>.



**Scheme 3.1** General procedure for the synthesis of first series 1,3-seleno(thi)azoles-2-yl-hydrazones.
#### 3.1.2 General procedure for synthesis complexes with $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$

Into suspension of  $HL(Se/S)^{1-3}$  (0.30 mmol) in 20 mL of MeOH, solid  $Co(BF_4)_2 \times 6H_2O$  (0.15 mmol) was added. Obtained rotten cherry solution was refluxed for 1 h. After two days emerald colored single crystals were filtered off, washed with cold MeOH and Et<sub>2</sub>O. (Scheme 3.2)



Scheme 3.2 Co(III) Complexes of first series 1,3-seleno(thi)azoles-2-yl-hydrazones.

#### 3.1.3 General procedure for synthesis second series

Benzylidiene-hydrazine carboselenoamides (**basesc**) and nitro substituted benzylidiene-hydrazine carboselenoamides (**Nbasesc**) were suspended (0.5 mmol) were suspended in water/ethanol mixture and the corresponding aromatic  $\alpha$ -bromoketone (0.5 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was heated and stirred for 4 h. After completion of the reaction, monitored by TLC (ethyl acetate/hexane 1:1 v/v), the obtained precipitate was filtered off and washed with cold solvent. (Scheme 3.3)



**Scheme 3.3** General procedure for the synthesis of second series 1,3-selenoazoles-2-yl-hydrazones.

#### 3.2 Characterization of synthesized compounds and complexes

Thiosemicarbazide (99 %), potassium selenocyanate (99 %), hydrazine hydrate (99 %), 2-formylpyridine (99 %) and 2-bromoacetophenone (98 %) were obtained from Acros Organics. 2-Bromo-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethan-1-one (97 %) and 2-bromo-1-(4-methylphenyl)ethan-1-one (97 %) were obtained from Maybridge. 2-Nitrobenzaldehyde (98%), 3-nitrobenzaldehyde (99%) , 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (98%) and cobalt(II) tetrafluoroborate hexahydrate (99%) was obtained from Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Steinheim, Germany). All solvents (reagent grade) were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification.

Elemental analyses (C, H, N, S) were performed by the standard micromethods using the ELEMENTAR Vario EL III CHNS/O analyzer. Infra-red (IR) spectra were recorded on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet 6700 FT-IR spectrometer by the Attenuated Total Reflection (ATR) technique in the region 4000–400 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Abbreviations used for IR spectra: vs, very strong; s, strong; m, medium; w, weak. The NMR spectra were performed on a Bruker Avance 500 equipped with broad-band direct probe. NMR spectral assignments and structural parameters were obtained by combined use of 1H homonuclear spectroscopy (2D COSY) and multinuclear proton detected spectroscopy (2D HSQC, 2D HMBC). Chemical shifts are given on  $\delta$  scale relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C. Abbreviations used for NMR spectra: s, singlet; dd, doublet of doublets; ddd, double doublet. UV-Vis spectrum was recorded on Uv-1800 Shimadzu spectrophotometer in 250-600 nm range using a quartz cell with 1.0 cm path length. For 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) scavenging activity, absorbance at 517 nm was measured using a Thermo Scientific Appliskan. Molar conductivity measurement was performed at ambient temperature on the Crison Multimeter MM41. Detailed characterization of both groups of synthesized compounds is given below (Figure 7.1-Figure 7.78):

#### 2-(2-(2-pyridinylmethylene)hydrazinyl)-4-(phenyl)-1,3-selenazole(HLSe<sup>1</sup>).

2 Bromoacetophenone (0.10 g; 0.5 mmol) was added into suspension of Hfpsesc (0.11 g; 0.5 mmol) in 20 mL H<sub>2</sub>O / EtOH mixture (1:1, v/v) and stirred for 3 h at room temperature. Yellow precipitate was filtered off and washed three times with H<sub>2</sub>O and

EtOH. Yield: 0.11 g (68 %). IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3054 (w), 2958 (w), 2847 (w), 2714 (m), 1596 (m), 1570 (s), 1480 (s), 1434 (m), 1355 (m), 1261 (s), 1144 (s), 1027 (w), 1001 (w), 923 (w), 893 (w), 766 (m), 700 (s), 656 (w), 591 (w), 515 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ H: 7.30 (t, 1H, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.36 (m, 1H), 7.40 (t, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.76(s, 1H), 7.87 (m, 4H), 8.12 (s, 1H), 8.58 (d, 1H, J = 4.4 Hz), 12.54 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ C: 108.17, 119.20, 123.70, 125.77, 127.40, 128.60, 135.37, 136.79, 142.26, 149.47, 151.45, 153.16, 170.99. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>4</sub>Se (%): C, 55.05; H, 3.70; N, 17.12. Found: C, 55.23; H, 3.82; N, 17.29.

**2-(2-(2-pyridinylmethylene) hydrazinyl)-4-(4-metoxyphenyl)-1,3-selenazole**(HLSe<sup>2</sup>). HLSe<sup>2</sup> was synthesized in a similar way to HLSe<sup>1</sup>, but using 2-bromo-4'methoxyacetophenone (0.11 g; 0.5 mmol) instead of 2-bromoacetophenone. Yield: 0.13 g (72 %). IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 2929 (w), 2832 (w), 2677 (m), 1598 (m), 1574 (s), 1482 (s), 1249 (s), 1028 (m), 843 (w), 666 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ H: 3.78 (s, 3H), 6.95 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 7.37 (m, 1H), 7.56 (s, 1H), 7.78 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 7.86 (m, 2H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 8.58 (d, 1H, *J* = 4.7 Hz), 12.49 (s, 1H).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ C: 55.10, 105.64, 113.95, 119.19, 123.66, 127.08, 128.60, 136.79, 142.20, 149.45, 153.19, 158.68, 170.94. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>OSe (%): C, 53.79; H, 3.95; N, 15.68. Found: C, 53.48; H, 4.12; N, 15.32.

**2-(2-(2-pyridinylmethylene) hydrazinyl)-4-(4-methylphenyl)-1,3-selenazole**(HLSe<sup>3</sup>). HLSe<sup>3</sup> was synthesized in a similar way to HSe<sup>1</sup>, but using 2-bromo-4'methylacetophenone (0.11 g; 0.5 mmol) instead of 2-bromoacetophenone. Yield: 0.12 g (69 %). IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 2970 (w), 2953 (w), 2711 (w), 1601 (m), 1573 (s), 1481 (s), 1433 (m), 1257 (m), 1148 (m), 1039 (w), 818 (w), 667 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ H: 2.30 (s, 3H), 7.19 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.35 (m, 1H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 7.73 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 7.84 (m, 1H), 7.86 (m, 1H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 8.57 (d, 1H, *J* = 4.7 Hz), 12.54 (s, 1H).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ C: 20.79, 106.94, 119.20, 123.68, 125.71, 129.17, 132.65, 136.67, 136.80, 142.21, 149.45, 151.67, 153.18, 170.97. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>Se (%): C, 56.31; H, 4.13; N, 16.42. Found: C, 55.99; H, 3.96; N, 16.45.

#### 2-(2-(2-pyridinylmethylene) hydrazinyl)-4-(phenyl)-1,3-thiaazole (HLS<sup>1</sup>).

2-Bromoacetophenone (0.10 g; 0.5 mmol) was added into suspension of Hfptsc (0.09 g; 0.5 mmol) in 20 mL H<sub>2</sub>O / EtOH mixture (1:1, v/v) and stirred for 3 h at room

temperature. Yellow precipitate was filtered off and washed three times with H<sub>2</sub>O and EtOH. Yield: 0.11 g (76 %). IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3053 (w), 2953 (w), 2747 (w), 1696 (m), 1570 (s), 1481 (m), 1435 (w), 1262 (m), 1144 (m), 767 (m), 700 (m). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ H: 7.30 (t, 1H, *J* = 7.3 Hz) 7.34 (m, 1H), 7.35 (s, 1H), 7.40 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 7.85 (m, 4H), 8.08 (s, 1H,), 8.57 (d, 1H, *J* = 4.4 Hz), 12.44 (s, 1H).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ C: 104.19, 119.18, 123.62, 125.55, 127.63, 128.65, 134.58, 136.75, 141.51, 149.45, 150.69, 153.24, 167.84. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>4</sub>S (%): C, 64.26; H, 4.31; N, 19.98; S, 11.44. Found: C, 63.98; H, 4.09; N, 20.17; S, 11.39.

**2-(2-(2-pyridinylmethylene) hydrazinyl)-4-(4-metoxyphenyl)-1,3-thiaazole** (HLS<sup>2</sup>). HLS<sup>2</sup> was synthesized in a similar way to HLS<sup>1</sup>, but using 2-bromo-4'methoxyacetophenone (0.11 g; 0.5 mmol) instead of 2-bromoacetophenone. Yield: 0.12 g (74 %). IR (ATR,  $v_{max}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3186 (w), 3102 (w), 2950 (w), 1567 (s), 1464 (m), 1435 (m), 1353 (m), 1241 (m), 1146 (m), 1030 (w), 912 (w), 832 (m), 732 (m), 696 (m). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ H: 3.78 (s, 3H), 6.97 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.9 Hz), 7.18 (s, 1H), 7.34 (m, 1H), 7.79 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.9 Hz), 7.83 (m, 1H), 7.86 (m, 1H), 8.06 (s, 1H), 8.57 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.0 Hz), 12.38 (s, 1H).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-*d*6)  $\delta$ C: 55.10, 102.01, 113.98, 119.12, 123.57, 126.85, 127.42, 136.73, 141.36, 149.43, 150.52, 153.24, 158.84, 167.67. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>OS (%): C,61.92; H, 4.55; N, 18.05; S, 10.33. Found: C, 61.66; H, 4.39; N, 18.22; S, 10.56.

**2-(2-(2-pyridinylmethylene)hydrazinyl)-4-(4-methylphenyl)-1,3-thiaazole (HLS<sup>3</sup>). HLS<sup>3</sup>** was synthesized, by previously published method, <sup>S143</sup> in a similar way to **HLS<sup>1</sup>**, but using 2-bromo-4'-methylacetophenone (0.11 g; 0.5 mmol) instead of 2-bromoacetophenone. Yield: 0.11 g (75 %). IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3176 (w), 3109 (w), 3066 (w), 2930 (w), 2850 (w), 2717 (m), 1599 (m), 1573 (vs), 1478 (s), 1456 (w), 1431 (w), 1407 (w), 1360 (w), 1298 (w), 1271 (vs, 1148 (s), 1115 (w), 1089 (w), 1047(m), 1000 (m), 913(m), 879 (w), 839 (w), 789 (w), 766 (w), 726 (m), 681 (m), 637 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ H: 2.31 (s, 3H), 7.21 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.27 (s, 1H), 7.34 (m, 1H), 7.75 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 7.84 (m, 1H), 7.86 (m, 1H), 8.07 (s, 1H), 8.57 (d, 1H, *J* = 4.5 Hz), 12.40 (s, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ C: 20.78, 103.22, 119.13, 123.57, 125.46, 129.18, 131.91, 136.71, 136.88, 141.41, 149.43, 150.71, 153.24, 167.70. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{16}H_{14}N_4S$  (%): C, 65.28; H, 4.79; N, 19.09; S, 10.89. Found: C, 65.46; H, 4.84; N, 18.99; S, 10.46.

#### Synthesis of 1-Se:

Into suspension of **HLSe<sup>1</sup>** (0.10 g; 0.30 mmol) in 20 mL of MeOH, solid  $Co(BF_4)_2 \times 6H_2O$  (0.05 g; 0.15 mmol) was added. Obtained rotten cherry solution was refluxed for 1 h. After two days emerald colored single crystals were filtered off, washed with cold MeOH and Et<sub>2</sub>O.Yield: 0.07 g (61 %).  $\Lambda_M$  (1 × 10<sup>-3</sup> M, MeOH) = 103.2 $\Omega^{-1}$  cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>. IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3054 (w), 1600 (m), 1570 (w), 1540 (m), 1479 (m), 1407 (vs), 1345 (s), 1233 (m), 1126 (s), 1103 (m), 1050 (m), 882 (w), 842 (w), 729 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ H: 6.75 (s, 1H), 6.75 (d, 2H, *J* = 6.4 Hz), 7.29 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 7.4 Hz, *J* = 5.9 Hz, *J* = 1.4 Hz), 7.40 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 7.49 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.3 Hz), 7.58 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *J* = 6.4 Hz, *J* = 1.2 Hz), 7.68 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, *J* = 0.8 Hz), 7.82 (s, 1H), 7.95 (td, 1H, *J* = 7.7, Hz, *J* = 1.3 Hz).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ C: 110.90, 123.68, 125.99, 127.66, 128.89, 129.30, 133.13, 139.52, 141.26, 148.22, 149.33, 159.94, 183.60. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>22</sub>CoN<sub>8</sub>Se<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> (%):C, 45.14; H, 2.78; N, 14.04. Found: C, 45.42; H, 2.54; N, 13.89 %.

#### Synthesis of 2-Se:

**2-Se** was synthesized in a similar way to **1-Se**, but using **HLSe**<sup>2</sup> (0.11 g; 0.30 mmol) instead of **HLSe**<sup>1</sup>. XRD quality single crystals were obtained by diffusion of EtOAc vapor into the solution of **2-Se** in DMSO. Yield: 0.07 g (57 %).  $\Lambda_{\rm M}$  (1 × 10<sup>-3</sup> M, MeOH) = 82.4 $\Omega^{-1}$  cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>. IR (ATR,  $\nu_{\rm max}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3114 (w), 2962 (w), 2836 (w), 1603 (m), 1526 (m), 1477 (m), 1390 (s), 1340 (s), 1238 (s), 1128 (s), 1053 (s), 886 (m), 831 (m), 742 (w), 671 (w), 515 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ H: 3.89 (s, 3H), 6.65 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.4 Hz), 6.69 (s, 1H), 6.93 (d, 2H, *J*=8.6 Hz), 7.29 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 7.2 Hz, *J* = 6.1 Hz, *J* = 0.9 Hz), 7.50 (d, 1H, *J*= 5.7 Hz), 7.69 (d, 1H, *J*=7.7 Hz), 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.95 (td, 1H, *J* = 7.9 Hz, *J* = 0.8 Hz).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ C: 55.28, 110.57, 112.90, 123.49, 125.29, 125.77, 130.08, 139.11, 141.09, 147.97, 149.17, 159.94, 183.19.Anal. Calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>26</sub>CoN<sub>8</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O (%): C, 43.86; H, 3.22; N, 12.79. Found: C, 43.52; H, 2.93; N, 12.87 %.

#### Synthesis of 3-Se:

**3-Se** was synthesized in a similar way to **1-Se**, but using **HLSe**<sup>3</sup> (0.10 g; 0.30 mmol) instead of **HLSe**<sup>1</sup>.Yield: 0.07 g (58 %).  $\Lambda_{\rm M}$  (1 × 10<sup>-3</sup> M, MeOH) = 110.6  $\Omega^{-1}$  cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>. IR (ATR,  $v_{\rm max}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3128 (w), 3082 (w), 1602 (m), 1530 (m), 1481 (m), 1393 (s), 1342 (s), 1318 (m), 1239 (m), 1131 (m), 1055 (s), 885 (w), 847 (w), 740 (w), 669 (w), 517 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ H: 2.46 (s, 3H), 6.62 (d, 2H, *J*= 7.7 Hz), 6.68 (s, 1H), 7.19 (d, 2H, *J*=7.6 Hz), 7.28 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 7.4 Hz, *J* = 5.9 Hz, *J* = 1.4 Hz), 7.48 (d, 1H, *J*= 5.5 Hz), 7.68 (dd, 1H, *J*=7.9 Hz, *J* = 0.6 Hz), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.94 (td, 1H, *J* = 7.8 Hz, *J* = 1.3 Hz).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ C: 21.05, 110.54, 123.42, 125.71, 127.92, 128.59, 130.16, 138.36, 139.19, 141.05, 148.12, 149.07, 159.85, 183.31. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>26</sub>CoN<sub>8</sub>Se<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> (%): C, 46.52; H, 3.17; N, 13.56. Found: C, 46.74; H, 2.98; N, 13.82 %.

#### Synthesis of 1-S:

**1-S** was synthesized in a similar way to **1-Se**, but using **HLS**<sup>1</sup> (0.08 g; 0.30 mmol) instead of **HLSe**<sup>1</sup>.Yield: 0.11 g (65 %).  $A_{\rm M}$  (1 × 10<sup>-3</sup> M, MeOH) = 94.4 $\Omega^{-1}$  cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>. IR (ATR,  $v_{\rm max}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3055 (w), 1600 (m), 1570 (w), 1539 (m), 1478 (m), 1396 (s), 1346 (s), 1237 (s), 1129 (s), 1044 (s), 901 (w), 753 (w), 696 (w), 661 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ H: 6.56 (s, 1H), 6.77 (d, 2H, *J* = 6.9 Hz,), 7.29 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 7.3 Hz, *J* = 6.1 Hz, *J* = 1.3 Hz), 7.42 (t, 2H, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 7.53 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.6 Hz), 7.60 (t, 1H, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.66 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 7.82 (s, 1H), 7.96 (td, 1H, *J* = 7.8, Hz, *J* = 1.2 Hz).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ C: 108.12, 123.28, 126.06, 127.73, 128.78, 129.54, 131.63, 139.47, 141.27, 147.89, 149.19, 159.81, 180.47. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>22</sub>CoN<sub>8</sub>S<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> (%): C, 51.15; H, 3.15; N, 15.91; S, 9.10. Found: C, 51.07; H, 3.24; N,15.72; S, 9.35 %.

#### Synthesis of 2-S:

**2-S** was synthesized in a similar way to **1-Se**, but using **HLS**<sup>2</sup> (0.09 g; 0.30 mmol) instead of **HSe**<sup>1</sup>.Yield: 0.07 g (63 %).  $\Lambda_{\rm M}$  (1 × 10<sup>-3</sup> M, MeOH) = 102.2  $\Omega^{-1}$  cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>. IR (ATR,  $\nu_{\rm max}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3148 (w), 3079 (w), 3042 (w), 1602 (m), 1531 (m), 1494 (m), 1394 (s), 1344 (m), 1323 (m), 1243 (s), 1133 (m), 1105 (m), 1049 (m), 1019 (m), 904 (w), 820 (w), 778 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ H: 3.23 (s, 3H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 6.65 (d, 2H, *J*= 8.6 Hz), 6.94 (d, 2H, *J*=8.6 Hz), 7.27 (ddd, 1H, *J* = 7.3 Hz, *J* = 6.1 Hz, *J* = 1.3 Hz), 7.53 (d, 1H, *J*= 5.6 Hz), 7.65 (d, 1H, *J*=7.9 Hz), 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.94 (td, 1H, *J* = 7.8 Hz, *J* = 1.2 Hz).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ C: 55.55, 108.06,

113.29, 123.39, 124.01, 126.08, 130.30, 139.33, 141.39, 147.98, 149.29, 160.06, 160.42, 180.45.Anal. Calcd for  $C_{32}H_{26}CoN_8O_2S_2BF_4$  (%): C, 50.28; H, 3.43; N, 14.66; S, 8.39. Found: C, 50.55; H, 3.32; N, 14.78; S, 8.07 Anal. Calcd for (%): C, 52.47; H, 3.58; N, 15.30; S, 8.76. Found: C, 52.46; H, 3.39; N, 15.40; S, 8.84..

#### Synthesis of 3-S:

**3-S** was synthesized, according to the literature procedure, <sup>S143</sup> in a similar way to **1-Se**, but using **HLS**<sup>3</sup> (0.09 g; 0.30 mmol) instead of **HLSe**<sup>1</sup>. Yield: 0.07 g (67 %).  $\Lambda_{\rm M}$  (1 × 10<sup>-3</sup> M, MeOH) = 89.10  $\Omega^{-1}$  cm<sup>2</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>. IR (ATR,  $\nu_{\rm max}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3548 (w), 3128 (w), 3074 (w), 1603 (m), 1570 (w), 1533 (s), 1486 (m), 1444 (w), 1400 (vs), 1346 (vs), 1326 (w),1245 (s), 1136 (w), 1055 (m), 935 (w), 906 (m), 846 (w), 811 (m), 766 (m), 676 (w), 637 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-*d*6)  $\delta$ H: 2.46 (s, 3H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 6.64 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup>*J*= 8.0 Hz), 7.21 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup>*J*=8.0 Hz), 7.28 (ddd, 1H, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 7.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 5.7 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.4 Hz), 7.52 (d, 1H, <sup>3</sup>*J*= 5.4 Hz), 7.65 (dd, 1H, <sup>3</sup>*J*=8.0 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 0.8 Hz), 7.82 (s, 1H), 7.99 (td, 1H, *J* = 7.8 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 1.3 Hz).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-d6)  $\delta$ C: 21.19, 107.98, 123.22, 125.98, 128.22, 128.66, 128.84, 138.90, 139.35, 141.01, 148.01, 149.12, 159.91, 180.43.Anal. Calcd for (%): C, 52.47; H, 3.58; N, 15.30; S, 8.76. Found: C, 52.46; H, 3.39; N, 15.40; S, 8.84%.

#### 2-(2-(phenyl) methylene) hydrazynil)-4-(phenyl)-1,3-Selenazole (1)

2-Benzylidene hydrazine carboselenoamide (0.1 g ; 0.4 mmol) in 20 ml H<sub>2</sub>O/ ethanol (1:1 v/v) mixture was added 2-bromoacetophenone (0.088 g ; 0.4 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated and stirred for 4 h. The obtained ocher precipitate was filtered off and washed with cold ethanol. Yield: 0.10 g (77 %). Mp: 178–180 °C. IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3298 (w), 3139 (w), 3058 (w), 2959 (w), 2852 (w), 1602 (w), 1559 (vs), 1483 (m), 1435 (m), 1347 (w), 1263 (s), 1120 (m), 1040 (w), 1023 (w), 934 (w), 754 (m), 710 (m), 689 (m), 507 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.29 (t, 1H, H-C14, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 7.34-7.48 (m, 5H, H-C4, H-C3 = H-C5, H-C13 = H-C15), 7.66 (d, 2H, H-C2 = H-C6, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 7.69 (s, 1H, H-C9), 7.85 (d, 2H, H-C12 = H-C16, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 8.09 (s, 1H, H-C7), 12.27 (br. s, 1H, H-N2). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 107.95 (C9), 126.45 (C12 = C16), 126.97 (C2 = C6), 128.01 (C14), 129.25 (C13 = C15), 129.53 (C3 = C5), 130.03 (C4), 135.04 (C1), 136.10 (C11), 142.88 (C7), 151.62 (C10), 171.93 (C8). Anal.Calcd.for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Se (%): C, 58.90; H, 4.02; N, 12.88. Found: C, 58.62; H, 4.22; N, 12.67 %.

#### 2-(2-(phenyl) methylene) hydrazynil)-4-(4-metoxyphenyl)-1,3-Selenazole (1-OMe)

Compound**1-OMe** was synthesized similarly to **1** starting from 2-benzylidene hydrazine carboselenoamide (0.1 g; 0.4 mmol) but instead 2-bromoacetophenone was used 2-bromo-4'-methoxyacetophenone (0.1 g; 0.4 mmol). Ocher solid. Yield: 0.13 g (91 %). Mp: 180–182 °C. IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3140 (m), 3062 (m), 3004 (m), 2958 (m), 2905 (m), 2836 (m), 1612 (m), 1572 (vs), 1490 (s), 1436 (m), 1355 (m), 1320 (m), 1300 (m), 1265 (m), 1246 (s), 1175 (m), 1124 (m), 1027 (s), 937 (w), 832 (m), 755 (m), 694 (m), 610 (w), 528 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.78 (s, 3H, H-C17), 6.96 (d, 2H, H-C13 = H-C15, *J* = 8.7 Hz), 7.39 (dd, 1H, H-C4, *J* = 8.3 Hz, *J* = 6.2 Hz), 7.44 (t, 2H,H-C3 = H-C5, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 7.51 (s, 1H, H-C9), 7.67 (d, 2H, H-C2 = H-C6, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 7.78 (d, 2H, H-C12 = H-C16, *J* = 8.7 Hz), 8.09 (s, 1H, H-C7), 12.24 (br. s, 1H, H-N2). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 55.68 (C17), 105.34 (C9), 114.50 (C12 = C16), 126.86 (C13 = C15), 127.65 (C2 = C6), 128.85 (C11), 129.42 (C3 = C5), 129.89 (C4), 135.00 (C1), 142.80 (C7), 150.78 (C10), 159.21 (C14), 171.70 (C8). Anal.Calcd.for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>OSe (%): C, 57.31; H, 4.24; N, 11.79. Found: C, 57.64; H, 4.35; N, 11.92 %.

#### 2-(2-(phenyl) methylene) hydrazynil)-4-(4-metxylhenyl)-1,3-Selenazole (1-Me)

Compound**1-Me** was synthesized similarly to **1** starting from 2-benzylidene hydrazine carboselenoamide (0.1 g; 0.4 mmol) but instead 2-bromoacetophenone was used 2-bromo-4'-methylacetophenone (0.095 g; 0.4 mmol). Ocher solid. Yield: 0.07 g (51 %). Mp: 174–176 °C. IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3143 (m), 3057 (m), 2911 (m), 2859 (m), 1617 (m), 1576 (vs), 1561 (vs), 1492 (m), 1436 (m), 1264 (m), 1039 (m), 823 (m), 751 (m), 689 (m), 611 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 2.31 (s, 3H, H-C17), 7.21 (d, 2H, H-C13 = H-C15, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.39 (t, 1H, H-C4, *J* = 7.2 Hz), 7.44 (t, 2H, H-C3 = H-C5, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 7.59 (s, 1H, H-C9), 7.67 (d, 2H,H-C2 = H-C6, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 7.72 (d, 2H, H-C12 = H-C16, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 8.09 (s, 1H, H-C7), 12.26 (br. s, 1H, H-N2). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 21.37 (C17), 106.71 (C9), 126.29 (C12 = C16), 126.87 (C2 = C6), 129.43 (C13 = C15), 129.72 (C3 = C5), 129.91 (C4), 133.32 (C11), 134.98 (C1), 137.17 (C14), 142.80 (C7), 151.24 (C10), 171.73 (C8). Anal.Calcd.for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Se (%): C, 60.00; H, 4.44; N, 12.35. Found: C, 60.23; H, 4.67; N, 12.59 %.

2-(2-(2-nitrophenyl) methylene) hydrazynil)-4-(phenyl)-1,3-Selenazole (2)

Compound **2** was synthesized similarly to **1** starting from 2-(2-nitrobenzylidene) hydrazine carboselenoamide (0.1 g; 0.3 mmol) and 2-bromoacetophenone (0.07 g; 0.3 mmol).Orangesolid.Yield: 0.083 g (56 %). Mp: 183–184 °C.IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3164 (w), 3115 (w), 3047 (w), 2963 (w), 2851 (m), 2792 (m), 1580 (vs), 1518 (vs), 1481 (m), 1438 (m), 1341 (s), 1297 (m), 1266 (s), 1128 (m), 1043 (m), 1023 (w), 918 (w), 904 (w), 847 (w), 780 (w), 747 (w), 706 (m), 660 (m).<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.30 (t, 1H, H-C14, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 7.39 (t, 2H, H-C13 = H-C15, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 7.61 (m, 1H, H-C5), 7.74 (s, 1H, H-C9), 7.78 (t. 1H, H-C4, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 7.84 (d, 2H, H-C12 = H-C16, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 8.01 (d, 1H, H-C3, *J* = 0.7 Hz), 8.03 (s. 1H, H-C6), 8.45 (s, 1H, H-C7).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 108.77 (C9), 125.35 (C3), 126.45 (C12 = C16), 128.13 (C14), 128.29 (C6), 129.10 (C1), 129.29 (C13 = C15), 130.49 (C5), 134.16 (C4), 135.86 (C11), 137.93 (C7), 148.13 (C2), 151.39 (C10), 171.81 (C8).Anal. Calcd.for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se (%): C, 51.76; H, 3.26; N, 15.09. Found: C, 52.32; H, 2.60; N, 15.14 %.

## 2-(2-(2-nitrophenyl) methylene) hydrazynil)-4-(4-metoxyphenyl)-1,3-Selenazole (2-OMe)

Compound**2-OMe** was synthesized similarly to **1** starting from 2-(2-nitrobenzylidene) hydrazine carboselenoamide (0.1 g; 0.3 mmol) and 2-bromo-4'-methoxyacetophenone (0.085 g; 0.2 mmol). Orange solid. Yield: 0.104 g (66 %), Mp: 165–166 °C. IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3108 (w), 3030 (w), 2954 (w), 2901 (w), 2830 (m), 2654 (m), 2324 (w), 1603 (w), 1569 (s), 1516 (s), 1493 (m), 1441 (m), 1364 (w), 1337 (s), 1307 (m), 1242 (s), 1169 (m), 1126 (m), 1040 (m), 918 (w), 837 (m), 785 (w), 745 (w), 715 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.77 (s, 3H, H-C17), 6.95 (d, 2H, H-C13 = H-C15, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 7.54 (s, 1H, H-C9), 7.60 (m, 1H, H-C5), 7.74-7.80 (m, 3H, H-C4 and H-C12 = H-C16), 8.01 (d, 1H, H-C3, *J* = 1.0 Hz), 8.02 (d, 1H, H-C6, *J* = 1.0 Hz), 8.45 (s, 1H, H-C7). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 55.79 (C17), 106.30 (C9), 114.63 (C13 = C15), 125.34 (C3), 127.77 (C12 = C16), 128.27 (C6), 128.67 (C11), 129.14 (C1), 130.44 (C5), 134.15 (C4), 137.95 (C7), 148.12 (C2), 150.82 (C10), 159.38 (C14), 171.73 (C8). Anal.Calcd.for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Se (%): C, 50.88; H, 3.52; N, 13.96. Found: C, 51.71; H, 1.95; N, 13.98 %.

## 2-(2-(2-nitrophenyl) methylene) hydrazynil)-4-(4-methylphenyl)-1,3-Selenazole (2-Me)

Compound**2-Me**was synthesized similarly to **1** starting from 2-(2-nitrobenzylidene) hydrazine carboselenoamide (0.1 g; 0.3 mmol) and 2-bromo-4'-methylacetophenone (0.08 g; 0.3 mmol). Orange solid. Yield: 0.108 g (70 %). Mp: 170–171 °C. IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3305 (w), 3156 (w), 3116 (w), 3074 (w), 2971 (w), 2917 (w), 2858 (w), 1569 (vs), 1521 (vs), 1439 (m), 1337 (s), 1294 (m), 1262 (m), 1179 (m), 1125 (m), 1038 (m), 922 (w), 846 (w), 828 (w), 748 (w), 730 (w), 709 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 2.30 (s, 3H, H-C17), 7.19 (d, 2H,H-C13 = H-C15, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 7.58-7.63 (m, 1H, H-C5), 7.64 (s, 1H, H-C9), 7.72 (d, 2H, H-C12 = H-C16, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 7.75-7.80 (m, 1H, H-C4), 8.01 (d, 1H, H-C3, *J* = 1.0 Hz), 8.02 (d, 1H, H-C6, *J* = 1.0 Hz), 8.45 (s, 1H, H-C7). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 20.84 (C17), 107.03 (C9), 124.73 (C3), 125.77 (C12 = C16), 127.66 (C6), 128.50 (C1), 129.23 (C13 = C15), 129.84 (C5), 132.55 (C11), 133.53 (C4), 136.80 (C14), 137.30 (C7), 147.50 (C2), 150.71 (C10), 171.21 (C8). Anal.Calcd.for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se (%): C, 53.00; H, 3.66; N, 14.54. Found: C, 52.59; H, 3.18; N, 14.36 %.

#### 2-(2-(3-nitrophenyl) methylene) hydrazynil)-4-(phenyl)-1,3-Selenazole (3)

Compound **3** was synthesized similarly to **1** starting from 2-(4-nitrobenzylidene) hydrazine carboselenoamide (0.1 g; 0.3 mmol) and 2-bromoacetophenone (0.07 g; 0.3 mmol). Orange solid. Yield: 0.108 g (73 %). Mp: 216–218 °C. IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3163 (w), 3062 (w), 2962 (w), 2862 (m), 2804 (w), 1608 (w), 1580 (s), 1526 (vs), 1482 (m), 1453 (m), 1345 (s), 1268 (m), 1131 (m), 1093 (w), 928 (w), 734 (m), 704 (m), 673 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.30 (t, 1H, H-C14, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 7.39 (t, 2H, H-C13 = H-C15, *J* = 7.6 Hz), 7.71 (t, 2H, ovlp. H-C5 and H-C9, *J* = 7.9 Hz), 7.84 (d, 2H, H-C12 = H-C16, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 8.08 (d, 1H, H-C6, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 8.19 (m, 2H, ovlp. H-C4 and H-C7), 8.45 (s, 1H, H-C2), 12.54 (s, 1H, H-N2).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 107.71 (C9), 120.40 (C2), 123.49 (C4), 125.83 (C12 = C16), 127.52 (C14), 128.68 (C13 = C15), 130.49 (C5), 132.31 (C6), 135.23 (C11), 136.33 (C3), 140.02 (C7), 148.34 (C1), 150.86 (C10), 171.30 (C8). Anal.Calcd.for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se (%): C, 51.76; H, 3.26; N, 15.09. Found: C, 51.54; H, 3.00; N, 15.11 %.

## 2-(2-(3-nitrophenyl) methylene) hydrazynil)-4-(4-metoxyphenyl)-1,3-Selenazole(3-OMe)

Compound **3-OMe** was synthesized similarly to **1** starting from 2-(4-nitrobenzylidene) hydrazine carboselenoamide (0.1 g ; 0.3 mmol) and 2-bromo-4'-methoxyacetophenone

(0.085 g; 0.3 mmol). Orange solid. Yield: 0.112 g (70 %). Mp: 201–203 °C. IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3161 (w), 3049 (w), 2958 (m), 2829 (m), 2371 (w), 1581 (s), 1523 (vs), 1489 (m), 1452 (m), 1330 (s), 1320 m), 1300 (m), 1272 (m), 1245 (s), 1174 (m), 1131 (s), 1032 (m), 930 (w), 834 (m), 752 (w), 731 (w), 675 (w).<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSOd<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.77 (s, 3H, H-C17), 6.95 (m, 2H, H-C13 = H-C15), 7.52 (s, 1H, H-C9), 7.71 (t, 1H, H-C5, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.76 (m, 2H, H-C12 = H-C16), 8.08 (m, 1H, H-C6), 8.19 (m, 2H, ovlp. H-C4 and H-C7), 8.45 (m, 1H, H-C2), 12.44 (s, 1H, H-N2).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 55.16 (C17), 105.05 (C9), 114.00 (C13 = C15), 120.37 (C2), 123.44 (C4), 127.13 (C12 = C16), 127.98 (C11), 130.47 (C5), 132.28 (C6), 136.38 (C3), 140.00 (C7), 148.33 (C1), 150.60 (C10), 158.75 (C14), 171.21 (C8).Anal. Calcd.for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Se (%): C, 50.88; H, 3.52; N, 13.96. Found: C, 51.55; H, 3.17; N, 14.12 %.

# 2-(2-(3-nitrophenyl) methylene) hydrazynil)-4-(4-methylphenyl)-1,3-Selenazole(3 Me)

Compound **3-Me**was synthesized similarly to **1** starting from 2-(4-nitrobenzylidene) hydrazine carboselenoamide (0.1 g; 0.3 mmol) and 2-bromo-4'-methylacetophenone (0.08 g; 0.3 mmol). Orange solid. Yield: 0.106 g (69 %), Mp: 203–206 °C.IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3154 (w), 3111 (w), 3046 (w), 2912 (m), 2856 (m), 2363 (w), 1602 (w), 1575 (s), 1523 (vs), 1486 (m), 1448 (m), 1342 (s), 1266 (m), 1177 (w), 1130 (m), 1039 (m), 925 (w), 830 (w), 735 (w), 674 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 2.30 (s, 3H, H-C17), 7.19 (d, 2H, H-C13 = H-C15, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.62 (s, 1H, H-C9), 7.71 (m, 3H, ovlp. H-C5 and H-C12 = H-C16), 8.08 (d, 1H, H-C6, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 8.18 (dd, 1H, H-C4, *J* = 2.3 Hz, *J* = 0.8 Hz), 8.20 (s, 1H, H-C7), 8.44 (m, 1H, H-C2), 12.46 (s, 1H, H-N2).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 20.82 (C17), 106.54 (C9), 120.37 (C2), 123.44 (C4), 125.74 (C12 = C16), 129.21 (C13 = C15), 130.45 (C5), 132.28 (C6), 132.50 (C11), 136.35 (C3), 136.78 (C14), 140.06 (C7), 148.32 (C1), 150.60 (C10), 171.22 (C8). Anal.Calcd.for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se (%): C, 53.00; H, 3.66; N, 14.54. Found: C, 53.23; H, 3.36; N, 14.59 %.

#### 2-(2-(4-nitrophenyl) methylene) hydrazynil)-4-(phenyl)-1,3-Selenazole (4)

Compound **4** was synthesized similarly to **1** starting from 2-(3-nitrobenzylidene) hydrazine carboselenoamide (0.1 g; 0.3 mmol) and 2-bromoacetophenone (0.07 g; 0.3 mmol). Yellow solid. Yield: 0.102 g (69 %). Mp: 228–230 °C. IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ):

3290 (m), 3110 (w), 1584 (w), 1554 (m), 1511 (s), 1440 (w), 1321 (m), 1282 (m), 1146 (w), 1040 (w), 920 (w), 847 (s), 774 (w), 711 (m), 689 (w).<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 7.30 (t, 1H, H-C14, J = 7.3 Hz), 7.39 (t, 2H, H-C13 = H-C15, J = 7.6 Hz), 7.75 (s, 1H, H-C9), 7.84 (d, 2H, H-C12 = H-C16, J = 7.3 Hz), 7.88 (d, 2H, H-C2 = H-C6, J = 8.9 Hz), 8.16 (s, 1H, H-C7), 8.26 (d, 2H, H-C3 = H-C5, J = 8.9 Hz), 12.65 (s, 1H, H-N2). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ : 107.96 (C9), 124.14 (C3 = C5), 125.76 (C12 = C16), 126.99 (C2 = C6), 127.47 (C14), 128.61 (C13 = C15), 135.16 (C11), 139.81 (C7), 140.75 (C1), 147.14 (C4), 150.88 (C10), 171.14 (C8). Anal.Calcd.for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se (%): C, 51.76; H, 3.26; N, 15.09. Found: C, 51.76; H, 3.05; N, 15.10 %.

## 2-(2-(4-nitrophenyl) methylene) hydrazynil)-4-(4-metoxyphenyl)-1,3-Selenazole(4-OMe)

Compound **4-OMe** was synthesized similarly to **1** starting from 2-(3-nitrobenzylidene) hydrazine carboselenoamide (0.065 g; 0.2 mmol) and 2-bromo-4'-methoxyacetophenone (0.055 g; 0.2 mmol). Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained from acetonitrile solution after two days. Yield: 0.119 g (74 %). Mp: 208–211 °C. IR (ATR,  $v_{max}$ /cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2661 (w), 1587 (m), 1565 (m), 1532 (w), 1512 (s), 1488 (m), 1454 (m), 1376 (w), 1341 (s), 1248 (m), 1172 (m), 1142 (m), 1106 (m), 1044 (m), 1022 (m), 925 (m), 908 (w), 876 (w), 840 (m), 747 (w), 684 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 3.78 (s, 3H, H-C17 ), 6.95 (d, 2H,H-C13 = H-C15, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 7.56 (s, 1H, H-C9), 7.76 (d, 2H, H-C12 = H-C16, *J* = 8.7 Hz), 7.78 (d, 2H, H-C2 = H-C6, *J* = 8.8 Hz), 8.16 (s, 1H, H-C7), 8.26 (d, 2H, H-C3 = H-C5, *J* = 8.8 Hz) 12.58 (s, 1H, H-N2). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 55.15 (C17), 105.49 (C9), 113.99 (C13 = C15), 124.18 (C3 = C5), 127.02 (C2 = C6), 127.12 (C12 = C16), 127.94 (C11), 139.84 (C7), 140.87 (C1), 147.16 (C4), 150.88 (C10), 158.76 (C14), 171.18 (C8). Anal.Calcd.for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Se (%): C, 50.88; H, 3.52; N, 13.96. Found: C, 50.80; H, 3.30; N, 14.02 %.

## 2-(2-(4-nitrophenyl) methylene) hydrazynil)-4-(4-methyphenyl)-1,3-Selenazole (4-Me)

Compound **4-Me**was synthesized similarly to 1 starting from 2-(3-nitrobenzylidene) hydrazine carboselenoamide (0.1 g; 0.3 mmol) and 2-bromo-4'-methylacetophenone (0.08 g; 0.3 mmol). Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained

from acetonitrile solution after three days. Yield: 0.111 g (72 %), Mp: 228–230 °C. IR (ATR,  $v_{max}/cm^{-1}$ ): 3110 (w), 2922 (w), 2668 (m), 1608 (m), 1587 (s), 1565 (s), 1513 (vs), 1456 (s), 1409 (w), 1374 (w), 1341 (vs), 1320 (s), 1285 (s), 1177 (w), 1144 (m), 1107 (w), 1042 (m), 924 (w), 907 (w), 849 (w), 828 (m), 746 (w). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.26 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 2.30 (s, 3H, H-C17), 7.19 (d, 2H,H-C13 = H-C15, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 7.65 (s, 1H, H-C9), 7.72 (d, 2H, H-C12 = H-C16, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 7.88 (d, 2H, H-C2 = H-C6, *J* = 8.9 Hz), 8.16 (s, 1H, H-C7), 8.25 (d, 2H,H-C3 = H-C5, *J* = 8.9 Hz), 12.58 (s, 1H, H-N2). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126.0 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$ : 20.83 (C17), 107.10 (C9), 124.19 (C3 = C5), 125.76 (C12 = C16), 127.03 (C2 = C6), 129.23 (C13 = C15), 132.48 (C11), 136.83 (C14), 139.80 (C7), 140.86 (C1), 147.17 (C4), 150.56 (C10), 171.19 (C8). Anal.Calcd.for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se (%): C, 53.00; H, 3.66; N, 14.54. Found: C, 53.37; H, 3.22; N, 14.70 %.

#### 3.3 X-ray crystallography

The single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Gemini S diffractometer (Oxford Diffraction) equipped with a Sapphire CCD detector. Graphite monochromated Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation was employed in all experiments. The *CrysAlisPro*<sup>144</sup> was used for raw data integration and reduction. Structures were solved by using *SHELXT*,<sup>145</sup> and refined by *SHELXL-2015*<sup>146</sup> program. The *SHELXLE*<sup>147</sup> was used as a graphical user interface for refinement procedures. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, while hydrogen atoms were treated by a riding model in geometrically idealized positions, with their  $U_{iso}=kU_{eq}$  of their parent atoms(k=1.5 for CH<sub>3</sub>, 1.2 for all other hydrogen atoms). In complex **2-Se**, hydrogen atoms belonging to water molecule could not be located in the electron density maps.

In complex **3-Se**, the  $BF_4^-$  ion is modeled; as disordered between two positions. Common occupational numbers were refined for oxygen atoms belonging to two orientations. Distance and ADP similarity restraints were employed in the refinement to achieve reasonable geometry.

Diffraction pattern of **3-S** specimen showed 6/mmm symmetry, however, the structure could not be solved in hexagonal space groups. Closer inspection of the diffraction data revealed several signs of twinning.<sup>148</sup> The structure was solved in trigonal *P*3<sub>1</sub>21 space group using *SIR*92, <sup>149</sup> but it could not be adequately refined. The

analysis with *TWINROTMAT* in *PLATON*<sup>150</sup> indicated twinning by merohedry with thetwin law -1 0 0, 0 -1 0, 0 0 1. The structure was therefore refined as a four-component twin as described in literature.<sup>13</sup>

Structures were validated with the *PLATON*,<sup>150</sup> and extensive use of the Cambridge Structural Database System.<sup>153</sup> Crystallographic data for the complexes have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as Supplementary Publication No. CCDC 1523111-1523115. A copy of these data can be obtained, free of charge, via https://summary.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structure-summary-form, or by emailing data\_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

#### 3.4 Cyclic voltammetry and spectroelectrochemistry

The cyclic voltammetry experiments were done on a PST050 Voltalab instrument. Three-electrode cell consisted of a glassy carbon (GC) disk electrode (3 mm) polished with alumina suspension before each voltammetric curve, Pt wire counter electrode and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as a reference one. Voltammetric measurements were done in a potential range + 1.5 V to – 2.1 V, applying sweep rates from 0.010 V/s to 2 V/s. The solutions of the compounds (0.2 mmoldm<sup>-3</sup> – 1.2 mmoldm<sup>-3</sup>) were prepared in doubly distilled *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) with tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) as supporting electrolyte. The solutions were carefully deaerated by purging nitrogen before an experiment and a strong stream of the gas was maintained during the measurements.

Spectroelectrochemical spectra were recorded on a Cary 100scan UV-visible spectrophotometer with a  $\mu$ -Autolab type III potentiostat. Herein, spectroelectrochemical cell was constructed by loading a transparent Pt grid working electrode into 1mm path length thin layer channel in the quartz cuvette and mounting the other two electrodes above the channel.

#### 3.5 MS/MS<sup>n</sup>study

The mass spectrometer used was the LTQ XL linear ion trap (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with electrospray ionization (ESI). The results were processed using the Xcalibur® version 2.3 (Thermo Fisher) software package. Tuning of the mass spectrometer was performed automatically. MS and MS<sup>n</sup> experiments were 65

performed using standards in methanol at a concentration of 10  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>. Standards were delivered by a syringe pump at a flow rate of 10  $\mu$ L min<sup>-1</sup>, and mixed through a Tpiece with the LC effluent that contained 99 % of methanol and 1 % of 10 % acidic acid. The mobile-phase flow rate was 0.3 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Mass spectra for all the compounds were obtained in positive and negative ESI mode. For all experiments in the positive ESI mode, the source voltage was set at 5 kV. Nitrogen was used as a sheath and auxiliary gas, and values (a scale of arbitrary units in the 0-100 range defined by the LTQ XL system) of their flow rate were 32 and 8 respectively. The capillary temperature was maintained at 350°C. Capillary voltage and tube lens voltage were set at 6 and 75 V, respectively. In negative ESI mode parameters were set as: source voltage was 4 kV, sheath gas flow rate was 32, aux gas flow rate was 8, capillary voltage was -19 V and tube lens voltage was -142 V. Helium was used as the collision gas in the ion trap. The collision energy values were manually optimized for each compound. The maximum inject time for MS experiments was 50 ms and the number of microscans per scan was 2, while for MS<sup>n</sup> experiments, maximum inject time was 100 ms and number of microscans was 3. Full scan mode was used to acquire mass spectra and to select the precursor ions for product ion spectra.

#### **3.6** Computational methodology

All the quantum chemical calculations for investigated ligands and complexes were performed under the density functional theory (DFT), using the Gaussian 09 program package.<sup>154</sup> Initial geometries of neutral *E*- and *Z*-isomeric form of ligands were optimized with Becke's gradient-corrected exchange correlation in conjunction Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional with the with three parameters (B3LYP),<sup>155,156</sup>while the full geometry optimizations of the crystal structures of Co(III) complexes were carried out using BP86 (the Gaussian program specified as BVP86)<sup>157-</sup> <sup>159</sup> functional, in the gas phase. Polarized 6-31G(d,p) basis set for Co atom and 6-31G(d) for N, C, O, S, Se and H atoms were used in all theoretical calculations.<sup>160–</sup> <sup>163</sup>The stability of optimized geometries was confirmed by the frequency calculations, which were obtained without any imaginary wavenumber values, at the selected level of theory for ligands and complexes. The gas phase calculated molecular structures were re-optimized in DMF and DMSO solvent using the polarisable continuum model (PCM)<sup>164</sup> with the B3LYP and BVP86 functionals for ligands and complexes, respectively. Theoretical NMR chemical shifts were calculated at DFT/B3LYP(d,p) level of theory using Gauge-Independent Atomic Orbital (GIAO)<sup>165</sup> approximation in DMSO, as a solvent. The absorption spectra and the transition energy evaluations were carried out within time dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) approach, using B3LYP functional and DMF solvent. Since the parameters for the DMF solvent are not specified in SCRF module in Gaussian program, following the previous studies,<sup>166,167</sup> the DMF was specified with the parameters:  $\varepsilon = 36.71$ , numeral density = 0.00778 particles Å<sup>-3</sup>, epsinf = 1.75, rsolv = 2.647 Å and vmol = 77.4 cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>.

In addition, the Fukui function was used to illustrate the electrophilicity of the several atoms of investigated ligands and compexes. Parr and Yang showed that sites in chemical species with the largest values of Fukui Function f(r) are those with higher reactivity. The Fukui f(r) function is defined as:<sup>168,169</sup>

$$f(r) = \left(\frac{\partial \rho(r)}{\partial N}\right)_{\nu}$$
(3.1)

where  $\rho(r)$  is the total electron density of the molecule, N is the number of electrons and r is the external potential exerted by the nucleus. Thus, the condensed Fukui function of the atom A in a molecule with N electrons can be defined as:

$$f_A^+ = q_N^A - q_{N+1}^A$$
for nucleophilic attack (3.2)

$$f_A^- = q_{N-1}^A - q_N^A \text{ for electrophilic attack}$$
(3.3)

where,  $q_N^A$ ,  $q_{N+1}^A$  and  $q_{N-1}^A$  are the electronic population of the atom A in neutral, anionic and cationic forms, respectively. The above the electronic population can be obtained from the natural bond orbital (NBO) calculations.<sup>170</sup>

#### 3.7 Multi parameter correlation analysis

The contributions of the solvent-solute interactions on the shifts in UV spectra, *i.e.* interactions with the surrounding media (solvent), were investigated by the use of linear solvation energy relationships (LSER) principles. The effects of solvent dipolarity/polarizability and solvent-solute hydrogen bonding interactions were evaluated by means of the LSER model of Kamlet-Taft <sup>171</sup>eq. (3.4):

$$v_{\max} = v_o + s\pi^* + b\beta + a\alpha \tag{3.4}$$

where  $v_{\text{max}}$  is the absorption maxima shifts,  $\pi^*$  is an index of the solvent dipolarity/polarizability;  $\beta$  is a measure of the solvent hydrogen-bond acceptor (HBA) basicity;  $\alpha$ is a measure of the solvent hydrogen-bond donor (HBD) acidity, and  $v_o$  is the regression value in cyclohexane as reference solvent. The solvent parameters used in eq. (3.4) are given in Table 7.1<sup>172,173</sup>. The regression coefficients *s*, *b* and *a* in eq. (3.4) measure the relative susceptibilities of the absorption frequencies to the solvent effect.

The effects of solvent dipolarity, polarizability and solvent-solute hydrogen bonding interactions were evaluated by means of the linear solvation energy relationship (LSER) model of Catalán  $^{80}$ , given by eq. (3.5):

 $v_{\text{max}} = v_0 + aSA + bSB + cSP + dSdP$ (3.5)

where SA, SB, SP and SdP characterize solvent acidity, basicity, polarizability and dipolarity of a solvent, respectively; and *a* to *d* are the regression coefficients describing the sensitivity of the absorption maximato the different types of the solvent-solute interactions. The solvent parameters used in eq. (3.4) are given in Table 7.2<sup>22</sup>. Separation of non-specific solvent effects, term  $\pi^*$  in eq. (3.4), into two terms: dipolarity and polarizability, SP and SdP in eq. (3.5), contribute to advantageous analysis of the solvatochromism of studied compounds.

#### **3.8** Biological experiments

#### **3.8.1** Antimicrobial activity

The antimicrobial activity was determinated using four different strains of the Gram-positive bacteria: *Staphylococcusaureus* (ATCC 6538), *Kocuria rhizophila* (ATCC 9341), *Clostridium sporogenes* (ATCC 19404), *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC 6633), four different strains of the Gram-negative bacteria: *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922), *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Enteritidis (ATCC 13076), *Proteus hauseri* (ATCC 13315), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 9027) and three strains of the fungi: *Candida albicans* (ATCC 10231), *Sacharomyces cerevisiae* (ATCC 9763) and *Aspergillus brasiliensis* (ATCC 16404). Antimicrobial activity was determinated by well diffusion method.<sup>174</sup> In each Petri dish (90mm diameter) 22 mL of Nutrient agar (Hi Media, Mumbai, India) and 100 µL of bacterial suspension (10<sup>6</sup> cells/dish) were added, while for antifungal activity in each sterile Petri dish (90 mm diameter) 22 mL of Sabouraud dextrose agar suspension (Torlak, Belgrade, Serbia) was poured and 100 µL 68

of fungi ( $10^5$  spores/dish) were added. Eight milimeter diameter well was then punched carefully using a sterile cork borer and 100 µL of test substance (1 mg/100 µL DMSO) were added into each labeled well. Amikacin (30 µg/100 µL H<sub>2</sub>O) was used as a positive control for bacteria, nystatin (30 µg/100 µL DMSO) was used as a positive control for fungi, while 100 µL of water and DMSO served as a negative controls. The same procedure was repeated for different microorganisms. After the inoculation of the organisms, compounds and controls, the plates were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C for bacteria and 48 h at 28 °C for fungi. Antimicrobial activity was determinated by measuring the diameter of inhibition zone. Zones of inhibition were recorded in mm.

#### 3.8.2 Antioxidant activity

#### 3.8.2.1 Free-radical scavenging antioxidant assay

The proton donating ability was assayed using a protocol for the determination of radical scavenging activity <sup>175</sup>. Compounds were dissolved in pure DMSO and were diluted into ten different concentrations. Commercially available DPPH was dissolved in methanol at a concentration of  $6.58 \times 10^{-5}$  M. Into a 96-well microplate, 140 µL of DPPH solution was loaded and 10 µL DMSO solution of the tested compounds was added, or pure DMSO (10 µL) as the control. The microplate was incubated for 30 min at 25 °C in the dark and the absorbance was measured at 517 nm. The scavenging activity of the compounds was calculated using the eq. (3.6):

Scavenging activity (%) =  $(A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}})/A_{\text{control}} \times 100$  (3.6)

where  $A_{\text{sample}}$  and  $A_{\text{control}}$  refer to the absorbances at 517 nm of DPPH in the sample and control solutions, respectively. IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated from the plotted graph of scavenging activity against the concentrations of the samples. IC<sub>50</sub> is defined as the total antioxidant concentration necessary to decrease the amount of the initial DPPH radical by 50 %. IC<sub>50</sub> was calculated for all compounds based on the percentage of DPPH radicals scavenged. Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) was used as the reference compound (positive control) with concentrations from 10 to 500  $\mu$ M.

## **3.8.2.2** Total reducing power (TRP) (modified potassium ferricyanide reduction method)

The mixture containing 0.5 mL of phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6), 0.5 mL of  $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$  (1%; w/v) and 0.5 mL of the samples (100-1500  $\mu$ M) was incubated at 50°C for 20 min. After the addition of 0.5 mL of trichloroacetic acid (TCA, 10%; w/v), 0.5 mL of Milli-Q water and 0.5 mL of FeCl<sub>3</sub>(0.1%; w/v), followed by intensive vortexing, the absorbance of the resulting mixture was measured after 60 min at 700 nm against phosphate buffer as blank <sup>176</sup>.

## **3.8.2.3** Total antioxidant capacity (TAOC) (modified phosphomolybdenum method)

Volume of 0.4 mL of sample solution (50-1000  $\mu$ M) was combined with 1.6 mL of reagent solution (0.6 M sulfuric acid, 28 mM sodium phosphate, and 4 mM ammonium molybdate) and resulting mixtures were incubated at 95 °C for 90 min. The cooled reaction mixtures were then centrifuged (3000 rpm for 10 min). The absorbance of the supernatant solution was measured, 1 h after centrifugation, at 695 nm against reagent solution as blank. An increased absorbance in reading in both assays indicated increased antioxidant power, expressed as EC<sub>50</sub> values (the sample concentration giving absorbance of 0.500 from the graph of absorbance at 700 nm or 695 nm against compound concentration)<sup>177</sup>.

#### 3.8.2.4 Oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assay

ORAC assay was performed by modified original protocol <sup>178</sup>. Stock solutions of fluorescein substrate (5  $\mu$ M) and free radical generator AAPH (0.5 M) were prepared in 75 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Volume of 100  $\mu$ L of sample solutions or Trolox in DMSO (20  $\mu$ M) were mixed with 1485  $\mu$ L of buffer and 15  $\mu$ L of fluorescein solution. The 30 min reaction at 37 °C was initiated by adding 250  $\mu$ L of AAPH solution. Fluorescence conditions were as follows: excitation and emission wavelengths 485 and 511 nm, respectively, slits 2 nm. The relative sample ORAC value was expressed as Trolox equivalents (TE).

#### **3.8.3** Anticancer activity

#### 3.8.3.1 Artemia salina cytotoxicity test (I series)

A teaspoon of lyophilized eggs of the brine shrimp *Artemia salina* was added to 1 L of the artificial sea water containing several drops of yeast suspension (3 mg of dry yeast in 5 mL distilled water), and air was passed through the suspension thermostated at 301 K, under illumination for 24 h. The tested substances were dissolved in DMSO. In a glass vial, into 1 mL of artificial sea water 1–2 drops of yeast extract solution (3 mg in 5 mL of distilled water) and 10–20 hatched nauplii were added, and finally solutions of all derivatives to the appropriate concentrations. For each concentration, three determination were performed. The vials were left at 301 K under illumination for 24 h, and afterwards live and dead nauplii were counted.  $LC_{50}$  was defined as the concentration of a drug that causes death of 50 % nauplii. DMSO was inactive under applied conditions.

#### **3.8.3.2** Cell cultures (I series)

Human mammary adenocarcinoma (MCF-7, ATCC® HTB-22) cell line was maintained in DMEM high glucose medium (Dominique Dutscher, 67172 Brumath cedex, France, Cat. No L0102-500), supplemented with heat-inactivated 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS, Life Technologies, Paisley, UK, Cat. No 10270-106) and 1% (v/v) penicillin-streptomycin (10,000 units mL<sup>-1</sup> and 10,000  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>, Life Technologies, Paisley, UK, Cat. No 15140-122). Cells were kept at 37 °C in humidified atmosphere containing 5% (v/v) CO<sub>2</sub> during their exponential growing phase and in the course of incubation with investigated compounds. Investigated compound **1** was initially dissolved in DMSO to the stock concentration of 20 mM, whereas CDDP and starting cobalt salt were dissolved in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) to the stock concentration of 5 mM. Further dilutions of the compounds were performed with DMEM medium immediately before each experiment.The ligand HL could not be tested due to low solubility in the culture medium.

## **3.8.3.3** Annexin-V / propidium iodide (PI) double staining and determination of ED<sub>50</sub> concentrations on 2-D tumor model (I series)

MCF-7 cells were seeded in flat bottom 96 well plates (BD Falcon, Cat. No 353072) at a density of 10,000 cells per 0.1 mL of media. Next day, CDDP, **1** and starting cobalt salt were added in a range of six concentrations (1–100  $\mu$ M), in a volume of 0.1 mL. For controls, non-treated cells, cells treated with 0.5% DMSO and cells

treated with 50 µM Celastrol (Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, US) were used. After 24 h of incubation, supernatant with non-adherent cells were removed to another plate. Fresh PBS was added to remaining adherent cells afterwards plates were centrifuged on 450g for 10 min. Supernatant was discarded and 200 µL of trypsin-EDTA (BioWest, Nuaille, France, Cat. No L0930-100) was added to each well. Cells were detached in about 15 min of incubation at 37 °C, afterwards another spinning cycle with supernatant elimination were performed. Finally, previously removed supernatant with non-adherent cells were added to trypsinized cells and stained with Annexin-V-FITC (Immuno Tools, Friesoythe, Germany, Cat. No 31490013) and PI (Miltenyl Biotec Inc, Auburn, USA, Cat. No 130-093-233) in a volumes of 3 µL. Plates were analyzed on Guava EasyCyte<sup>TM</sup> micro-capillary flow cytometer (Millipore, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) using InCyte® software package. Percentages of all cell death events (Annexin-V single-stained, PI single-stained and double-stained cells) were summarized for each of six concentrations,. The computed percentages were plotted against corresponding concentrations on a concentration-response graph.  $ED_{50}$  concentration was calculated as the one that corresponds to half-way of the sigmoidal concentration-dependent curve using asymmetric five-parameter logistic equation (GraphPad Prism 6 software).

#### **3.8.3.4** Evaluation of a single-drug activity on 3-D tumor model (I series)

3-D MCF-7 mamospheres were made in 96 well plates (Corning, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Mo, USA, Cat. No 4515). Tumors were left to grow for additional four days, afterwards CDDP and **1** were added in concentrations of 100, 10, and 1  $\mu$ M. Evaluation has been maintained during eight day incubation period, with media exchanged on day four. Changes in the tumors sizes have been assessed on Celigo<sup>®</sup> imaging cytometer (Cyntellect, Brooks Life Science Systems, Poway, CA, USA) using Celigo software. Spheroids areas were determined using Celigo software, and growth rates were computed for every other day of incubation by dividing the area on the day-n with the area on the day 0.

#### 3.8.3.5 Evaluation of a combination drug activity on 3-D tumor model (I series)

Spheroids were prepared as described above, and after four day growth drugs were added in combinations. Complex 1 was tested in combinations with CDDP and paclitaxel respectively and together as a three drug combination, with the single-drug

treated spheres as positive controls for each drug in a combination. Treatments lasted for eight days with exchange of media on fourth day. Changes in sizes of spheres were assessed as described above for the days zero, four, and eight of incubation. Computed growth rates for the day eight were used to determine the type of interaction between drugs in combinations expressed as combination indexes (CI) using CalcuSyn software (Biosoft, Cambridge, United Kingdom). The CI value defines type of interaction between drugs as following: for CI < 0.9 interaction is synergistic, for 0.9 < CI < 1.1interaction is additive, and for CI >1.1 interaction is antagonistic.

#### **3.8.3.6** Antiproliferative activity (II series)

The in vitro antiproliferative activity of investigated compounds was evaluated using the protocol of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) of the United States <sup>179</sup>. As a model, a panel of six human solid tumor cell lines was used; namely A549 (non-small cell lung), HBL-100, (breast), HeLa (cervix), SW1573 (non-small cell lung), as drug sensitive lines, T-47D (breast) and WiDr (colon) as drug resistant lines. Cells were maintained in 25 cm<sup>2</sup> culture flasks in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 5% heat inactivated fetal calf serum and 2 mM L-glutamine in a 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, 95% humidified air incubator. Exponentially growing cells were trypsinized and re-suspended in antibiotic containing medium (100 units penicillin G and 0.1 mg of streptomycin per mL). Single cell suspensions displaying >97% viability by trypan blue dye exclusion were subsequently counted. After counting, dilutions were made to give the appropriate cell densities for inoculation onto 96-well microtiter plates. Cells were inoculated in a volume of 100 µL per well at densities of 2500 (A549, HBL-100, HeLa and SW1573) or 5000 (T-47D and WiDr) cells per well, based on their doubling times. Compounds to be tested were dissolved in DMSO at an initial concentration of 40 mM. Control cells were exposed to an equivalent concentration of DMSO (0.25% v/v, negative control). Each compound was tested in triplicate at different dilutions in the range of 1-100  $\mu$ M. The drug treatment was started on day 1 after plating. Drug incubation times were 48 h, after which time cells were precipitated with 25 µL ice-cold trichloroacetic acid (50% w/v) and fixed for 60 min at 4 °C. Then the sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay was performed <sup>180</sup>. The optical density (OD) of each well was measured at 530 nm, using BioTek's PowerWave XS Absorbance Microplate Reader. Values were corrected for background OD from wells only containing medium. Antiproliferative activity of the compounds was expressed as  $GI_{50}$ , that is, the concentration of the compound that inhibits 50% of the culture growth.

#### 3.8.4 Monoamine oxidase A/B inhibition

Monoamine oxidase (MAO) A and B inhibition capacities were investigated using a discontinuous fluorimetric assay as described previously with some modifications by using human recombinant membrane-bound MAO purchased from Sigma-Aldrich<sup>181</sup>. Briefly, assays were conducted in a total assay volume of 100  $\mu$ L (max. 1% DMSO) using black, flat-bottom 96 well plates (greiner bio-one GmbH, Austria), while pipetting was automated using a EVO freedom pipetting robot (Tecan Trading AG, Switzerland). Remained enzyme activity with inhibitor, either 1 µM (onepoint) or concentrations ranging from 0.0001 µM to 10 µM, was assessed in the presence of 2-fold K<sub>M</sub> concentrations of kynuramine ( $K_M = 30 \mu M$  for MAO A and  $K_M$ = 20  $\mu$ M for MAO B) in pre-warmed potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH = 7.4). Reactions were started by addition of MAO A (1.25 ng  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>, 900 units/mL) or MAO B (1.67 ng  $\mu L^{\text{-1}},\ 375$  units/mL) and stopped by manual addition of 35  $\mu L$  sodium hydroxide (2 N) after 20 min. Enzyme activity was determined by detection ( $\lambda_{Ex}$ =320±20 nm,  $\lambda_{Em}$ =405±20 nm) of 4-hydroxyquinoline using an infinite M1000 Pro microplate reader (Tecan Trading AG, Switzerland). Data were analysed using GraphPad PRISM 6 by plotting relative fluorescence units (RFU) against log inhibitor concentrations and using the implemented non-linear regression "log inhibitor vs. response (three parameters)". For one-point measurements data were calculated as percentage of control and expressed as mean ± standard deviation (%). Data were obtained from two (on-point measurements) or at least three (IC<sub>50</sub> values) independent experiments, each performed in duplicates.

#### **4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Within this dissertation, two groups of compounds, pyridine-2-carboxaldehydeseleno(thio)semicarbazone (Scheme 3.3)and nitro (o, p and m) benzaldehydeselenosemicarbazone (Scheme 3.3)were synthesized, which were tested for biological and antioxidant activity, a particular structure in the solution, and for substances obtained in the form of crystals suitable for RSA and Solid state. In addition, theoretical calculations of elements of geometry, physical and chemical properties, as well as correlation of properties and activities with the structure of synthesized compounds have been carried out.

The first Co(III) complexes with first class of ligands (**Hfpse(t)sc**) were prepared in order to obtain more biological potent derivatives (Scheme 3.2). Finally the comparative structural, electrochemical and DFT studies with sulphur analogues was done to elucidate in more detail the impact of chalcogen atom nature on chemical as well as biological features of these two classes of compounds.

#### 4.1 Pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones

## 4.1.1 Synthesis and structural characterization of first series HL(Se/S)<sup>1-3</sup>

Preparation of **HLS**<sup>(1-3)</sup>by reaction of 2-formylpyridine thiosemicarbazone (**Hfptsc**) with appropriate derivative of 2-bromoacetophenone in 2-propanol at room temperature was already described in the literature.<sup>52</sup> In this procedure CaCO<sub>3</sub> served as a base in order to prevent formation of HBr salts of desired thiazoles. In the case of **HLS**<sup>3</sup> single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) were obtained by recrystallization from non-polar solvent mixture (toluene/hexane, 7:3, v/v).<sup>52</sup> XRD revealed that Z isomer of **HLS**<sup>3</sup> was obtained. Our attempt to obtain all ligands from chalcogensemicarbazones and corresponding bromoacetophenones in EtOH as a solvent resulted in red precipitates for which, based on results of elemental analysis and molar conductivity measurements, general formula  $HL(S/Se)^{1-3} \times HBr$  can be established. Addition of water in DMF or EtOH solutions of  $HL(S/Se)^{1-3} \times HBr$  caused deprotonation and precipitation of neutral ligands in the form of yellow solids.

The same solvent mixture was applied for preparation of  $HL(S/Se)^{1-3}$ . In NOESY spectrum of  $HLSe^{3}$  there is the same correlation signal which indicates the presence of *E*-HLSe<sup>3</sup> isomer in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> solution (Figure 4.1).



Figure 4.1 2D NOESY spectrum of HLSe<sup>3</sup> in DMSO- $d_{6}$ .

Signals of imine hydrogen atom in<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **HL**(**S**/**Se**)<sup>1–3</sup> lie in the narrow region12.38–12.54 ppm which is consistent with existence of *E* isomeric form of all lignads. Namely, formation of intamolecular hydrogen bond between N–NH hydrogen atom and pyridine nitrogen atom in *Z*-isomeric form of pyridine based hydrazones causes significant downfield shift (~2–3 ppm) of N–NH hydrogen atom signal.<sup>182,183</sup> The purity and composition of the ligands **HLSe**<sup>(1–3)</sup> and **HLS**<sup>(1–3)</sup> was confirmed by elemental analysis. Products were soluble in *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), partially soluble in acetonitrile, chloroform, ethanol and methanol and insoluble in diethyl-ether and water. Structural characterization of the ligands was done NMR spectroscopy (Figure 7.1–Figure 7.26).

### 4.1.1.1 Crystal structure analysis of HLS<sup>3</sup>

Crystal data and refinement results for the ligand  $HLS^3$  is summarized in Table 4.1, while molecular structures are depicted in Figure 4.2.

		HLS <sup>3</sup>	
Chemical formula	$C_{16}H_{14}N_4S$	R <sub>int</sub>	0.026
Formula weight	294.37	$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.680
Temperature (K)	294	$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$	0.041
		$wR(F^2)$	0.132
		S	1.08
Wavelength (nm)	0.71073	No. of reflections	3489
Crystal system	Monoclinic	No. of parameters	195
Space group	$P2_{1}/c$	$\Delta \rangle_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rangle_{\text{min}} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	0.31, -
			0.37
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (A)	7.9329 (4), 8.6465	Absolute structure	/
	(3), 21.5857 (9)		
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ (°)	90, 99.822 (4), 90	Absolute structure	/
$V(Å^3)$	1458 90 (11)	parameter	12639
	1100.00 (11)	independent and	3489
		observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	2755
Ζ	4	$R_{\rm int}$	0.026
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	0.22	$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.680
Crystal size (mm)	$0.70 \times 0.17 \times 0.07$	$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$	0.041
		$wR(F^2)$	0.132
		S	1.08
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.922, 0.986	No. of reflections	3489
No. of measured,	12639	No. of parameters	195
independent and	3489	$\Delta \rangle_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rangle_{\text{min}} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	0.31, –
observed [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ]	2755		0.37

Table 4.1 Data collection and refinement parameters for the crystal structures of the  $HLS^3$ 

The ligand is planar within 0.18 Å and adopts a conformation in which pyridine N4 and azomethine N3, and azomethine N3 and thiazole N1 atoms are mutually in *trans* positions (Figure 4.2 (a)). This implies that conformational rearrangement is necessary for metal binding in an expected and observed tridentate way. Crystal structure of

**HLS**<sup>3</sup>(Figure 4.2(b)) is dominated by hydrogen bonds between the N4H groups and pyridine nitrogen atoms that give rise to infinite 1-D chains along *b*-axis. The stacking interactions between neighboring pyridine and tolyl fragments expand these 1-D chains into 2-D supramolecular layers parallel to (001) (Figure 4.2 (c), Table 4.2).



**Figure 4.2** (a) Perspective view and labeling of the molecular structure of the ligand HL. Thermal ellipsoids are at the 40% probability level. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) with SD's in parentheses: N4–C11, 1.342(2); C10–C11, 1.465(2); N3–C10, 1.277(2); N2–N3, 1.3604(19); C1–N2, 1.362(2); N1–C1, 1.300(2); S1–C1, 1.7382(17); S1–C2, 1.7231(19); C2–C3, 1.357(2); C2–S1–C1, 87.88(8); C1–N1–C3, 109.99(13); N1–C1–N2, 123.79(15); N1–C1–S1, 116.05(12); N2–C1–S1, 120.15(12); N3–N2–C1, 116.98(14); C3–C2–S1, 111.26(13); C10–N3–N2, 117.63(14); N3–C10–C11, 119.78(15). (b) Infinite 1-D chains in the crystal structure of HL viewed along the *a*-axis. (c) 2-D supramolecular layers in the crystal structure of HL viewed along the *c*-axis.

H-bond parameters							
D-H···A	D-H (Å)	H···A (Å)	D…A (Å)	D-H···A	symmetry		
_				(°)	operation on A		
N2-H2…N4	0.87(3)	2.05(3)	2.915(2)	175(2)	$1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$		
$\pi$ - $\pi$ interaction	parameters						
$Cg(I), Cg(J)^{a}$	$\alpha^{c}(^{\circ})$	β <sup>d</sup> (°)	γ <sup>e</sup> (°)	slippage <sup>f</sup>	symmetry		
$Cg-Cg^{b}(A)$				(Å)	operation on J		
Cg1, Cg2	6	16.9	22.6	1.094	-1 + x, -1 + y, z		
3.7633(10)							

**Table 4.2** Crystal packing parameters in the crystal structures of HLS<sup>3</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Planes of the rings I/J: ring (1) = N(4),C(11),C(12),C(13),C(14),C(15); ring (2) = C(4),C(5),C(6),C(7),C(8),C(9); <sup>b</sup>Cg–Cg = distance between ring centroids (Å).;<sup>c</sup>  $\alpha$  = dihedral angle between planes I and J (°); <sup>d</sup>  $\beta$  = angle between Cg(I),Cg(J); ector and normal to plane I (°); <sup>e</sup>  $\gamma$  = angle between Cg(I), Cg(J) vector and normal to plane J (°); <sup>f</sup> Slippage = distance between Cg(I) and perpendicular projection of Cg(J) on ring I (Å).

#### 4.1.2 Synthesis and structural characterization complexes withHL(Se/S)<sup>1-3</sup>

By the reaction of  $HL(S/Se)^{1-3}$  and  $Co(BF_4)_2 \times 6H_2O$  in MeOH, the Co(III) Complexes of (1,3-Selen-2-yl)hydrazones and Their Sulphur Analogues (Scheme 3.2). Reactions of **HLSe**<sup>(1-3)</sup> with Co(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> × 6H<sub>2</sub>O in methanol afforded rottencherry coloured solutions. After standing for three days emerald coloured single crystals were filtered off in all cases. Products were soluble in DMSO, DMF, MeOH, EtOH, acetonitrile and chloroform, and insoluble in diethyl-ether, ethylacetate and water. Magnetic measurements indicated that the complexes are diamagnetic in nature which allowed their structural characterization by NMR spectroscopy (Figure 7.27-Figure 7.38). Diamagnetic behaviour of obtained complexes indicated that they contain Co(III) ions which are formed during air oxidation of Co(II) ions. Values of molar conductivity of all complexes in methanol suggest that they are 1:1 electrolytes. Elemental analysis showed that the complexes consist of  $Co^{3+}$  ion, two deprotonated ligand molecules and  $BF_4^$ ion. Based on these results, the following general formula of the complexes can be postulated:  $[Co(L_2)]BF_4$ . In the case of complex with **HLSe<sup>2</sup>**, obtained crystals were not of sufficient quality for XRD analysis. Better crystals of 2-Se were obtained by diffusion of EtOAc into solution of 2-Se in DMSO. The elemental analysis indicated the presence of one crystal water molecule in the obtained crystals of 2-Se. For biological investigations, crystalohydrate was used. <sup>1</sup>H-

NMR spectroscopy indicated an absence of N3 proton signal in spectra of all complexes which points to a coordination of corresponding ligands to Co(III) in their anionic form. In the IR spectra of all complexes strong absorption bands around 1050 cm<sup>-1</sup> were found. These bands originate from  $BF_4^-$  ion and were not found in the IR spectra of free ligands.



**Figure 4.3** Molecular structures of the complexes; Structure of 3-Se is taken as the representative. Atom numbering scheme is given for one ligand. Atoms belonging to other ligand are enumerated in analogous way, with suffix B

A summary of the crystallographic data of the complexes are given inTable 4.3. They are of the octahedral-type, with two meridionally placed ligands, and are therefore chiral. Seemingly, they have approximate C2 symmetry where the two-fold axis is the bisector of N1A–Co1–N1B angle. Strictly speaking, only in the case of 1-S the deviations from ideal C2 point group symmetry are not significant, and C2 symmetry of the cation is identified by SYMMOL algorithm,184 with continuous symmetry measure of 1.41.185,186 Additionally,

	1-Se	2-Se	3-Se
Chemical	$C_{20}H_{22}C_0N_{\theta}Se_2^+BF$	$C_{22}H_{22}C_0N_0O_2Se_2^+ \cdot BE_4$	$C_{22}H_{22}BC_{0}F_{4}N_{9}Se_{2}BF_{4}$
formula		H2O	-
M.	798 21	874 27	826.27
Crystal system	Tetragonal	Triclinic	Orthorhombic
Space group	$I\Delta_1/a$	<i>P</i> -1	$P_{2_1}^{2_1}$
Temperature	29/	294	29/
(K)	274		
$(\mathbf{R})$	10 7203 (5)	11 11/0 (3)	9,8772 (2)
$h(\Lambda)$	19.7293(5), 10.7203(5)	11.1177(5)	15 1645 (4)
$U(\mathbf{A})$	17.7275(5), 30.5800(17)	13.9728(5) 13.9147(5)	226036(4)
$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{A})$	30.3890 (17) 00	13.9147(3)	22.0930 (4)
$\mathcal{U}(0)$	90	99.014 (3) 06 699 (2)	90
p()	90	90.088(3)	90
$\gamma()$	90 11006 6 (0)	104.417(3) 1742(40)(11)	90 2200 11 (12)
V (A)	11906.6 (9)	1742.49 (11)	3399.11 (13)
Z	16	2	4
$\mu (\text{mm}^2)$	3.09	2.65	2.71
Absorption	Analytical	Analytical	Analytical
correction			
$T_{\min}$	0.555	0.361	0.422
$T_{\rm max}$	0.83	0.695	0.592
No. of	17246	30249	33384
measured			
reflections			
No. of	6849	8296	8168
independent			
reflections			
No. of	3835	6244	6391
observed [I>			
$2\sigma(I)$ ]			
reflections			
$R_{\rm int}$	0.059	0.029	0.029
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max}$	0.683	0.685	0.684
$(\text{\AA}^{-1})$			
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$	0.056	0.045	0.038
$wR(F^2)$	0.114	0.119	0.096
S	1.01	1.02	1.04
No. of	6849	8296	8168
reflections			
No. of	415	499	435
parameters			
No of	0	118	0
restraints	~		~
$\Lambda_{0}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	0 49	0.68	0.55
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} \left( e^{\beta} \dot{A}^{-3} \right)$	-0.38	-0.53	-0.37
Flack x	_	_	-0.015(3)
Rint $(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{max}$ $(Å^{-1})$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$ $wR(F^2)$ S No. of reflections No. of parameters No. of restraints $\Delta\rho_{max}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> ) $\Delta\rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> ) Flack $x$	0.059 0.683 0.056 0.114 1.01 6849 415 0 0.49 -0.38 -	0.029 0.685 0.045 0.119 1.02 8296 499 118 0.68 -0.53 -	0.029 0.684 0.038 0.096 1.04 8168 435 0 0.55 -0.37 -0.015 (3)

**Table 4.3** Crystallographic details HLSe<sup>1-3</sup>

	1-S	2-S	3-S
Chemical	$C_{30}H_{22}CoN_8S_2^+ \cdot BF_4^-$	$C_{32}H_{26}CoN_8O_2S_2^+ \cdot BF_4^-$	$C_{32}H_{26}BCoF_4N_8S_2$
formula			
$M_{ m r}$	704.41	764.47	732.47
Crystal system	Tetragonal	Trigonal	Orthorhombic
Space group	$I4_1/a$	<i>P</i> 3 <sub>1</sub> 21	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$
Temperature	294	293	294
(K)			
a (Å)	19.7160 (3)	9.2130 (2)	9.8152 (3)
b (Å)	19.7160 (3)	9.2130 (2)	15.3902 (5),
<i>c</i> (Å)	30.3333 (6)	33.5153 (7)	22.2103 (5)
α (°)	90	90	90
$\beta$ (°)	90	90	90
γ (°)	90	120	90
$V(Å^3)$	11791.1 (4)	2463.63 (12)	3355.04 (17)
Z	16	3	4
$\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.79	0.72	0.70
Absorption	Multi-scan	Multi-scan	Multi-scan
correction			
$T_{\min}$	0.954	0.953	0.895
$T_{\rm max}$	1	1	0.946
No. of	56667	19412	13706
measured			
reflections			
No. of	7345	4013	7148
independent			
reflections			
No. of observed	5157	3864	4887
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$			
reflections			
$R_{\rm int}$	0.050	0.028	0.031
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max}$	0.686	0.683	0.683
$(A^{-1})$			
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$	0.05	0.054	0.074
$wR(F^2)$	0.133	0.152	0.188
S	1.02	1.08	1.06
No. of	7345	4013	7148
reflections			
No. of	415	232	436
parameters			
No. of	0	35	0
restraints			
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} (e {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.68	1.01	0.63
$\Delta \rho_{\min} (e  \text{\AA}^{-3})$	-0.45	-0.66	-0.69
Flack <i>x</i>	_	0.45 (3)	-0.018 (12)

**Table 4.4** Crystallographic details HLS<sup>1-3</sup>

the cation in 2-S lies on a crystallographic two-fold axis, which imposes a perfect C2 symmetry. In all other cases, no higher symmetry than C1 was detected within default tolerance ranges. All the complexes consist of a complex cation  $[CoL_2]^+$  and  $BF_4^-$  anions. Molecular structures of the complexes, with atom enumeration scheme of **1-Se** as the representative, is given in Figure 4.3 and for **3-S** at Figure 4.4. Thus, each individual crystal contains only one optical isomer of the cation  $[CoL_2]^+$ .

They are of the octahedral-type, with two meridionally placed ligands, and are therefore chiral. Seemingly, they have approximate  $C_2$  symmetry where the two-fold axis is the bisector of N1A–Co1–N1B angle. Strictly speaking, only in the case of **1-S** the deviations from ideal  $C_2$  point group symmetry are not significant, and  $C_2$  symmetry of the cation is identified by *SYMMOL* algorithm,<sup>184</sup> with continuous symmetry measure of 1.41<sup>185,186</sup>. Additionally, the cation in **2-S** lies on a crystallographic two-fold axis imposes a perfect  $C_2$  symmetry. In all other cases, no higher symmetry than  $C_1$  was detected within default tolerance ranges.

Spontaneous resolution of the racemate occurs during crystallization of **3-S**, so that the compound crystalizes as a mixture of enantiopure crystals (conglomerate) in non-centrosymmetric space group  $P2_12_12_1$ . Octahedral complex cation in **3-S** is comprised of two meridionally placed ligand molecules chelating Co(III) atom, and possesses approximate two-fold symmetry. **HLS<sup>3</sup>** molecules are coordinated in an anionic form as tridentate NNN donors, through the pyridine, azomethine and thiazole nitrogen atoms. Distortion from an ideal octahedral geometry in **3-S** is imposed by the ligands' bite angles. In the crystal structure of **3-S** (Figure 4.4), in addition to the electrostatic attraction, cations and tetrafluoroborate anions are connected through hydrogen bonds (Table 4.5).



Figure 4.4 Crystal packing in the crystal structure of 3-S viewed along the *a*-axis. H-atoms are omitted for clarity.

<b>3-S</b> :H-bond parameters						
D-H	Н…А	D···A	D-H···A	symmetry		
(Å)	(Å)	(Å)	(°)	operation on A		
0.93	2.44	3.316(14)	158	2 - x, 1/2 + y, 3/2 - z		
0.93	2.34	3.236(11)	162	3/2 - x, 1 - y, $-1/2 + z$		
0.93	2.50	3.405(10)	163	-1 + x, y, z		
0.93	2.48	3.395(11)	171	3/2 - x, $1 - y$ , $-1/2 + z$		
	D-H           (Å)           0.93           0.93           0.93           0.93           0.93	D-H         H····A $(Å)$ $(Å)$ 0.93         2.44           0.93         2.34           0.93         2.50           0.93         2.48	metersD-HH···AD···A(Å)(Å)(Å) $0.93$ $2.44$ $3.316(14)$ $0.93$ $2.34$ $3.236(11)$ $0.93$ $2.50$ $3.405(10)$ $0.93$ $2.48$ $3.395(11)$	metersD-HH···AD···AD-H···A(Å)(Å)(Å)(°) $0.93$ 2.443.316(14)158 $0.93$ 2.343.236(11)162 $0.93$ 2.503.405(10)163 $0.93$ 2.483.395(11)171		

Table 4.5 Crystal packing parameters in the crystal structures 3-S

In all five complexes the cobalt atoms are hexacoordinated. The geometry around cobalt atoms is octahedral, and the orthogonal nature of this coordination is clear from the angles between two chelate planes which are in the range 85.24(7)–89.28(5)° (Table 4.6; Figure 4.5). Ligands are coordinated in NNN tridentate way through the pyridine nitrogen, azomethine nitrogen, and nitrogen atom of the (selen/thi)azole ring. In that way two fused metallocycles are formed. Metal–ligand bond lengths are in the usual range (Table 4.6), and in all six

complexes the bond between cobalt and azomethine nitrogen atom is the shortest. The observed trend that azomethine nitrogen atom makes shorter bond than pyridine nitrogen atom is already noticed for the similar Schiff base ligands.<sup>187–189</sup>



Figure 4.5 Orthogonal nature of ligands coordination seen through chelate planes  $\Omega_1 A$  and  $\Omega_1 B$ .

Ligands are deprotonated at N3 position, which has as a consequence an electron delocalization through C7–N3 and C7–N4 bonds. These bonds are of similar lengths, despite the fact that C7–N3 bond is formally regarded as a double. In comparison to bond lengths in recently published structures of **HLS<sup>1</sup>**,<sup>190</sup> and *Z*-**HLS<sup>3</sup>**,<sup>52</sup> bond lengths in coordinated ligands change so that C7–N3 is shortened, while C7–N4 is elongated.

It is important to note that the search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) showed that there are no hitherto structurally characterized selenazole hydrazones, and **1-Se**, **2-Se**, and **3-Se** are thus the first crystallographically characterized complexes of selenazole hydrazones. Also, these complexes belong to a very few structurally characterized complexes with ligands coordinated through a selenazole ring,<sup>191–193</sup> and are so far the first with cobalt(III) as the central atom.

	1-Se	2-Se	3-Se	1-S	<b>2-S</b>	<b>3-S</b>
Co1–N1A	1.945(4)	1.965(4)	1.945(3)	1.948(2)	1.948(5)	1.938(6);
Co1–N1 <i>B</i>	1.952(4)	1.940(4)	1.950(3)	1.946(2)		1.949(6)
Co1–N2A	1.887(4)	1.890(3)	1.890(2)	1.888(2)	1.886(5)	1.888(5)
Co1–N2 <i>B</i>	1.879(3)	1.886(3)	1.885(2)	1.886(2)		1.885(5)
Co1–N4A	1.957(3)	1.949(4)	1.949(3)	1.947(2)	1.948(6)	1.954(6)
Co1–N4 <i>B</i>	1.952(3)	1.964(4)	1.950(2)	1.945(2)		1.937(6)
C6A–N2A	1.286(5)	1.296(6)	1.286(4)	1.293(4)	1.302(9)	1.290(10)
C6 <i>B</i> –N2 <i>B</i>	1.294(5)	1.288(6)	1.301(4)	1.294(3)		1.280(10);
N2A–N3A	1.353(5)	1.341(6)	1.353(4)	1.353(3)	1.359(8)	1.352(9)
N2 <i>B</i> –N3 <i>B</i>	1.356(5)	1.343(5)	1.341(3)	1.350(3)		1.335(8)
C7A–N3A	1.339(6)	1.327(6)	1.343(4)	1.336(4)	1.320(9)	1.326(10)
C7 <i>B</i> –N3 <i>B</i>	1.328(6)	1.323(6)	1.342(4)	1.333(4)		1.326(9)
C7A–N4A	1.342(5)	1.354(6)	1.341(4)	1.348(3)	1.346(7)	1.356(9)
C7 <i>B</i> –N4 <i>B</i>	1.351(5)	1.350(6)	1.341(4)	1.346(3)		1.336(9)
C7A–X1A	1.862(4)	1.864(5)	1.868(3)	1.725(3)	1.707(6)	1.726(9)
C7 <i>B</i> –X1 <i>B</i>	1.870(5)	1.864(5)	1.867(3)	1.725(3)		
C8A–X1A	1.867(5)	1.875(5)	1.870(4)	1.728(3)	1.729(11)	1.731(9)
C8 <i>B</i> –X1 <i>B</i>	1.872(5)	1.864(6)	1.871(3)	1.729(3)		1.728(7)
C8A–C9A	1.345(6)	1.336(7)	1.345(5)	1.353(4)	1.326(11)	
C8 <i>B</i> –C9 <i>B</i>	1.350(6)	1.348(7)	1.343(5)	1.356(4)		
C9A–N4A	1.388(5)	1.395(6)	1.396(4)	1.389(4)	1.393(8)	1.370(9)
C9 <i>B</i> –N4 <i>B</i>	1.394(6)	1.394(6)	1.401(4)	1.384(4)		1.395(10)

Table 4.6 Selected bond lengths (Å) for the complexes.



Figure 4.6 Mean planes through selenazole and phenyl rings in the complex 3-Se

The complexes show some difference regarding the ligand molecules conformations. Namely, (selen/thi)azole ring can deviate from the chelate plane, and magnitudes of the deviations  $[2.6(2)-15.0(2)^{\circ}]$  are not always similar for two ligands (A and B) in the same complex cation. Also, notable feature is the twisting of the terminal (substituted) phenyl ring (Figure 4.6). The angles between mean planes through those rings take values in the range  $61.01(16)-88.62(10)^{\circ}$ . A search of the CSD for angles between thiazole and phenyl rings, bonded the same as in present ligands, revealed a wide distribution of values, bimodal in nature with peaks around 7 and  $45^{\circ}$ . Out of 500 hits, only 32 angles were found with values >  $60^{\circ}$  (Figure 4.7).

It is interesting to note that by doing so, terminal rings belonging to ligand A orient themselves more or less parallel with the chelate plane formed by ligand B, and *vice versa* (Figure 4.8), which is seen from corresponding angles that are in the range of 2.9(3)–12.83(19)°. It seems plausible that the observed conformations result in reduction of steric hindrance. Table 7.3 summarizes dihedral angles between mentioned planes for all complexes.



**Figure 4.7** (a) Query used in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) search; (b) Distribution of dihedral angles, 50 angles (colored in red) out of 500 hits are greater than  $60^{\circ}$ .


Figure 4.8 Mean planes through phenyl ring of ligand A and chelate plane of ligand B.

No classical hydrogen bonds are found in crystal structures of the complexes, as a consequence of the ligand deprotonation, and thus the lack of hydrogen bond donors. The pairs1-S–1-Se, and 3-S–3-Se, crystallize in the same space groups with similar lattice parameters, and hence can be regarded as isostructural. Complexes 2-S, 3-S, and 2-Se crystallize in non-centrosymmetric space groups, which means that spontaneous resolution of enantiomers occurs during crystallization. However, the crystal of 2-S studied was twinned by merohedry, and its structure was refined as composed of four twin domains. The refined mass proportions of the domains indicate that specimen under study contained both enantiomers of the complex in approximately equal amounts.

# 4.1.3 The absorption spectra of HL(Se/S)<sup>1-3</sup> and their Co(III) complexes

The absorption spectra of selenazoles  $HLSe^{(1-3)}$  and their sulphur analogues are given in Figur 4.9 a), while their electronic absorption properties are summarized inTable 4.7. The longest wavelength of  $HLSe^1$  was observed at 366 nm, and bathochromic shift (of 4 and 8 nm respectively) was observed upon addition of methyl or methoxy group to the benzene ring. The longest wavelengths of sulphur analogues were observed at about 15 nm smaller values. These results implied both C13-subtituents and the nature of chalcogen atom strongly influenced the absorption spectra. Also, hypsochromic shifts of the absorption onset ( $\lambda_{onset}$ ) of about 20 nm were observed in the case of thiazoles in comparison to their selenium analogues.



**Figure 4.9** UV/Vis spectra of: (A) ligands HLSe(1-3) and HLS(1-3); (B) complexes (1-3)-Se and (1-3)-S.

The electronic absorption spectra of Co(III) complexes are given in Figure 4.9 b). Two absorptions at almost the same wavelengths were found for (1-3)-Se (320 and 516 nm), while in the case of their sulphur analogues, a small bathochromic shift (of 5 and 7 nm, respectively) was noticed (Table 4.8). Selenium atom has the more spatially extended *p*- and *d*-orbitals, compared to those of sulphur atom, which leads to more effective delocalized electrons in conjugated systems of the selenazoles analogs and therefore the  $\lambda_{max}$  value of selenoazole ligands and complexes are shifted to a longer wavelength.

Ligand	$\lambda_{abs}/nm$	<sup>calcd.</sup> $\lambda_{max}/nm$	$\lambda_{onset}/nm^a$	HOMO	D-LUMO
			(DMF)	Band g	gap [eV]
		π-π*		onset <sup>b</sup>	TD-DFT <sup>c</sup>
HLSe <sup>1</sup>	272, 366	243, 288, 379	421	3.26	3.28
HLSe <sup>2</sup>	283, 374	254, 295, 396	433	3.12	3.14
HLSe <sup>3</sup>	277, 370	246, 291, 383	425	3.22	3.24
HLS <sup>1</sup>	265, 352	240, 285, 371	402	3.33	3.35
HLS <sup>2</sup>	278, 358	252, 291, 388	411	3.19	3.20
HLS <sup>3</sup>	268, 355	244, 288, 376	405	3.29	3.30

**Table 4.7** Electronic absorption properties and HOMO–LUMO energy gaps of  $HLSe^{1-3}$  and  $HLS^{1-3}$ .

<sup>a</sup>  $\lambda_{onset}$  are taken as the intersection of spectrum baseline and a tangent line to edge of the absorption band.

<sup>b</sup> HOMO–LUMO energy gaps calculated from  $\lambda_{onset}$ . <sup>c</sup> Calculated from  $\lambda_{max}$ , obtain from TD-DFT/B3LYP in DMF solvent, by using equation  $E_{gap} = 1242/\lambda_{max}^{calc}$ 

( )	- (				
Comp.	$\lambda_{abs}/nm$	carca. $\lambda_{max}/m$	HOMO Band	)–LUMO gap [eV]	
		$^{-1}T_{2g} \leftarrow ^{-1}A_{1g} + LMCT$	$^{1}T_{1g} \leftarrow ^{1}A_{1g}$	$\lambda_{\max}{}^a$	TD-DFT <sup>o</sup>
1-Se	320, 516	363	507	2.41	2.45
2-Se	320, 517	364	513	2.40	2.47
3-Se	320, 516	363	503	2.41	2.42
1-S	315, 508	359	495	2.44	2.51
<b>2-S</b>	315, 509	360	504	2.44	2.46
<b>3-S</b>	315, 509	360	496	2.44	2.50

**Table 4.8** Electronic absorption properties and DFT calculation and assignment of  $\lambda_{max}$  (in nm) in DMF for (1–3)-S and (1–3)-Se.

<sup>a</sup> Calculated from  $\lambda_{max}$ , obtained from TD-DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) in DMF solvent, by using Eq1:  $E_{gap} = 1242/\lambda_{max}^{calc}$ ; <sup>b</sup> Calculated from TD-DFT/B3LYP in DMF solvent as  $E_{gap} = E_{HOMO} - E_{LUMO}$ 

#### 4.1.4 Voltammetric and spectoelectrochemical studies

All the ligands and the complexes were voltammetrically studied in DMF using tetrabutylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) as supporting electrolyte. In the available potential range (-2.1 V to +1.5 V) the ligands show mainly one reduction and one oxidation well-defined process (Figure 4.10 A).

The reduction processes for the ligand molecules are located in a 1-e<sup>-</sup> wave at potentials from around -1.6 V. Electrochemical characteristics of the peaks are:  $\Delta E_p/\Delta \log v \sim -40$  mV/dec,  $I_p/cv^{1/2} \sim const$ ,  $E_p^{\ a} - E_p^{\ c} \sim 110$  mV (at v = 0.1 V/s). The gain in  $I_p^{\ R}$  on repetitive cycling in the amplitude more negative than 0 V is about 80–100% of the starting one, depending on the sweep rate. This is an indication of a chemical instability of the reduced species resulting in a small ill-shaped oxidation peak near 0 V. The nature of this reaction with adsorptive complications was not studied in more detail. This corresponds to an irreversible process (Figure 4.10 A).



**Figure 4.10** Representative cyclic voltammograms of selected substances in DMF + 0.1 M TBAP, GC electrode: (A)  $HLSe^3$  (c = 0.44 mM, v = 0.1 V/s). (B) 3-Se (c= 0.22 mM, v = 0.1 V/s). Full amplitude and cathodic sweep direction. (C) 2-Se (c = 0.42 mM) and  $HLSe^2$  (c = 0.46 mM), v = 0.1 V/s. Narrow amplitude, anodic direction. (D) 3-Se (c = 0.22 mM, v = 0.1 V/s). Narrow amplitude and cathodic sweep direction. (E) 2-S (c = 0.40 mM) v = 0.02 and 0.05 V/s. Narrow amplitude and anodic direction. (F) 2-S (c = 0.40 mM, v = 0.05, 0.1 and 0.5 V/s). Full amplitude and anodic direction.

The voltammogram shows an irreversible oxidation wave at potentials ~ +0.7 V. The anodic process is complicated by a slow chemical reaction giving rise to a species oxidized at more positive potentials (for ~ +0.07 V). After these oxidation processes several small peaks appear at the subsequent cathodic sweep pointing to a decomposition of the oxidized species of the products which were not identified.

The potentials of characteristic peaks of all ligands are given in Table 4.9. Similar values of the potential and current functions are due to small differences in the electroactive centres. The one-electron reduction is located at C=N hydrazone group as it is common for these types of compounds. Two-electron oxidations most probably occur at chalcogen and C8 atoms. Fukui function calculations reveal that these centres have the greatest  $f^-$  values, which points to their ability of electron donation (*vide infra*).

		0		
Ligand	$E_p^R$ (V)	$I_p/cv^{1/2}$	$E_{p}^{O_{x}}(V)$	$I_{\rm p}/{\rm cv}^{1/2}$
		$^{b}$ [µA/mM(V/s) <sup>1/2</sup> ]		$[\mu A/mM(V/s)^{1/2}]$
<b>HLSe</b> <sup>1</sup>	-1.59	23.9	0.70	67.2
HLSe <sup>2</sup>	-1.60	23.0	0.65	68.8
HLSe <sup>3</sup>	-1.60	23.7	0.69	74.7
HLS <sup>1</sup>	-1.64	27.0	0.73	68.9
HLS <sup>2</sup>	-1.66	26.0	0.67	75.1
HLS <sup>3</sup>	-1.65	23.3	0.70	60.0

**Table 4.9** Voltammetriccharacteristics of the ligands at v = 0.10 V/s.

All six complexes in DMF show reduction and oxidation processes according to the same pattern, differing slightly in the main current and potential characteristics due to coordination effects.

The typical voltammograms of the complexes recorded in negative direction (reduction processes) are given in Figure 4.10 B. Two pairs of apparently reversible one-electron peaks (at about -0.27 V and -1.27 V) are shown, accompanied by a large oxidation peak at ~ +1.2 V. The potentials of the reduction processes are given in Table 4.9. The voltammetric characteristics of both processes with  $\Delta E_{p(O/R)}$  from 62 mV (at 0.01 V/s) to ~ 100 mV (at 2 V/s) give the values of heterogeneous rate constants<sup>194</sup> of about (1–2)·10<sup>-4</sup> m/s (Table 4.10) which lie at the lower limit of rate constants for reversible systems. In calculations the diffusion coefficient D value of  $3.1 \cdot 10^{-10}$  m<sup>2</sup>/s was used for all the complexes. This value was previously determined for similar bis(ligand) octahedral Fe(III) complexes with ligands based on thiosemicarbazones under the same experimental conditions and was used as a substitute in a lack of true D values for the present complexes.<sup>195</sup>

Complexes	$k^{0}$ '(I <sub>R</sub> ) <sup>a</sup>	$k^{0}$ '(II <sub>R</sub> )
1-S	$1.4{\cdot}10^{-4}$ $\pm$ 0.2	$1.6{\cdot}10^{-4}$ $\pm$ 0.5
<b>2-S</b>	$1.6{\cdot}10^{-4}$ $\pm$ 0.3	$1.7{\cdot}10^{-4}~\pm~0.5$
<b>3-S</b>	$1.4{\cdot}10^{-4}$ $\pm$ 0.2	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-4} ~\pm~ 0.2$
1-Se	$2.0{\cdot}10^{-4}$ $\pm$ 0.3	$1.5{\cdot}10^{-4}~\pm~0.2$
<b>2-Se</b>	$1.6{\cdot}10^{-4}$ ± 0.4	$1.6{\cdot}10^{-4}$ $\pm$ 0.2
<b>3-Se</b>	$1.6{\cdot}10^{-4}$ $\pm$ 0.4	$1.8 \cdot 10^{-4} \pm 0.4$

**Table 4.10** Values of  $k^{0}$  for the processes  $I_R$  and  $II_R$  in solutions of complexes calculated according to reference.<sup>34</sup>

<sup>a</sup> In m/s.

The expected number of reduction processes in the case of Co(III) octahedral complexes with pyridine-based Schiff base ligands containing C=N hydrazono group is four (three one-electron reductions at Co(III) central atom, i.e. Co(III) $\rightarrow$ Co(0) and one one-electron reduction of C=N group). However, only two reductions (Co(III) $\rightarrow$ Co(II) and Co(II) $\rightarrow$ Co(I)) are observed down to – 2.0 V which correspond to processes at the central metal atom, as confirmed by spectroelectrochemical experiments (see below). The other reductions are obscured in a huge multi-electron peak arising around the negative potential limit in DMF. Here, similarly to previously reported Fe(III) complexes with heterocyclic chalcogensemicarbazones, the potentials of reduction processes at coordinated ligands are 400–500 mV more negative comparing to the free ones, due to redistribution of negative charge.<sup>196</sup>

However, oxidation process is much more complicated and its consequences on the behaviour of the complexes can be seen in consecutive scans. First, current function of the oxidation peak changes from 5-6 times greater than a 1-e<sup>-</sup> reduction process at low sweep rates to only 4 times greater at high sweep rates. This could mean that with lowering the sweep rate the process changes from two-step 1-e<sup>-</sup> release at each ligand particle to one-step 2-e<sup>-</sup> oxidation process at each of the ligands at a close potential. The consequences of these changes can be followed in a subsequent negative sweep using variation of the sweep rate and the amplitude (Figure 4.10 B-F). At sweep rates higher than 0.02 V/s a new species reducible at potentials ~ 150 mV more positive than the peak I<sub>R</sub> and II <sub>R</sub> replaces partially or completely the starting one (Figure 4.10 B second sweep, Figure 4.10 C-E). At a closer insight into the consequences of the

oxidation process, a new peak at ~ 0.7 V appears belonging to oxidation of the free ligand (Figure 4.10 C) which was released after reduction of this instable complex. In addition, variations of the sweep rates in full potential amplitude reveal the presence of complex homogenous equilibrium coupled to redox processes which is also more pronounced with increasing the sweep rates (Figure 4.10 F). Thus, it can be assumed that oxidation may proceed apparently uncomplicated if enough time to allow necessary reorganization of the oxidized complex is provided (Figure 4.10 E and F). In other words, oxidation might cause temporary disturbances in the molecule which need time to re-establish the previous molecular symmetry. Thus, at low sweep rates this adjustment proceeds in parallel with electron release so the molecule seems to be stable at the end of the oxidation process.

<b>Table 4.11</b>	Voltammetric c	haracteristics of	of the complexes.	
-------------------	----------------	-------------------	-------------------	--

Complex	$E_p^{R}(I)$	$E_p^{O}(I)$	$I_{R}^{I}/cv^{1/2}$	E <sub>p</sub> <sup>R</sup> (II	E <sub>p</sub> <sup>O</sup> (II	$I_R^{II}/cv^{1/2}$	E <sub>p</sub> <sup>Ox</sup>	$I_{Ox}/cv^{1/2}$
1-Se	-0.27	-0.20	45.0	-1.27	-1.20	43.1	1.16	225
2-Se	-0.30	-0.23	33.0	-1.28	-1.20	33.1	1.14	164
3-Se	-0.28	-0.21	36.1	-1.27	-1.20	33.0	1.16	202
1-S	-0.24	-0.17	37.4	-1.28	-1.21	38.9	1.20	214
2-S	-0.26	-0.20	37.3	-1.29	-1.22	36.0	1.19	189
<b>3-S</b>	-0.26	-0.18	37.5	-1.28	-1.21	37.1	1.20	196

<sup>*c*</sup>At v = 0.10 V/s. <sup>*a*</sup> In V. <sup>*b*</sup> In  $\mu$ A/mM(V/s)<sup>1/2</sup>.

The *in situ* spectroelectrochemistry of **3-S** was performed in solution to evaluate the spectral changes between its initial and reduced states. This is of importance for assigning in more details the electrochemical processes.<sup>197,198</sup> The Co(III) complex **3-S** was dissolved in a DMF solution containing 0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub> and the absorption spectroelectrochemical studies were done using a Pt mesh working electrode. Previously, we recorded the cyclic voltammograms of **3-S** on a Pt electrode (data not shown) and the voltammetric behaviour (i.e. potential, reversibility, current) was identical with GC electrode material. UV-Vis spectroelectrochemical measurements were performed by using a thin-layer electrochemical cell of 1-mm optical path. As already mentioned, **3-S** presents two one-electron reversible reduction waves at -0.26 V and -1.28 V (Table 4.11). Therefore we studied the spectral changes for both reduced forms by

applying potentials slightly more cathodic than the peak potential values (i.e. -0.5 and -1.5 V, respectively).

Upon the first reduction potential -0.5 V, the evolution of the UV-Vis spectra with time were collected and depicted in Figure 4.11. Interestingly, the monitoring of the absorption revealed a fast and nice modification of the electronic transitions when the first reduced state is reached. As shown in Figure 4.11, the main band peaking initially at 509 nm is affected upon the first cathodic reaction. Indeed, it is blue-shifted and increased progressively. The small band at 315 nm tends to vanish. Applying a more cathodic potential (i.e. -1.5 V) corresponding to the second reduction process increases even more the main band which peaks now at 470 nm. In addition, two small bands appeared between 270 and 400 nm after adding this second electron to the complex. After approaching the equilibrium in both cases (E = -0.5 V and -1.5V), an open circuit potential (E = 0.1 V) was applied to the system.



**Figure 4.11** Time-resolved UV–Vis spectral changes of 3-S in deoxygenated DMF with 0.1 M TBAPF<sub>6</sub> before (red curve) and after applying the first reduction potential -0.5 V. The arrow indicates the evolution. Blue curve corresponds to the spectrum of the first reduced form. Black curve is the spectrum recorded at equilibrium after applying -1.5 V.

The absorbance recovered with time until the spectral signatures of the complex were as same as the initial state. It confirms the reversibility observed by cyclic voltammetry for the reduction processes and it shows that the reduced species are stable during the timescale of spectroelectrochemical experiments. Whatever, the main band at 509 nm of the initial 3-S complex was attributed to the ligand to metal charge transfer transition (LMCT) as detailed in Table 4.8. The

two reduction processes generate the Co(II) and then Co(I) from the initial Co(III) ion so it makes the LMCT transition more difficult. It explains that this main band was observed at a lower wavelength (i.e. higher energy) compared with that of the initial complex.<sup>197–199</sup> The spectroelectrochemical experiments demonstrate that the cathodic reactions correspond to two successive  $1-e^-$  reduction of the central Co(III) atom of the complex.

#### 4.1.5 DFT calculations

The selected DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) method for neutral *E*- and *Z*-isomeric form of ligands has been chosen from the consideration of several approaches already applied to similar molecular structures elsewhere.<sup>200,201</sup> Optimized structures of the ligands are shown in Figure 4.12, and the relevant structural parameters in Table 7.4. Structural data of all optimized molecules correspond reasonably well to the XRD data of available crystal structures. In addition, an evaluation of reliability of theoretical calculations also includes prediction of the planarity of the investigated molecules.

There is a very good agreement between experimental and calculated dihedral angles. By comparing N<sub>3</sub>–H bond lengths of **HLS**<sup>1</sup>ligand, it can be observed that these bonds are longer in the *Z*-isomer (Table 7.4). The present theoretical method successfully reproduces experimentally observed formation of intramolecular H-bond between N1 of pyridine ring and the proton attached to N3 of hydrazone group CH=N–NH–.<sup>52</sup> Calculated relative energy shows that *Z*-isomer is more stable than *E*-isomer (Table 4.12). This observation can be explained by the presence of stabilizing effect in *Z*-isomeric form due to a formation of intramolecular H-bond.<sup>202</sup>



Figure 4.12 DFT optimized structures of the ligands in the gas phase.

**Table 4.12** Calculated the relative energy  $\Delta E$  (kcal/mol) of *E*-1,3-thiazoles and *E*-1,3-selenazoles.

Compound, X=S	E-HLS <sup>1</sup>	Z-HLS <sup>1</sup>	E-HLS <sup>2</sup>	Z-HLS <sup>2</sup>	E-HLS <sup>3</sup>	Z-HLS <sup>3</sup>
AE (keal/mol)	2.630 <sup>a</sup>	$0.000^{a}$	2.571 <sup>a</sup>	$0.000^{a}$	2.615 <sup>a</sup>	$0.000^{a}$
	2.212 <sup>b</sup>	$0.000^{b}$	2.184 <sup>b</sup>	$0.000^{b}$	2.253 <sup>b</sup>	$0.000^{b}$
Compound,	Е-	Z-HLSe <sup>1</sup>	<i>E</i> -HLSe <sup>2</sup>	Z-HLSe <sup>2</sup>	<i>E</i> -HLSe <sup>3</sup>	Z-HLSe <sup>3</sup>
AE (least/mol)	2.574 <sup>a</sup>	0.000 <sup>a</sup>	2.529 <sup>a</sup>	$0.000^{a}$	2.496 <sup>a</sup>	$0.000^{a}$
	$2.057^{b}$	$0.000^{b}$	2.053 <sup>b</sup>	$0.000^{b}$	2.044 <sup>b</sup>	$0.000^{b}$

DFT/ B3LYP/6-31G(d) calculated the relative energy E, defined as zero-point corrected total energy and with respect to more stable isomeric form.<sup>a</sup> In the gas phase; <sup>b</sup> In DMF solvent.

In order to evaluate the basis set influence on the geometry of Co(III) complexes, for the **1-S** complex we have applied two DFT models, B3LYP and BVP86, as well as several basis sets of various complexity. There is a noticeable

tendency for increasing bond length with increasing basis set size (Table 7.6). In fact, the smallest basis set for the metal ion gives the best agreement with the crystallographic data in the case of the Co–N bonds. Consistent with previous studies,<sup>203</sup> the BVP86/6-31g(d,p)/6-31g(d) method gives smallest differences between experimental and calculated values of Co–N bond distances, especially for the Co–N4 bonds (Table 7.5). Optimized structures of complexes are shown in Figure 4.13. Selected structural parameters for all Co(III) complexes are listed inTable 7.6. Structural data of all optimized molecules correspond well to the data obtained from X-ray.



Figure 4.13 DFT optimized structures of Co(III) complexes in the gas phase

Chemical shifts for the complexes were calculated within GIAO approach at DFT/PCM(DMSO)/B3LYP level of theory. Results of theoretically predicted isotropic chemical shifts with respect to tetramethylsilane (TMS) are listed in (Table 7.8) and reveal a good match to the experimental spectroscopic data. Substitutions of Y = H with  $Y = CH_3$ , or OCH<sub>3</sub> have an expected influence on proton chemical shifts in *ortho*-position to the substituent Y of the benzene ring (upfield shifts). Linear regression analysis was applied to estimate the correlation between experimental and calculated values of the chemical shifts. The analysis included experimental and calculated values of all C- $sp^2$  atoms, as well as, all chemical shifts of the protons attached to C- $sp^2$  atoms. The resulting linear regression lines were  $\delta_{calc.} = 0.43 + 0.98\delta_{exp.}$  (R = 0.98, the correlation coefficient at confidence level of 95.0%) and  $\delta_{calc.} = 0.24 + 0.97\delta_{exp.}$  (R = 0.79, the correlation coefficient at confidence level of 95.0%) for <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR chemical shifts, respectively. Although, both values of R show a significant correlation between experimental and calculated chemical shifts, additional *two-sided t* test has been applied, which confirmed the obtained liner regression results. The linearly scaled calculated values are listed in Table 7.7.

The evaluation of absorption spectra and transition energies was carried out within the time dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) approach, using B3LYP functional and DMF as solvent. In order to compare the spectral characteristics of Co(III) complexes with 1,3-selenazoles and 1,3-thiazoles as ligands, the absorption spectra, HOMO and LUMO energy levels, and the energies of HOMO-LUMO transitions ( $E_{gap}$ ) were calculated.

The calculated electronic spectra of free ligands show shoulder bands in the range 240–255 nm (41596–39317 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and two bands in the range 285–295 nm (35120–33937 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and 370–395 nm (26929–31939 cm<sup>-1</sup>). Calculated electronic transitions ( $\lambda$ ), oscillator strengths (f) and major MO contributors to the transitions of HLS<sup>(1–3)</sup> and HLSe<sup>(1–3)</sup> ligands are listed inTable 7.8. The shoulder band may be assigned to the  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  transition of the thiazole and selenazole rings.<sup>204</sup> The absorption peak in the range 285–295 nm is due to  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  transition of phenyl and pyridine rings.<sup>205–207</sup> The absorption maxima in the range 370–395 nm correspond to the  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  transitions.<sup>205–207</sup>The calculated wavelengths originating from  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  transitions of phenyl, and pyridine rings, as well as those from azomethine moiety, are in good agreement with experimental values (Table 7.4). E<sub>gap</sub> values of the ligands calculated from  $\lambda_{max}$  by TD-DFT method, are also in good agreement with values obtained by UV-Vis spectroscopy (Table 7.4). Due to higher degree of aromaticity of selenazole in comparison to thiazole, it can be expected for Se-heterocycles to be more stable and less reactive. Calculated relative energies of the ligands indeed show that selenazoles are more stable than their thiazole analogs (Table 4.12). Also, all the selenazoles have the smaller values of  $E_{gap}$  than their sulphur analogs (Table 4.7), which indicates the lower reactivity of 1,3-selenazoles and explains the bathochromic shifts of absorption maxima.



**Figure 4.14** Graphical representation of calculated HOMO, LUMO and HOMO-LUMO transition of *E*-1,3-thiazoles and *E*-1,3-selenazoles in DMF solvent

Graphic representations of calculated HOMO, LUMO and HOMO-LUMO transitions for ligands are shown in Figure 4.14. The HOMOs of all ligands are delocalized mainly at the azomethine group, heterocyclic ring and phenyl group, whereas the LUMOs are delocalized on the pyridine ring, azomethine group and the heterocyclic ring. The presence of electron releasing substituent (methyl- or methoxy-) of the thioazole and selenazole attached phenyl ring, destabilizes HOMO and LUMO orbitals and decreases the energy gap (Figure 4.14). Energetically the most favourable  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  absorptions (370–395 nm) occur from HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO transitions<sup>205–207</sup> (Table 7.8).

Since the intraligand  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$ , ligand to metal charge transfer (LMCT), or spin-forbidden transitions may cause broadening or masking of d-d bands or even their disappearance<sup>208</sup> in the experimental absorption spectra of complexes, additional information could be obtained using the results of TD-DFT calculations, as discussed below.

The ground state of Co(III) ion in octahedral low-spin complexes is  ${}^{1}A_{g}$ arising from  $(t_{2g})^{6}$ electronic configuration. The one-electron excited states  ${}^{1}T_{1g}$ ,  ${}^{3}T_{1g}$ ,  ${}^{1}T_{2g}$  and  ${}^{3}T_{2g}$  derive from  $(t_{2g})^{5}$   $(e_{g})^{1}$ electronic configuration, of which both spin-triplet states lying at lower energy than the singlets. Therefore, UV-VIS spectra of Co(III) ion in strong ligand field of O<sub>h</sub> symmetry have the following assignments of d–d transitions: two spin allowed v<sub>1</sub>:  ${}^{1}T_{1g} \leftarrow {}^{1}A_{1g}$ ; v<sub>2</sub>:  ${}^{1}T_{2g} \leftarrow {}^{1}A_{1g}$ and two spin forbidden v<sub>3</sub>:  ${}^{3}T_{1g} \leftarrow {}^{1}A_{1g}$ ; v<sub>4</sub>:  ${}^{3}T_{2g} \leftarrow {}^{1}A_{1g}$ .<sup>209</sup>Calculated electronic spectra of approximate octahedral(1–3)-Se and (1–3)-S complexes in DMF show two principal spin allowed bands. The first ones, relatively sharp around 20 000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (500 nm), were assigned to  ${}^{1}T_{1g} \leftarrow {}^{1}A_{1g}$  transition, while the second ones, broad around 30 000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (360 nm), were assigned to  ${}^{1}T_{2g} \leftarrow {}^{1}A_{1g}$ . The electronic spectral assignments of the complexes are given in Table 4.8.  $E_{gap}$  values of complexes obtained via TD-DFT calculated from  $\lambda_{max}$  are also in a good agreement with experimental data (Table 4.7).

Ligand to metal charge transfers can be divided in four classes:  $v_1: \pi \rightarrow t_{2g}(\pi^*)$ ,  $v_2: \pi \rightarrow e_g(\sigma^*)$ ,  $v_3: \sigma \rightarrow t_{2g}(\pi^*)$  and  $v_4: \sigma \rightarrow e_g(\sigma^*)$  in order of increasing energy. For d<sup>6</sup> complexes, only  $v_2$  (25000-45000 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and  $v_4$  (above 45000 cm<sup>-1</sup>) transitions are spin allowed. In the investigated complexes  $\pi \rightarrow e_g(\sigma^*)$  transition can be the reason for broadening of the d-d band around 30000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (360 nm). The important contribution can be assigned to the intervening sigma bond, which can be formed by donation of the nitrogen lone pairs (azomethine group) to the empty *d* orbital of the metal ion.<sup>205–207</sup>Presence of intraligand  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  transitions may also cause a broadening of the absorption bands. Calculated electronic transitions





**Figure 4.15** Graphic representation of calculated HOMO, LUMO and HOMO-LUMO transition of Co(III) complexes with E-1,3-thiazoles and E-1,3-selenazoles as ligands, in DMF solvent.

Graphic representations of calculated HOMO, LUMO and HOMO-LUMO transitions for complexes are shown in Figure 4.15. The LUMO orbitals of all Co(III) complexes are delocalized mainly at the azomethine group, metal centre, and pyridine ring. The main contribution to the HOMO orbitals of all Co(III) complexes derives from the heterocyclic ring, azomethine group, and metal centre.

It is known that DFT exchange-correlation functionals overestimate the energy of HOMO orbital and underestimate the energy of LUMO orbital. The HOMO eigenvalues predicted by hybrid functionals are generally better than those predicted by nonhybrid functionals, and their accuracy depend on the included percentages of Hartree-Fock exchange.<sup>210</sup> DFT/B3LYP calculated energies of HOMO orbitals for Co(III) complexes are in good agreement with experimental data.

# Fukui functions

Fukui functions (*f*) provide information about atoms in a molecule that have increased tendency to either lose or accept an electron. This allows evaluating the nucleophilic and electrophilic behaviour of each atom in a molecule. The greatest values of Fukui functions calculated by NBO charges at DFT/DMF of investigated ligands are reported in Table 7.10. The  $f^-$  measures reactivity with respect to electrophilic attack or the tendency of the molecule to donate electrons, while  $f^+$  measures reactivity related to nucleophilic attack or the propensity of a molecule to accept electrons. The highest value of  $f^-$  for the ligands is at Se/S and C8 indicating the zone for transfer of electron, while the highest value of  $f^+$  is associated with N2 and C6 showing the ability for a back donation through the N2=C6 zone of hydrazone group.

( - )				
Compound	E1/2ox/[eV]a	HOMO/eV		
		CVb	DFTc	
1-Se	0.532	-5.33	-5.52	
3-Se	0.513	-5.31	-5.47	
2-Se	0.500	-5.30	-5.48	
1-S	0.568	-5.37	-5.52	
2-S	0.540	-5.34	-5.52	
3-S	0.554	-5.35	-5.50	

**Table 4.13** Electrochemical properties and energies of HOMO orbitals of (1-3)-S and (1-3)-Se.

<sup>a</sup>V vs Fc/Fc + in DMF containing 0.1 M TBAP as supporting electrolyte at a scan rate of 100 mV/s. GC working electrode. bEHOMO (eV) =  $-4.8 - (\text{Eonset} -\text{E1/2(Fc/Fc+)})^{207}$  cEHOMO (eV) calculated from TD-DFT/DMF calculations.

## 4.1.6 Biological activity

#### 4.1.6.1 Antimicrobial activity

The antimicrobial activity of the (1,3-thiazol-2-yl)hydrazones and (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones and its Co(III) complexes was examined against four Gram-negative bacteria *P. hauseri*, *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, *S. enterica* and four Gram-positive bacteria: *S. aureus*, *C. sporogenes*, *B. subtilis* and *K. rhizophila* (Table 4.14). Antifungal activity of investigated compounds was examined against *A.brasiliensis*, *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae* (Table 4.15).

diffusion method.	Table 4.14         Antibacterial	activity	of	investigated	compounds	tested	by 1	the	disc-
	diffusion method.								

			Inhi	bition zoi	ne diame	ter <sup>a</sup> (mm)		
Compound	Р.	Р.	Е.	<i>S</i> .	<i>S</i> .	С.	В.	К.
	hauseri	aeruginosa	coli	enterica	aureus	sporogenes	subtilis	rhizophila
HLSe <sup>1</sup>	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
<b>HLSe<sup>2</sup></b>	10	10	12	14	12	12	12	14
HLSe <sup>3</sup>	10	12	12	12	10	10	12	12
HLS <sup>1</sup>	n.a. <sup>D</sup>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
HLS <sup>2</sup>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
HLS	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
1-Se	24	24	26	24	26	24	24	28
<b>2-Se</b>	28	26	28	26	26	28	26	30
3-Se	26	24	28	26	26	26	24	28
<b>1-S</b>	22	20	30	28	20	24	26	30
<b>2-S</b>	26	24	32	24	24	24	26	32
<b>3-S</b>	32	34	32	28	32	30	30	32
Amikacin	22	20	38	22	28	20	20	22

<sup>a</sup>Including diameter of disc (8 mm); <sup>b</sup> n.a. – not active

From the results of antimicrobial activity investigation the impact of chalcogen atom identity on activity of organic ligand can be clearly seen. Namely, none of the sulphur ligands did show any activity against bacteria, while the activity against fungi was never higher in comparison to their selenium isosters. In the case of selenium ligands **HLSe**<sup>(1-3)</sup>, substitutions on benzene ring often resulted in increased activity of the corresponding ligand in comparison to **HLSe**<sup>1</sup> on all bacterial and fungi strains. The similar effect was found for **HLS**<sup>(1-3)</sup> on all investigated fungi strains. Complex formation enhanced to a great extent the activity of organic ligands against bacterial strains investigated. The trend found for the ligands is also valid for the complexes: **1-Se** and **1-S** were less active corresponding complexes.

Inhibition zone diameter <sup>a</sup> (mm)								
Compound	A. brasiliensis	C. albicans	S. cerevisiae					
HLSe <sup>1</sup>	10	16	18					
HLSe <sup>2</sup>	12	16	22					
HLSe <sup>3</sup>	12	22	28					
HLS <sup>1</sup>	9	9	10					
HLS <sup>2</sup>	10	16	14					
HLS <sup>3</sup>	9	12	12					
1-Se	16	30	22					
<b>2-Se</b>	12	18	14					
3-Se	16	20	18					
<b>1-S</b>	22	26	22					
<b>2-S</b>	n.a. <sup>b</sup>	12	12					
<b>3-S</b>	18	24	20					
Nystatin	30	32	52					

**Table 4.15** Antifungal activity of investigated compounds tested by the disc-diffusion method (inhibition zone size including disc/mm)

a Including diameter of disc (8 mm);<sup>b</sup> n.a. – not active

The most potent thiazole complex appears to be **3-S**, while in the case of selenazoles the most active complex was **2-Se**. Contrary to ligands, in the case of Co(III) complexes it was not possible to establish a strict correlation of impact of isosteric replacement on antibacterial activity. Only in the case of **2-S** and **2-Se** sulphur analogue was more active on all investigated bacterial strains. **3-Se** was more active than **3-S** on all bacterial strains except *E. coli* and *K. rhizophila*,

while **1-S** showed better activities against *E. coli, S. eneterica, B. subtilis* and *K. rhizophila* and its selenium analogue was more active against *P. hauseri, P. aeruginosa, S. aureus* and *C. sporogenes.* 

Despite few exceptions, a complex formation resulted in more active chemical species regarding antifungal activity, with few. Complexation of  $HLS^2$  with Co(III) resulted in 2-S which showed no activity against *A. brasiliensis* and reduced activity against two other fungi strains. Reduced activity was also noticed for 2-Se on *S. cerevisiae*. Slightly reduced activity was observed for 3-Se in comparison to its parent ligand. The most active complexes on fungi strains were 1-Se and 1-S (with no substituents on benzene ring). The activity of 1-Se against *C. albicans* was almost the same as in the case of nystatin (Table 4.15).

#### 4.1.6.2 Acute lethality study

Results of acute toxicity test on brine shrimp (*Artemia salina*) are presented in Table 4.16. While DMSO itself did not cause changes in viability of treated nauplii at any of five tested concentrations, treatment with  $K_2Cr_2O_7$ induced high incidence of lethality. Nauplii revealed different level of sensitivity toward (1,3-thiazol-2-yl)hydrazones and (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones. Namely, our results showed that selenium compounds exhibited significantly reduced toxicity in comparison to their isosters. The most toxic were ligands without substituents at benzene ring (**HLS<sup>1</sup>** and **HLSe<sup>1</sup>**), while the most toxic sulphur base complex was **1-S**. In the case of selenium based complexes **1-Se** and **3-Se** showed the same level of toxicity, while the most toxic was **2-Se**.

nee-radical scavenging activity of investigated compounds									
Compound	$LC_{50}(\mu M)$	Compound	$LC_{50}(\mu M)$						
	A. salina		A. salina						
HLS <sup>1</sup>	$60.64 \pm 9.23$	HLSe <sup>1</sup>	$94.73 \pm 6.56$						
$HLS^2$	$144.99 \pm 11.23$	HLSe <sup>2</sup>	$137.15 \pm 13.10$						
HLS <sup>3</sup>	$70.62\pm7.04$	HLSe <sup>3</sup>	$120.14 \pm 12.45$						
<b>1-S</b>	$35.49 \pm 5.74$	1-Se	$72.66\pm7.32$						
<b>2-S</b>	$66.71 \pm 10.11$	2-Se	$62.92\pm8.89$						
<b>3-S</b>	$42.21\pm9.87$	<b>3-Se</b>	$72.41 \pm 9.63$						
$K_2Cr_2O_7$	$248.14\pm16.85$								

**Table 4.16** LC<sub>50</sub> values of the *A. salina* cytotoxic activity and IC<sub>50</sub> of the DPPH free-radical scavenging activity of investigated compounds

# 4.1.6.3 Free-radical scavenging activity

Selenium and sulphur inorganic and organic compounds and proteins are well known by their antioxidant properties.<sup>8,127,211,212</sup> On the other hand, there is only one study of antioxidant capacity of (1,3-thiazol-2-yl)hydrazones while to the best of our knowledge there<sup>190</sup> is no such study published for (1,3-selenazol-2yl)hydrazones. The proton donating ability of investigated compounds was assayed using a protocol for the determination of radical scavenging activity, the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) method.<sup>213</sup> IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated from the plotted graph of scavenging activity against the concentrations of the samples. IC<sub>50</sub> is defined as the total antioxidant necessary to decrease the initial DPPH radical by 50%. IC<sub>50</sub> was calculated for all compounds based on the percentage of DPPH radicals scavenged. Ascorbic acid was used as the reference compound (positive control) with concentrations from 50 to 500  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>. The obtained results (Table 4.17) revealed that selenium compounds were better antioxidants in comparison to their sulphur isosters, without exception. Both selenium and sulphur ligands with unsubstituted benzene rings showed best antioxidant activity in corresponding series. Benzene ring substitution with both electron donation (Me) and electron withdrawing properties (OMe) resulted in less active compounds. This effect was more pronounced for OMe derivatives. The Co(III) complexes followed the same trend. It is interesting to notice that there is a total overlap between free-radical scavenging activity and cytotoxicity. Namely, the compounds showing the more pronounced free-radical scavenging activity were the most cytotoxic.

tee rudieur seuvenging deuvity of investigated compounds							
Compound	$IC_{50}$ ( $\mu$ M)	$\mu$ M) Compound IC <sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ M)					
	DPPH assay		DPPH assay				
HLS <sup>1</sup>	$81.97 \pm 7.66$	<b>HLSe<sup>1</sup></b>	$50.74 \pm 7.85$				
HLS <sup>2</sup>	$298.60 \pm 18.14$	HLSe <sup>2</sup>	$125.28 \pm 15.22$				
HLS <sup>3</sup>	$151.12 \pm 13.59$	HLSe <sup>3</sup>	$73.15\pm8.18$				
<b>1-S</b>	$48.93 \pm 6.81$	1-Se	$18.86\pm4.93$				
<b>2-S</b>	$146.51 \pm 16.64$	<b>2-Se</b>	$70.67\pm9.54$				
<b>3-S</b>	$71.71\pm5.37$	<b>3-Se</b>	$42.33\pm6.41$				
		Ascorbic acid	$79.38 \pm 8.52$				

**Table 4.17**  $LC_{50}$  values of the *A. salina* cytotoxic activity and  $IC_{50}$  of the DPPH free-radical scavenging activity of investigated compounds

Co(III) complexes showed better activities than the corresponding ligands. Our results are challenging the previously postulated hypothesis regarding the mode of action of (1,3-thiazol-2-yl)hydrazones<sup>212</sup> and other hydrazono derivatives,<sup>213</sup> which indicate an interaction of hydrazone hydrogen atom with DPPH radical. Namely, the coordination of **HLS**<sup>(1-3)</sup> to Co(III) resulted in their deprotonation, which was shown by the results of single crystal X-ray diffraction experiments. On the other hand there is no other acidic hydrogen atom present in Co complexes. It seems that sulphur and selenium atoms are the cause for free-radical scavenging activity in Co(III) complexes.

#### 4.1.6.4 Anticancer activity

#### Comparison of anticancer activity on 2-D and 3-D cell models

Investigation on anti-cancer activity of **3-S**was initiated by evaluation of its ability to induce cell death in a classical MCF-7 2-D monolayer culture over 24 h of incubation.CDDP was chosen as our reference compound, as it is currently the most efficient metal complex administered in treatments of various types of cancer<sup>214</sup>. The activity of the ligand **HLS<sup>3</sup>** could not be tested due to its low solubility in the cell culture medium. Starting cobalt salt after 24 h of incubation exhibited very low cytotoxic activity and did not reach ED<sub>50</sub> value in the range of applied concentrations (up to 100  $\mu$ M). As represented in Figure 4.16, treatment with **3-S** induced higher incidence of cell death compared to CDDP. Contrary to CDDP, activity of **3-S** displayed a wide plotted concentration-response curve. This implies there is a broad concentration of **3-S** to achieve the desired treatment effect while avoiding a toxic threshold i.e. a characteristic of a drug of good therapeutic index<sup>215</sup>.



**Figure 4.16** Concentration-response curves and  $ED_{50}$  values for 3-S (a)andCDDP (b) on 2-D MCF-7 cell model after 24 h of incubation. Results are presented as percentage of cell death events determined by means of Annexin-V/PI double staining in two independent experiments (open and closed circles) and asymmetric five-parameter sigmoidal curve computed for both replicates in GraphPad Prism software.

The next step was to examine activity of **3-S** and CDDP in a 3-D cell model. 3-D culture models are *in vitro* derived tissues which mimic *in vivo* tumors in respect to gradient of nutrients, oxygen and metabolites within themselves<sup>216</sup>. Therefore, 3-D models serve as a unique screening platform for drug effectiveness in a function of multiple parameters such as drug concentration, molecular weight, kinetics, charge, solubility, diffusion, metabolism, and sequestration<sup>217</sup>.

Changes in 3-D cultures can be analyzed regarding two parameters: size and morphology. Due to insufficiency of mass transport through cellular barriers, there are three concentric zones clearly distinguished within newly developed spheroid: necrotic core (black circle located in the center of sphere, consisted of dead cells), quiescent zone (transparent dark gray rim that surrounds necrotic core), and proliferating zone (transparent light gray located on the outer edge). Sizes and mutual ratio of these zones got altering over the days of incubation, thus in non-treated spheroids necrotic core is getting bigger with quiescent zone significantly thinned and completely suppressed to the very edge of the sphere (Figure 4.17). Spheroids treated with CDDP showed concentration-dependent changes in both parameters (Figure 4.17). Concentration of 100  $\mu$ M induced reduction in spheroid size already after second day of incubation, together with markedly expanded necrotic core and loss of proliferating zone. Size of those spheroids remained unchanged over the time of incubation, but zones became

unrecognizable with development of strikingly crispy edges. CDDP at concentration of 10  $\mu$ M induced growth regression almost equivalent to that achieved with 100  $\mu$ M, but morphological transformation was far less prominent. Thus, at the fourth day, 3-D cultures subjected to concentration of 10  $\mu$ M still had well defined edge and preserved thin proliferation zone. Spheroids incubated with 1  $\mu$ M of CDDP were the least affected in both growth rate and morphological changes.



**Figure 4.17** (a) MCF-7 3-D culture changes in growth and morphology induced by the treatment with either 1 or CDDP added in three concentrations over the 8-day incubation period. Images have been acquired every other day, starting from day 0 on the Celigo imaging cytometer using Celigo software. Scale bar: 500  $\mu$ m. (b) Changes in growth rate of MCF-7 spheroids treated with either 1 or CDDP applied in concentrations of 100  $\mu$ M (open circle), 10  $\mu$ M (full square), and 1  $\mu$ M (open square), and non-treated control (full circle). Growth rate was determined by means of spheroid area established with Celigo software, and afterwards computed for every other day of the 8-day incubation period by dividing the area on the day-n with the area on the day 0. Results are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD of two replicates of independent experiments.

The highest (100  $\mu$ M) applied concentration of **3-S** initially induced an increase in size of 3-D culture accompanied with complete loss of recognizable zones within its architecture, demonstrating a mighty drug activity. Starting on the forth incubation day, those spheroids were displaying a decrease in size, thus on day eight, their growth rate was almost equal to the one obtained after CDDP treatment at the same concentration (1.08 ± 0.03 and 0.95 ± 0.01 for **3-S** and CDDP, respectively). Spheroids incubated with 110 a median concentration of **3-S** (10  $\mu$ M) were gradually losing their zonal segregation. At day two, a necrotic core was still distinctive, while the quiescent zone was dark and glazy with complete disappearance of any proliferation rim. Two days later, the necrotic core could be barely identified, while on day six, spheroid zonal organization was completely obliterated. Nevertheless, those two concentrations of **3-S** induced almost the same activity on 3-D cultures, but morphological transformations registered in spheroids treated with concentration of 10  $\mu$ M were vigorous to a lesser extent. As for CDDP, the lowest tested concentration of **3-S** (1  $\mu$ M) did not produce any substantial growth rate inhibition. However, due to the delayed growth, the ratio between necrotic core and quiescent zone at day eight was approximately the same as seen on day four in control 3-D cultures.

The differences in response to treatment with **3-S** on monolayer or spheroids at 10 and 100  $\mu$ M provide a full insight into benefit of drug testing on 3-D model. Since 2-D culture of adherent cells is considered today as completely unrealistic formation where all cells are equally exposed to applied treatment, it is not surprising that promising results gained from *in vitro* evaluation are frequently not confirmed during *in vivo* assessment. Here, concentration of 10  $\mu$ M achieved almost the same activity on 3-D model as concentration of 100  $\mu$ M on 2D, a result completely unforeseen according to percentages of cell death scored with those concentrations on 2-D (Figure 4.16 and Figure 4.17 (a)). Thus, our results confirm that **3-S** is a compound with potentially high therapeutic index, and by careful dose titration, the desired impact should not be compromised with side effects.

#### Combination treatment

The strategy of combination treatment implies acting upon different targets in order to improve therapeutic effect as well as to interfere with development of drug resistance which tumor cells can easily acquire in case of single drug therapy. Major disadvantage of combination treatment includes interactions at the level of side effects. Such interaction demands dose reduction for each drug given in combination so to avoid serious toxic complications. We evaluated here the possibility of assenting interaction of **3-S** with paclitaxel, nowadays one of mostly used drugs for the treatment of breast cancer<sup>218</sup>. On the other hand, CDDP was revealed as effective for the treatment of

locally advanced breast cancer, metastatic breast cancer and triple-negative breast cancers<sup>219</sup>.



**Figure 4.18** (a) MCF-7 3-D culture changes in growth and morphology induced by the treatment with different combinations of 1, CDDP and paclitaxel. Images have been acquired every other day, starting from day 0 on the Celigo imaging cytometer using Celigo software. Scale bar: 500  $\mu$ m. (b) Changes in growth rate of MCF-7 spheroids treated with combination of CDDP and paclitaxel (open triangle), combination of 1 and paclitaxel (open circle), combination of 1 and CDDP (open square), combination of 1 with CDDP and paclitaxel (closed square), and non-treated control (full circle). Growth rate was determined by means of spheroid area established with Celigo software, and afterwards computed for days 4 and 8 by dividing the area on the day-n with the area on the day 0. Results are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD of two replicates of independent experiments. (c) Type of interactions between drugs in combination treatments expressed by means of Combination index (CI), where CI < 0.9 indicates on synergistic, 0.9 < CI < 1.1 indicates on additive, and CI >1.1 indicates on antagonistic type of interaction. Results are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD of two replicates of independent experiments.

The least effective concentrations of **3-S** and CDDP confirmed in the singletreatment experiment were used (1  $\mu$ M, Figure 4.17) in planning of combination treatments in respect of the general approach that implies dose reduction of drugs in combination, while paclitaxel was investigated in concentration of 1 nM also determined as the concentration with lowest activity in the tested range between 1-100 nM (data not shown). As shown in Figure 4.18, combination of paclitaxel with **3-S** was the least effective when compared to other three combinations. However, the true type of interaction within combinations becomes evident when represented by the combination index (CI, Figure 4.18 (c)). Combination of **3-S** with CDDP revealed synergistic interaction whereas **3-S** in combination with paclitaxel acted additively with less efficient inhibition of spheroid growth. CDDP with paclitaxel in combination performed synergistically against MCF-7 3-D model, while the addition of **3-S** to the triple combination did not help to gain any improvement of the overall effect of two conventional drugs, but additionally did not reduce it either. Different type of interactions defined for **3-S** and CDDP when they were separately combined with paclitaxel, remains a strong indication that those two metal complexes do not share the same target. Their combination ratios.

#### 4.2 Nitro (*o*, *p* and *m*) benzaldehyde-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones

#### 4.2.1 Synthesis and characterization of second series 1-4 (OMe, Me)

Twelve benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones (Scheme 3.3) were prepared *via* Hantzsch type condensation of corresponding selenosemicarbazones with a series of 4-substituted  $\alpha$ -bromoacetophenones. Compounds **4-OMe** and **4-Me** crystallized as single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) which indicated *E*-configuration of the imine bond (*vide infra*).

Synthesis of the compounds **1** and **1-Me** was previously published, but without spectral characterization <sup>220</sup>. Literature data for melting points of **1** and **1-Me** significantly differ from our data <sup>220</sup>. Structures of all synthesized compounds were confirmed by elemental analysis, NMR and IR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry data. 1D and 2D NMR spectra are given in Figure 7.39-Figure 7.78. The influence of substituents on both phenyl rings, A and B, on NMR chemical shifts of corresponding hydrogen and carbon atoms was observed. As expected, in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of all compounds the signal of H–N2 is the most downfielded. Substitution of the phenyl rings had negligible influence on chemical shift of a proton from 1,3-selenazole ring, thus the signal of the proton H-C9 in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of all compounds appeared in 113

the narrow range (7.51–7.71 ppm). Introduction of NO<sub>2</sub> group on the phenyl ring A, which has negative inductive and negative resonance effect, caused downfield shift of signals of all protons in the ring in comparison to signals of corresponding protons in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of compounds from set 1. Also, chemical shift of H-C7 protons was affected by this substitution, where for all compounds from set 2, with NO<sub>2</sub> group in ortho-position, significant shift to lower field was observed. Introduction of methyl group on the phenyl ring B, which is electron donating group by induction, caused shielding effect of all protons from the ring B, where signals of protons H-C13 and H-C15 were the most affected in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of all methyl derivatives. The electronic effects of metoxy group, which is a withdrawer by induction and an electron donor by resonance, is determined by its position. Since it participates in delocalization of pi electrons from the phenyl ring B, it functions as strong electron donor. This is again mostly reflected on chemical shifts of H-C13 and H-C15 protons in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of all metoxy derivatives, where these protons are shielded and thus their signals are upfielded. Electronic effects of substituents have the similar impact on chemical shifts of corresponding carbon atoms in <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.

## 4.2.2 Analysis of crystal structures

Crystal data, data collection and refinement parameters for the compounds **4-OMe** and **4-Me** are summarized in Table 4.18.

Molecular structures of compound **4-Me** and **4-OMe** with the atom numberings are given in Figure 4.19, crystal packing motifs are depicted in Fgure 4.20, while selected bond lengths and bond angles are presented in Table 4.19.

Structure	<b>4-Me</b>	4-OMe
Brutto formula	$C_{17}H_{14}N_4O_2Se$	$C_{17}H_{14}N_4O_3Se$
Formula weight (gmol <sup>-1</sup> )	385.28	401.28
Crystal color and habit	Orange prism	Brown prism
Crystal dimensions (mm)	0.33  imes 0.26  imes 0.21	0.30  imes 0.21  imes 0.20
Space group	Pbcn	Pbca
a (Å)	11.4924(3)	11.8767(6)
<i>b</i> (Å)	7.9189(4)	13.5795(7)
<i>c</i> (Å)	35.9317(19)	20.3707(11)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	3270.0(3)	3285.4(3)
Ζ	8	8
$\mu$ (Cu $K_{\alpha}$ ) (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	3.263	3.218
Absorption correction	Multi-scan	Multi-scan
<i>F</i> (000)	1552	1616
$\theta$ max (°)	74.000	76.014
No. refl. measured	7934	9975
No. refl. unique	3212	3383
No. refl. observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	2562	2912
R <sub>int</sub>	0.0395	0.0229
$R_{\sigma}$	0.0601	0.0315
Parameters	227	239
$R_1[I>2\sigma(I)]$	0.0461	0.0319
$wR_2$ , all	0.1414	0.0964
S	1.054	1.044
$\rho_{\rm max}, \rho_{\rm min}  ({\rm e}{\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.46, -0.68	0.25, -0.34

Table 4.18 Crystallographic data of 4-Me and 4-OMe.



**Figure 4.19** ORTEP drawings of the molecular structures of compounds 4-Me (A) and 4-OMe (B) with labeling of non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level and H atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii.



Figure 4.20 Crystal packing diagrams of compound 4-Me (A) and 4-OMe (B).

	<b>4-Me</b> (XRD)	<b>4-Me</b> (DFT)	<b>4-OMe</b> (XRD)	<b>4-OMe</b> (DFT)
С7–С9	1.475(5)	1.476	1.475(3)	1.476
C8–C9	1.353(5)	1.368	1.351(3)	1.369
C8–Se1	1.871(5)	1.874	1.873(2)	1.876
C9–N10	1.388(4)	1.391	1.391(2)	1.391
C11-N10	1.300(5)	1.291	1.290(2)	1.292
C11-N12	1.348(5)	1.375	1.361(3)	1.375
C11–Se1	1.872(4)	1.887	1.8808(19)	1.886
C14-N13	1.277(4)	1.290	1.271(3)	1.290
C14–C17	1.451(4)	1.459	1.463(3)	1.459
N12-N13	1.358(4)	1.338	1.354(2)	1.337
N21–O23	1.212(5)	1.232	1.214(3)	1.232
N21–O22	1.218(6)	1.232	1.222(3)	1.232
C9–C8–Se1	111.6(3)	111.16	111.86(16)	111.60
C8–C9–N10	116.0(3)	116.20	116.55(18)	116.20
C8–C9–C7	126.9(3)	125.90	125.85(19)	126.00
N10-C9-C7	117.1(3)	117.90	117.59(17)	117.80
N10-C11-N12	121.3(3)	122.10	124.32(18)	122.00
N10-C11-Se1	115.2(3)	116.30	116.57(15)	116.30
N12-C11-Se1	123.6(3)	121.70	119.11(14)	121.70
N13-C14-C17	121.8(3)	121.20	119.71(19)	121.10
C11-N10-C9	113.4(3)	112.80	112.22(16)	112.80
C11-N12-N13	120.4(3)	120.60	117.09(17)	120.60
C14-N13-N12	115.4(3)	119.10	118.96(17)	119.10
O23-N21-O22	122.6(4)	124.60	123.6(2)	124.60
O23-N21-C20	119.0(4)	117.70	118.4(2)	117.70
O22-N21-C20	118.4(4)	117.70	118.0(2)	117.70
C8–Se1–C11	83.76(16)	83.20	82.79(9)	83.20

**Table 4.19** Selected experimentally obtained (XRD) and calculated (DFT) bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 4-Me and 4-OMe.

The geometries of the selenazole rings in both structures reveal no unusual parameters when compared with the set of related structures from the current version of CSD <sup>221</sup>. Analysis of the interplanar angles defined by the least square plane of the selenazole ring and the least square planes of both phenyl rings reveals a certain level of planarity in the structure of **4-OMe** unlike in **4-Me** (Table 4.20). Visually this result is depicted in Figure 4.21, which displays an overlay of molecular structures of **4-Me** and

**4-OMe**. The torsion angle Se1–C11–N12–N13 [-7.3(4)° in **4-Me** and 1.3(3)° in **4-OMe**] reveals the *cis*-orientation of the N13 with respect to the selenium (and, consequently, *trans*-orientations with respect to the N10) in both structures, which are therefore conformationally prone to act as *N*,*Se* bidentate ligands in possible metal coordination. Basic crystallographic packing motif in the structure of **4-Me** is a distinct paddle wheel-like centrosymmetric molecular dimer formed by the hydrogen bond N12– 12···N10<sup>*i*</sup> [*i* = 1-*x*, *y*, 1/2-*z*; *d* (N12-H): 0.86 Å, *d* (H···N10): 2.01 Å, *d* (N12···N10): 2.857(5) Å, angle: 168°] of the graph set notation R 2,2 (8) (Figure 4.20A). The paddlewheel axis running through Se1 and C11 of both involved molecules is roughly parallel with the crystallographic *a*-axis. In the structure of (roughly planar) **4-OMe** crystal packing is guided by a single hydrogen bond N12–H12···O1<sup>*ii*</sup>[*ii* = 1/2+*x*, *y*, 1/2-*z*; *d* (N12-H): 0.81(4) Å, *d* (H···O1): 2.48 (3) Å, *d* (N12···O1): 3.202 (3) Å, angle: 150(3)°] through which the *zig-zag* oriented molecules are connected into the "endless" chains parallel to the crystallographic *ac* plane (Figure 4.20 B).

**Table 4.20** Angles between the selenazole ring least square plane and phenyl rings least square planes.

Se1-C8-C9-N10-C11	<b>4-Me</b> (°)	<b>4-OMe</b> (°)
C2-C3-C4-C5-C6-C7	30.18 (15)	4.94 (10)
C15-C16-C17-C18-C19-C20	24.86 (15)	6.03 (11)



Figure 4.21 Structural superposition of 4-Me (yellow) and 4-OMe (red).

#### 4.2.3 Cyclic voltammetry (CV)

All compounds were studied voltammetrically in DMSO using TBAP as supporting electrolyte. Results of CV study are given in Table 4.21. Examples of cyclic voltammograms of compounds **1–4** are given in Figure 4.22. In the investigated potential range (+1.0V to -2.0 V) **1**, **1-OMe** and **1-Me** show mainly one reduction and one oxidation peak. Reduction peak around -1.40 V is caused by reduction of imine group of the ligand. The peak at around +0.40 V can be attributed to the oxidation of chalcogen or C8 atoms. Both electrochemical processes were coupled with a chemical reaction (EC mechanism), as there were no peaks in the reverse scan. For the oxidation peaks there were a few peaks of small intensities at the subsequent cathodic sweep as a result of decomposition of the oxidized species <sup>19</sup>.

yr)nyura	yr)nydrazones											
Comp.	$E_{\rm p}^{\rm R(I)  b}$	$I_{\rm p}/{\rm cv}$	$E_{\rm p}^{\rm O(I)}$	I <sub>p</sub> /cv	$E_{\rm p}^{\rm R(II)}$	$I_{\rm p}/{\rm c}$	$E_{\rm p}^{\rm O(II)}$	$I_{\rm p}/{\rm c}$	$E_{\rm p}^{\rm Ox(II}$	$I_{\rm p}/{\rm cv}^1$	$E_{\rm HOM}$	E <sub>HOMO</sub>
		1/2		1/2		v <sup>1/2</sup>		v <sup>1/2</sup>	I)	/2	(CV)	(DfT)
1	/	/	/	/	-1.45	2.1	/	/	+0.33	39.1	-4.90	-5.34
1-Me	/	/	/	/	-1.50	2.9	/	/	+0.28	38.4	-4.85	-5.20
1-OMe	/	/	/	/	-1.59	2.3	/	/	+0.31	26.6	-4.88	-5.30
2	-1.26	6.8	-1.27	4.1	-1.46	5.3	-1.39	12.7	+0.40	23.2	-4.97	-5.49
2-Me	-1.27	13.2	-1.23	2.3	-1.49	6.6	-1.39	13.9	+0.36	24.0	-4.93	-5.31
2-OMe	-1.25	11.3	-1.26	3.6	-1.47	10.	-1.40	15.5	+0.43	23.0	-5.00	-5.43
						6						
3	-1.18	10.7	-1.20	4.2	-1.40	16.	-1.33	22.2	+0.42	25.7	-4.99	-5.51
						8						
3-Me	-1.20	4.2	-1.19	5.4	-1.40	17.	-1.33	4.3	+0.42	18.9	-4.99	-5.32
						9						
3-OMe	-1.21	13.1	-1.19	4.6	-1.44	14.	-1.32	21.3	+0.42	20.2	-4.99	-5.45
						9						
4	-1.29	25.3	/	/	-1.38	3.5	-1.32	21.3	+0.41	35.8	-4.98	-5.46
4-Me	-1.27	25.3	/	/	-1.39	2.4	-1.32	19.4	+0.40	34.8	-4.97	-5.28
4-OMe	-1.30	26.2		/	-1.39	2.2	-1.31	18.9	+0.37	33.5	-4.94	-5.40

**Table 4.21** Voltammetric characteristics of the benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> In DMSO containing 0.1 M TBAP at v = 100 mV/s; <sup>b</sup>Evs ferrocene/ferrocenium couple (Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>) in V; <sup>c</sup> in  $\mu$ A/mM(V/s)<sup>1/2</sup>; <sup>d</sup> in eV,  $E_{HOMO} = -4.8 - (E - E_{Fc})$ ,  $E_{Fc} = +0.23$ V

Cyclic voltammograms of nitro derivates show additional both reduction and oxidation peaks. Reduction peak at around -1.20 V corresponds to reversible oneelectron reduction of the radical anion of the nitro group which is commonly known in aprotic solvents <sup>222</sup>. Since the intensities of the reverse scan currents are decreased the mechanism of the reaction is also EC. Additional oxidation peak at around -1.35 V belongs to reversible one-electron oxidation of imine group. The oxidation peak is invisible for **1**, **1-Me** and **1-OMe** which means that the presence of strong electron withdrawing nitro group enables oxidation of the anion <sup>223</sup>. The intensities of the reverse scan are increased by 20-30% implying the ECE nature of the reaction mechanism. Peak currents were correlated with the square root of scan rate (20–500 mV/s) and the linear relationship was obtained which indicates diffusion controlled process on the electrode surface.



Figure 4.22 Cyclic voltammograms of compounds 1 and 2 (A) and 3 and 4 (B).

# 4.2.4 MS/MS<sup>n</sup> analysis

The mass spectral fragmentation of investigated compounds was studied in order to gain a better understanding of their behavior, and should reflect position dependent substituent influence on fragmentation mechanism. Mass spectra were recorded in the range of m/z = 50 to 600 in positive and negative electrospray ionization mode (example of mass spectra are given in Figure 4.23 and Figure 4.24. Tandem mass spectrometry was performed with collision energy optimized for each compound and transition in order to obtain stable and abundant fragments. Detection of the analytes was based on the isolation of the protonated molecule,  $[M+H]^+$  or deprotonated molecule  $[M-H]^-$ , and fragmentation schemes are shown in Figure 4.25 and Figure 4.26-Figure 4.34.



Figure 4.23 Full MS/MS spectra of 1-Me (top) and 1-OMe (bottom)



Figure 4.24 Full MS/MS spectra of 2-Me (top) and 2-OMe (bottom).





Full  $MS^2$  spectrum of ion at m/z 328 in the mass spectrum of **1** revealed two fragment ions: the most intense peak at m/z 223, 2-amino-4-pehnyl-2,5-dihydro-1,3-selenazol-5-ylium ion, and phenylmethaneimine, an ion observed at m/z 106. By further fragmentation of the daughter ion, m/z 223, fragments at m/z 118, 131, 182 and 196

were detected. The most abundant ion in  $MS^2$  spectrum, at m/z 196 (3-phenyl-4*H*-1,2-selenazet-4-ylium ion) was obtained by the loss of 27 Da (HCN). Subsequent fragmentation of m/z 196 ion, observed in  $MS^4$  spectrum, produced two fragments: protonated benzonitrile (m/z 104) and 3-(cyclobuta-1,3-dien1yl)-2*H*-selenet-2-ylium (m/z 169).

Compounds **1-Me** and **1-OMe** were fragmented in a similar fashion like **1** and main fragmentation pathways are presented in Figures 4.26 and Figure 4.27. In the first fragmentation step of **1-OMe** and **1-Me**, similar fragments were obtained to ones found for **1**.  $MS^2$  analysis of **1-OMe** revealed two major fragments at m/z 106, obtained by the hemolytic cleavage of C=N-N bridging single bond, and ion at m/z 253, obtained by the loss of 105 Da (Figure 4.26).





Further fragmentation of the daughter ion at m/z 253 resulted in the subsequent loss of HCN, HN<sub>2</sub>C radical and phenylmethaneimine producing the fragments at m/z123
226, 212 and 148. Fragment at the m/z 212 was further fragmented and ions at m/z 132 and 197 were obtained. Ion at m/z 132, 1-ethynil-4-methoxybenzene ion, was produced by expulsion of selenium monohydride (hydrogen selenide) ion from m/z 212. Homolytic cleavage of the O-CH<sub>3</sub> generated the second ion obtained through MS<sup>4</sup> analysis of the ion at m/z 212, ion at m/z 197 (3-(4-oxocyclohexa-2,5-dien-1ylidene)seleniran-2-ylium cation). Expulsion of carbon monoxide fromthem/z197produce1-(seleniren-2-yl)cyclopenta-2,4-dien-1-yliumcation(Figure 4.26).





Compound 1-Me in the first fragmentation step, analogly to 1 and 1-OMe, reveals two major fragments at m/z 106, obtained by the hemolytic cleavage of C=N-N bridging single bond, and ion at m/z 237, obtained by the loss of 105 Da (Figure 4.27). Subsequent loss of HCN and H<sub>2</sub>CN from the fragment at m/z 237 produced ions at m/z 210 and 196. Further fragmentation of ion at m/z 210 reveals ions at m/z 118, 130 (1-ethynil-4-methylbenzene), and ion at m/z 183. In the final step of fragmentation the m/z

91 and 105 ions were obtained from m/z 183 (Figure 4.27). On the other hand loss of H<sub>2</sub>Se radical from m/z 196 gave (4-ethynylphenyl)methylium cation (m/z 115). According to the difference in fragmentation paths of **1-OMe** and **1-Me** large influence of electronic substituent effects, i.e. low electron-donating for methyl and moderate for methoxy group, was observed.

Compounds 2, 3 and 4 are the ones with variable position of nitro group: 2-, 3and 4-position in the phenyl ring A and without Y substituent on the phenyl ring B. Schematic overview of proposed complex fragmentation path is given on Figure 4.28. Complex fragmentation path mainly arise from position dependent influences of electronic effect of nitro-group. Three characteristic fragmentation processes were observed for this group of studied compounds: (1) breakage of N-N single bond with concomitant loss of 4-nitrophenylmethaneimine (149 Da) producing 4-phenyl-1,3selenazol-2-amine fragment (m/z 224); (2) a similar process to the one described, where different process of bond scission produce visible protonated 4nitrophenylmethaneimine ion  $(m/z \ 151)$ ; (3) specific fragmentation which occurs through elimination organic fragment containing selenium (107 Da) forming an 2-(2-(2-, 3- and 4-nitrobenzylidene)hydrazono)-1-phenylethan-1-ylium ion (m/z 266), which after loss of nitro group produce 3-benzyl-4-phenyl-1,2-diazete (m/z, 220). Characteristic fragmentations, noted for ion m/z 224, were defined by the loss of the H<sub>2</sub>CN and H<sub>2</sub>CN<sub>2</sub> radicals producing deprotonated 3-phenyl-4H-1,2-selenazet-4-ilyium ion (m/z 196) and 2-phenylselenirene (m/z 182) observed in the course of the fragmentation of all three studied compounds. Additionally loss of hydrogen selenide from m/z 182 ion produced benzonitrile  $(m/z \ 102)$  found for all three compounds.

Third group of compounds, *i.e.* **2-OMe**, **3-OMe** and **4-OMe**, contains electrondonating group with moderate effect capable to compensate electron-accepting properties of nitro group present in the phenyl ring A. Schematic overview of proposed complex fragmentation paths is given on Figure 4.29.  $MS^2$  spectrum of ion m/z 403, molecular ion of compounds **2-OMe**, **3-OMe** and **4-OMe**, revealed that three ions were produced: the most intense peak at m/z 254 obtained by the loss of 4nitrophenylmethaneimine, protonated nitro substituted phenylmethaneimine ion at m/z151 and methyl(4-(2-((2-, 3- and 4-nitrobenzylidene)hydrazono)-ethylidene)cyclohexa-2,5-dienylidene)oxonium ion at m/z 296 obtained by the loss of organic fragment containing selenium. Further fragmentation of m/z 296 takes place by elimination of the nitro group producing the *N*-benzylidene-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1*H*-azirin-1-amine ion at m/z 250 (found for **2-OMe**, **3-OMe** and **4-OMe**). On the other hand loss of fragment 58, produce 2-(cyclobuta-1,3-dienylethynyl)-1-(2- and 4-nitrobenzylidene)diazenium ion at m/z 238. Further fragmentations of m/z 250 and m/z238 ions (found only for **2-OMe**) gave six fragments: m/z 134, m/z 148 and m/z 235 from former and m/z 148, m/z 207 and m/z 223 from the later one.



Figure 4.28 Common fragmentation paths of 2, 3 and 4.

The most intense daughter ion in  $MS^2$  spectrum, ion at m/z 254 was further fragmented by the loss of H<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>C group producing 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)selenirene ion (m/z 212). Subsequent fragmentation of ion at m/z 212 revealed two fragments at m/z 197, fragment containing selenium, and ion at m/z 132, fragment without selenium. Fragment at m/z 197 trough the loss of –CO in the next fragmentation step produced the ion at m/z 169.



Figure 4.29 Common fragmentation paths of 2-OMe, 3-OMe and 4-OMe.

Full mass  $MS^2$  spectrum of ion at m/z 387, molecular ion observed for 2-Me, 3-Me and 4-Me, revealed that three fragments were produced: the most intense peak at m/z 238 obtained by the loss of 4-nitrophenylmethaneimine, protonated nitro substituted phenylmethaneimine ion  $(m/z \ 151)$  and specific fragmentation, performed by the loss of 3organic selenium group, which produced 3-(2-(2-, and 4nitrobenzylidene)hydrazinyl)-2-phenyl-2*H*-azirine ion (m/z 280) (Figure 4.30). Further fragmentation of ion at m/z 280, for all three compounds, was accomplished by the loss off nitro group producing 3-(2-benzylidenehydrazynil)-2-phenyl-2H-azirine ion (m/z234). Specific fragmentation of the ion at m/z 280 to the ion at m/z 178 was observed for 2-Me.

The daughter ion, m/z 238 is further fragmented by loosing hydrogen cyanide and protonated HCN to produce base peak at m/z 210 and m/z 196, i.e. 3-*p*-tolyl-4*H*-1,2selenazet-4-ylium and 2-*p*-tolylselenirene ions, respectively. Fragment at m/z 307 (2-(2-(2-nitrobenzylidene)hydrazinyl)-4-*p*-tolylazete ion) obtained by the loss of hydrogen selenide was only noted for **2-Me**. Further fragmentations of ion at m/z 307 took place by elimination of nitric acid producing 2-(2-benzylidenehydrazinyl)-4-*p*-tolylazete (m/z260) and loss of 135 Da, producing protonated 2-diazenyl-4-p-tolylazete structure (m/z172). The m/z 151 ion, analog to previous compounds, was obtained by scission of N-N bond and elimination of 4-(4-methyphenyl)-1,3-selenazol-2-amine.

Fragmentations of deprotonated molecular ions,  $[M-H]^-$ , of all compounds are simpler and reflect the most acidic hydrogen removal. Common fragmentation scheme for compound **1**, **1-OMe** and **1-Me** is presented in Figure 4.31. MS<sup>2</sup> spectrum of ion m/z326 [M-H]<sup>-</sup>revealed one fragment ion at m/z 222, deprotonated 4-phenyl-1,3-selenazole-2-amine, obtained by the loss of phenylmethaneimine fragment. The daughter ion m/z222 was further fragmented and three fragments were obtained. The most abundant fragment, ion at m/z 119, 2*H*-selenete-2-ide, was obtained by the loss of 103 Da. Fragments at m/z 143, 5-phenyl-4*H*-imidazole, and at m/z 196, 3-phenyl-2*H*-1,2selenazete were obtained by loosing hydrogen selenide and hydrogen cyanide, respectively. In a similar fashion deprotonated molecular ions of **1-OMe** and **1-Me** were fragmented in the first step. Two subsequent steps of fragmentation were found for **1-OMe**: loss of selen from ion m/z 252 produce deprotonated m/z 173 ion, which after expulsion of methyl group gave 4-(4*H*-imidazol-4-ylidene)cyclohexa-2,5-dienone ion 128

(m/z 158); and after elimination of methyl group from m/z 252 an ion at m/z 237 was generated.



Figure 4.30 Common fragmentation paths of 2-Me, 3-Me and 4-Me.



Figure 4.31 Common fragmentation pathway of 1, 1-OMe and 1-Me.

Fragmentation products of *nitro*-substituted selenazoles 2-4, in MS<sup>2</sup> spectrum of [M-H]<sup>-</sup>ion showed presence of two fragments: deprotonated 4-phenyl-1,3-selenazol-2amine (m/z 223) common fragment for 2 and 4 and 4-phenyl-1,3-selenazole (m/z 208) fragment obtained for 3, obtained by the loss of nitro-substituted fragments with molecular weights 148 and 163, respectively (Figure 4.32). Subsequent loss of 104 and 80 Da (hydrogen selenide) from the ion at m/z 223 gave 2*H*-selenete-2-ide (m/z 119) and 4-phenylazete-2-amine (m/z 143), respectively.



Figure 4.32 Common fragmentation paths of 2, 3 and 4.

Fragmentation of 2-OMe, 3-OMe and 4-OMe took place in a similar manner by loosing nitro-substituted phenylmethaneimine fragment producing 4-(4methoxyphenyl)-1,3-selenazol-2-amine ion (m/z 253) for 4-OMe and 2-OMe. Ions at m/z 238 and 223 were fragments obtained for 3-OMe (Figure 4.33). Further fragmentation of ion at m/z 253 is accompanied by elimination methyl group and hydrogen selenide produced 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,3-selenazole (m/z 238) and 4-(4methoxyphenyl)azet-2-amine (m/z 173), respectively. Third fragment obtained from ion at m/z 253 was obtained by the loss of 133 Da producing an ion at m/z 119. Forthcoming loss of amide fragment from ion at m/z 173 produced 2-(4methoxyphenyl)azete ion (m/z 158). Common fragmentation pattern of 2-Me, 3-Me and 4-Me is shown in Figure 4.34. Two fragmentation routes were observed in the first fragmentation step of [M-H]<sup>-</sup> ion for studied compounds: elimination of 163 and 148 Da fragments produced 4-p-tolyl-1,3-selenazole (m/z 222), the only fragment obtained for 3-Me, and 4-p-tolyl-1,3-selenazol-2-amine (m/z 237), the common fragment obtained for 2-Me and 4-Me, respectively. Further fragmentation of m/z 237 ion took place by elimination of C<sub>2</sub>NHSe and hydrogen selenide to produce 2H-selenete-2-ide 131

(m/z 119) and 4-p-tolylazete-2-amine (m/z 157), respectively. Subsequent loss of 41 Da from m/z 157 produce p-tolylacetylene ion (m/z 116).



Figure 4.33 Common fragmentation paths of 2-OMe, 3-OMe and 4-OMe.

Similarity of fragmentation pattern allows recognition of four groups of studied selenazoles: first - compounds **1**, **1-OMe** and **1-Me**, compounds **2**, **3** and **4** (second); compounds **2-Me**, **3-Me** and **4-Me** (third) and compounds **2-OMe**, **3-OMe** and **4-OMe** (fourth group). Fragmentation pathways of studied compounds and MS<sup>n</sup> spectra obtained reflects influences of both substituents from bezylidene part of molecule, for *ortho-*, *meta-* and *para-*position of nitro group, and substituent on phenyl group present in 4-position of 1,3-selenazole ring.



Figure 4.34 Common fragmentation paths of 2-Me, 3-Me and 4-Me.

In the MS spectrum of 1 ( $C_{16}H_{13}N_3Se$ , exact mass is 327.03) the base signal at m/z = 328.14 was assigned to protonated molecular ion,  $[M + H]^+$ . The signal of sodium adduct,  $[M + Na]^+$  appeared at m/z = 349.98, and similar sodium adducts of other investigated compounds were found. As a further step in definition of fragmentation mechanism, peak at m/z 328.03 (representing  $[M + H]^+$ ) was selected for collision-induced dissociation (CID) and further mass spectral analysis. Fragments containing selenium were easily recognized by the complex isotope pattern. Common fragmentation scheme of compound 1 is presented on Fitgure 4.25. For compounds 1, 1–Me and 1-OMe fragmentation behavior was very comparable. In the first fragmentation step two major fragments are obtained for this group of compounds, first ion obtained by the hemolytic cleavage of C=N-N bridging single bond, ion at m/z 106, and second ion obtained by the loss of 105 Da. Further fragmentation of the ion  $[M+H]^{-105}$ ]<sup>+</sup> was also common for this group of compounds and three major ions were produced by the loss of 105, 41 and 27 Da. Intensities of obtained ions were the same

for the compounds 1 and 1-Me while for the compound 1-OMe, ion at m/z 106 was half the size in comparison to the same ion obtained by fragmentation of the other two compounds.

Fragmentations of other three groups of compounds were very similar in the first fragmentation step ( $MS^2$ ). From the protonated molecular ion three fragments were produced: the peak obtained by the loss of 4-nitrophenylmethaneimine, protonated nitro substituted phenylmethaneimine ion at m/z 151, fragment obtained by the loss of 149 Da and fragment obtained by the loss of organic fragment containing selenium, 107 Da. Subsequent fragmentations of obtained ions are also very similar but still influenced by the substituent on the phenyl ring B.

The influence of position of nitro group in phenyl ring A on fragmentation mechanism is most dominant for compounds where nitro group is located in the *para*position (4, 4-Me, 4-OMe). For this group of compounds intensity of molecular ion was the weakest in comparison to the other nitro substituted selenazoles, and also in the first stage of mass fragmentations besides common fragments a one specific fragment was obtained. Ion intensities of obtained common fragments differ from the ion intensities obtained for compounds where nitro group is in the *meta* and *ortho*-position.

In the negative ionization mode common fragmentation for the compounds **1**, **1**-**Me** and **1-OMe** is the loss of phenylmethaneimine fragment of 104 Da. Further fragmentation product ions depend on the substituent on the phenyl ring B. Fragmentation of selenoazoles with nitro group at *meta* and *ortho* position of the phenyl ring A was characterized by the loss of the 148 Da as the most abundant fragment in the first fragmentation step. Further common fragmentation was the loss of the 80 Da. For compounds with nitro group at *para* position of phenyl ring A the most abundant ion in the first fragmentation step was obtained by the loss of 163 Da.

In general, fragmentation of studied compounds is sensitive to the appropriate proton-donating/proton-accepting capabilities of the particular sites which proved that local electronic density distribution is an important factor determining fragmentation mode of studied compounds.

### 4.2.5 Solvatochromism

It is well known that spectral behavior of a molecule, *i.e.* position, intensity and shape of absorption bands, depends on the properties of solvent used. It was shown that

the study of the solvent effects on the absorption spectra allows assessment of fundamental molecular properties <sup>224</sup>. In general it was accepted that more planar structure supports higher contribution of extended  $\pi$ -electron delocalization and thus bathochromic shift is a consequence. Solvatochromic behavior of a series of 12 benzylidene-based(1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones was investigated with presumption that studied  $\pi$ -conjugated systems could show different sensitivities towards solvent and substituent effects.

The absorption spectra of the investigated compounds were recorded in twenty three solvents of different polarity, absorption frequency maxima,  $v_{max}$ , are given in Table 4.22 and the characteristic spectra in DMSO and ethanol are shown in Figure 4.35. Main absorbance bands appeared in the region 300-500 nm. According to experimental and theoretical results it was postulated that these bands correspond to  $\pi$ - $\pi^*$  transition. The data from Table 4.22 indicate that  $v_{max}$  values were largely affected by both substituent and solvent effect, which is clearly observed from wide range of  $v_{max}$  difference (in the range 20–70 nm).

The absorption maxima of compound **1**, **1-OMe and 1-Me** appeared at higher  $v_{max}$  values, while highest bathochromic shift showed compound withelectron-acceptor substituents in both *ortho-* and *para*-position, *i.e.* **2**, **2-OMe** and **2-Me** and **4**, **4-OMe** and **4-Me**, respectively, suggesting a more pronounced ICT.



**Figure 4.35** Absorption spectra of benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones in (A) DMSO and (B) EtOH.

The absorption maxima is well separated into four groups of compounds where the most influential factor determining shift of UV maxima shift is *nitro* group position. The introduction of the substituent of different electronic properties contributes to the positive solvatochromism, found for **1-OMe** and **1-Me**, and hypsochromic (blue) shift for other compounds. Solvent dependent spectralshifts are influenced by non-specific (dipolarity/polarizability) and specific (HBA/HBD) solvent-solute interactions, andthe absorption spectra showed relatively high dependence on both solvent and substituent effects <sup>225</sup>. Due to the appropriate conjugation over cross-conjugated imino bridges, the ICT process was observed to be high to moderate in the absorption spectra of these compounds.

#### 4.2.5.1 LSER analysis of UV data

The contribution of specific and nonspecific solvent-solute interactions was quantitatively evaluated by the use of LSER eq. (2.1 and 2.2). The LSER concept, developed by Kamlet and Taft, is very successful methodology used for quantitative treatments of solvation effects. This treatment assumes attractive/repulsive solvent/solute interactions and enables an estimation of the ability of the solvated compounds to interact with solvent. Correlation results obtained by the use Kamlet-Taft model are given in Table 4.23. Presented results indicate that the solvatochromic behaviors are complex due to diversity of contribution of both solvent and substituent effects. From the results given in Table 4.23 it can be noticed that in the most cases specific solvent effect is a factor of the highest contribution to UV-Vis spectral shifts of studied hydrazones. The negative sign of coefficients s and b, except for 2, 2-Me, 3, 3-Me, 4 and 4-Me for coefficient s, and 2 and 2-Me for coefficient b, indicates bathochromic (red) shift with increasing solvent dipolarity/polarizability and hydrogenbond accepting capability. This suggests better stabilization of the electronic ground state relative to excited state with increasing solvent polarity and basicity. Highest (positive) values of coefficients s and b were noticed for 2 which indicatesignificance of ortho-position of strong electron-accepting nitro group. Positive sign of coefficient a, except negative found for 2 and 2-Me, indicates hypsochromic (blue) shift with increasing solvent hydrogen donating capability.

Solvent/Compound	1	1-	1-Me	2	2-OMe	2-Me	3	3-	3-Me	4	4-	4-Me
Methanol (MeOH)	28.82	28.29	28.10	24.45	24.13	24.78	28.29	27.74	28.01	24.66	24.33	24.48
Ethanol (EtOH)	28.01	28.49	28.21	25.48	25.13	25.38	28.09	27.66	27.86	24.39	24.01	24.15
2-Propanol (iPrOH)	28.74	28.17	28.62	25.38	25.06	25.28	28.01	27.51	27.89	24.15	23.95	24.04
1-Butanol	28.65	28.49	28.29	25.32	24.88	25.13	27.86	27.70	27.86	24.21	23.89	24.18
Isobutanol (iBuOH)	28.65	28.41	28.39	25.29	25.32	25.35	27.80	27.61	27.78	24.20	24.05	24.07
3-Methylbutanol (iPeOH)	28.57	28.41	28.41	25.12	25.53	25.16	27.88	27.86	27.85	24.39	24.09	24.34
2-Methoxyethanol (2ME)	28.49	28.71	28.90	25.48	24.97	25.32	28.86	27.66	28.25	24.18	23.78	23.92
2-Chloroethanol (2CE)	29.59	29.50	29.50	28.25	27.78	27.97	29.24	28.78	28.86	27.03	26.60	26.99
Hexane (Hex)	29.41	29.50	29.50	25.41	25.06	25.41	26.77	28.17	27.17	23.92	24.63	23.31
Toluene	28.57	28.93	28.90	25.19	24.78	25.28	28.45	27.74	27.93	24.48	24.01	24.27
Diethylether	28.65	28.57	28.45	25.42	24.47	26.05	27.34	27.69	27.40	23.24	24.00	22.72
1,4-Dioxane (dioxane)	28.57	28.49	28.32	25.99	24.49	26.06	27.76	27.65	27.63	23.97	23.84	23.41
Tetrahydrofuran (THF)	28.99	28.90	27.74	25.00	24.69	24.97	28.01	27.55	27.74	24.07	23.75	23.92
Chloroform (Chl)	28.65	28.62	29.20	25.06	24.66	24.94	28.25	27.47	27.66	24.45	23.89	24.10
Dichloromethane (DCM)	29.07	29.07	28.65	25.45	25.03	25.32	28.69	27.86	28.05	24.69	24.30	24.48
Acetonitrile (AcN)	28.99	28.99	27.78	26.18	25.74	25.97	28.53	28.29	28.33	24.84	24.51	24.69
Ethyl acetate (EtAc)	28.99	28.90	27.90	26.01	24.41	26.16	27.68	27.81	27.57	23.74	23.78	23.22
2-Pyrrolidone (2-Pyr)	28.65	27.78	28.74	25.22	24.72	24.97	27.82	27.51	27.62	23.58	23.28	23.50
1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone	28.01	27.93	27.78	28.17	22.68	28.09	27.62	27.47	27.47	24.33	24.04	24.33
N,N-Dimethylformamide	28.25	27.17	27.40	25.51	24.69	25.28	27.93	27.55	27.70	24.04	23.56	23.81
Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)	28.01	27.93	27.86	25.25	24.63	25.03	27.66	27.36	27.51	23.56	23.12	23.26
Formamide (F)	28.57	28.49	28.33	25.19	25.19	25.19	28.13	27.74	28.01	23.84	23.67	23.84
N-Methylformamide (NMF)	28.42	28.49	28.41	25.85	25.05	25.72	28.31	27.63	28.01	24.82	23.58	24.68

**Table 4.22** Absorption maxima shifts,  $v_{max}$  (10<sup>-3</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), of investigated (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones in selected solvents

This suggests better stabilization of the ground state relative to the excited state. Results of correlation obtained according to Kamlet–Taft equation indicate that low sensitivity to solvent effects was noticed for **1**. Low change of coefficients *s* and *a*, related to non-specific and HBD solvent effects, were noticed for **1-OMe** and **1-Me** with respect to **1**.

	- 57	J						
Comp.	$v_0 \times 10^{-3}$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$s \times 10^{-3}$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$b \times 10^{-3}$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$a \times 10^{-3}$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$R^{a}$	$Sd^b$	$F^{c}$	Solvent excluded from correlation <sup>d</sup>
1	29.28 ±0.11	-0.54 ±0.15	-0.99 ±0.16	0.66 ±0.11	0.93	0.17	27.83	EtOH, Chl, THF, EtAc, 2ME, 2-Py
1-OMe	29.37 ±0.16	-0.86 ±0.21	-1.27 ±0.18	0.70 ±0.11	0.93	0.23	28.31	2-Py, MeOH, AcN, EtAc, THF
1-Me	29.42 ±0.12	-0.68 ±0.16	-1.16 ±0.16	0.62 ±0.15	0.94	0.17	29.28	Chl, THF, DMF, MeOH, 2CE, AcN
2	23.62 ±0.29	2.41 ±0.37	2.86 ±0.35	-2.46 ±0.24	0.96	0.25	44.56	2-CE, THF, 2-Pyr, DMSO, Hex, DCM, Chl, NMF, DMF
2-OMe	25.32 ±0.26	-0.75 ±0.37	-1.84 ±0.37	2.52 ±0.29	0.93	0.39	26.36	MeOH, Chl, DMSO, DMF AcN, THF
2-Me	25.43 ±0.08	0.52 ±0.12	1.05 ±0.12	-1.68 ±0.11	0.97	0.11	67.16	2CE, DMSO, THF, Toluene, 2-Pyr, NMP, DMF, 2-ME
3	27.86 ±0.18	1.03 ±0.22	-1.64 ±0.17	1.24 ±0.13	0.96	0.17	42.37	F, THF, Chl, Hex, DMF, MeOH, iPrOH, Toluene
3-OMe	28.04 ±0.037	-0.41 ±0.052	-0.43 ±0.058	0.41 ±0.05	0.96	0.06	46.54	Chl, AcN, 2-CE, iPrOH, MeOH, DMF, NMP
3-Me	27.75 ±0.089	0.63 ±0.12	-1.16 ±0.11	1.06 ±0.076	0.97	0.092	69.32	Chl, F, AcN, THF DCM, Hex, MeOH, EtOH
4	24.16 ±0.23	1.60 ±0.39	-2.88 ±0.45	2.47 ±0.27	0.93	0.33	28.4	F, Chl, Hexane, NMP, DCM,
4-OMe	24.59 ±0.09	-0.85 ±0.12	-0.76 ±0.13	0.59 ±0.10	0.94	0.13	36.99	NMP, Chl, 2CE, AcN
4-Me	23.52 ±0.23	0.81 ±0.34	-1.84 ±0.33	2.75 ±0.26	0.95	0.34	34.44	NMP, F, DMF, Chl, MeOH, EtOH, AcN

**Table 4.23** Results of LSER correlations for investigated benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones obtained by the use of the Kamlet–Taft eq (3.4)

<sup>a</sup> Correlation coefficient; <sup>b</sup> Standard deviation; <sup>c</sup> Fisher test of significance; <sup>d</sup> abbreviation for the solvents are given in Table 7.1.

Small increase of coefficient *b* were obtained with introduction of moderately/weak electron-donating methoxy and methyl group. Other hydrazones with nitro group, irrespectively to position of this group, showed higher sensitivity for compounds with X = 2, 3- and 4-NO<sub>2</sub> and Y = H (Scheme 3.3), while for Y =

OCH<sub>3</sub>electron-donating capability compensate electron-density depletion caused by nitro group. Differences in the sign and the values of sovatochromic coefficients, found for **2**, **2-OMe** and **2-Me**, are the results of balanced interplay of primary*ortho*-substituent effects and the molecular geometry. *ortho*-Nitro substituent exert larger influences on  $v_{max}$  shifts due to contribution of polar effects (inductive/field), resonance and steric effects. Similarity of polar and resonance could be expected for *ortho*- and *para* nitro substituent compounds. Steric effect includes all those phenomena, which result in structural changes at measured sites, such as bond lengths and angles, and due to size of the *ortho*-substituent. *ortho*-Nitro substituent could, by adjustment of the orientation of its dipole, through the space electrostatically and by hydrogen bonding effect to stabilize surrounding positive charges without direct interaction (through the space induced  $\pi$ -polarization).

Appropriate regularity of the sign of solvatochromic coefficients change could be observed for compounds with *meta-* and *para-*nitro group, while large differences were noticed with respect to *ortho-*substituted compounds. Higher sensitivity of  $v_{max}$ shift in *para-*nitro substituted compounds is the result of directional transmission of substituent effect which contributes to more effective charge separation. The sensitive balance of both electronic substituent effect of *para-*nitro substituent and  $\pi$ -electronic interaction in methyl and methoxy substituted moieties contributes to decrease of nonspecific and HBD solvent/solute interactions found for **4-OMe** and **4-Me**. The only exception is **4-Me** with higher contribution of HBD effect.

Results of the quantitative separation of the non-specific solvent effect into polarizability and dipolarity term (coefficients c and d, respectively), performed by using Catalán equation, are given in Table 4.3.

The results obtained by the use of Catalán equation provide better understanding of attractive/repulsive solvent/solute interactions, and enables an estimation of their appropriate contribution to  $v_{max}$  shift. The correlation results, given in Table 4.24, confirmed conclusions obtained according to the results from Kamlet-Taft equation (Table 4.23). Specific solvent interactions are the principal factors influencing the shift of  $v_{max}$ , whereas solvent dipolarity and polarizability have moderate to low contribution, except in the case of **1** and **2**. Specific solvent–solute interactions realized through hydrogen bonding, *i.e.* HBD effect can be attributed mainly to the non-bonded nitrogen

electrons, while solvent basicity (HBA) could be established with generated positive charge at molecular structure. Negative values of the coefficient *b*, except for **2** and **2**-**Me**, indicate moderate to high contribution of the solvent acidity to the stabilization of the excited state. Long-range transmission of substituent effects, which supports the larger polarization, enhances hydrogen bonding capabilities of carbonyl oxygen atoms.

Comp.	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	$\frac{c \times 10^{-3}}{c}$	$d \times 10^{-3}$	$\frac{b + b + 10^{-3}}{b \times 10^{-3}}$	$a \times 10^{-3}$	R <sup>a</sup>	Sd <sup>b</sup>	$F^{c}$	Solvent excluded
1	$(cm^{-1})$	$(cm^{-1})$	$(cm^{-1})$	$(cm^{-1})$	$(cm^{-1})$				from correlation <sup>d</sup>
1	31.65	-3.68	-0.13	-0.79	0.64	0.94	0.13	21.65	EtOH, 2CE,
	±0.38	±0.55	±0.16	±0.15	$\pm 0.20$				THF,
									DCM, MEOH
1-OMe	30.15	-0.26	-1.79	-1.23	1.98	0.95	0.23	26.30	1-BuOH, EtOH,
	±0.61	±0.13	±0.18	±0.36	±0.29				F
1-Me	29.14	-0.55	-1.40	-1.11	1.47	0.95	0.24	25.80	MeOH, F, EtOH,
	$\pm 0.70$	±0.22	±0.16	±0.4	±0.38				1-BuOH
2	20.77	3.75	2.85	1.83	-4.02	0.93	0.37	14.72	2-CE, Hex, THF,
	$\pm 1.18$	$\pm 1.52$	±0.59	$\pm 0.48$	±0.57				DMF
									DMSO, DCM,
	25.00	1.71	1.00	0.47	4.05	0.04	0.00	24.02	Toluene
2- <b>O</b> Me	25.90	-1./1	1.28	-2.47	4.05	0.94	0.38	24.92	MeOH, F, NMP,
2.14	±1.13	±1.01	±0.44	±0.42	±0.57	0.04	0.12	1651	THF, 2-ME
2-Me	23.45	0.81	1.81	0.71	-1.95	0.94	0.12	16.51	2-CE, Hex, 1HF,
	±0.55	±0.62	±0.24	±0.18	±0.26				DMF, EIOH,
									THE Toluene
									NMP
3	28.12	-1.65	2.12	-1.74	1.88	0.97	0.22	47.58	F. Toluene.
-	±0.62	±0.94	±0.27	±0.23	±0.31				THF, MeOH
3-OMe	28.12	0.11	-0.34	-0.71	0.49	0.95	0.08	27.00	2-CE, Chl, AcN,
	±0.27	$\pm 0.44$	±0.15	±0.10	±0.11				Dioxane,
									Toluene
3-Me	27.65	-0.47	1.11	-0.76	0.88	0.94	0.17	20.99	Toluene, F,
	±0.61	±0.91	±0.21	$\pm 0.20$	±0.26				MeOH,
									DMSO, Chl,
			0.01		1.20	0.00	0.00	1107	NMP
4	23.21	1.75	0.91	-2.44	4.39	0.93	0.38	14.85	F, MeOH, EtOH,
	±1.12	±1.63	±0.46	±0.31	±0.82				2-ME, THF
4-OMe	25.47	-0.97	0.09	-1.92	4.37	0.93	0.39	11.57	Toluene, F,
	$\pm 1.06$	±1.63	$\pm 0.44$	$\pm 0.40$	$\pm 0.64$				MeOH, 2ME,
									EtOH, Chl,
4.34	22.59	1.70	1 10	2.29	2.00	0.02	0.40	11 64	nBuOH
4-Me	22.58	1.70	1.19	-2.28	3.69	0.92	0.48	11.64	F, MeOH, 2ME,
	±1.42	±2.07	±0.37	±0.04	±0.40				J-IVIEBU,
									ing, inton,

**Table 4.24** Correlation results of investigated benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones according to Catalán eq. (3.5)

<sup>a</sup> Correlation coefficient; <sup>b</sup> Standard deviation; <sup>c</sup> Fisher test of significance; <sup>d</sup> abbreviation for the solvents are given in Table 7.2.

Negative values of the coefficient *c*, obtained for **1**, **1-OMe**, **1-Me**, **4-OMe**, **3**, **3-Me** and **2-OMe**, and coefficient *d*, for **1**, **1-OMe**, **1-Me** and **3-OMe**, indicate higher contribution of the dipolarity/polarizability effects to the stabilization of the excited state. The noticeable contribution of solvent polarizability indicates that the overall  $\pi$ -electronic density shifts take place by two cooperative mechanisms: overall  $\pi$ -network and polarization of localized  $\pi$ -unit. Interaction of selenazole ring, which acts as an electron-acceptor, with the substituents of different electronic properties causes variation in the mobility of the  $\pi$ -electrons, and thus, wide range of coefficients *c* and *d* values were found. The sensitive balance of both electronic substituent effect and  $\pi$ -electronic interaction in defined  $\pi$ -unit contributes to highest extent of polarizability in the case of **1** and **2**.

A greater extent of resonance interaction could be operative in two distinct  $\pi$ resonance units: substituted benzylideneamino and 4-arylidene-1,3-selenazole moieties. In that context, methoxysubstituentexerts larger $\pi$ -electron delocalization supported by
electron-accepting selenazole ring. The electron donating substituent, present in
selenazole moiety, participates in electron delocalization over causing electron-density
shift to nitro substituted benzylideneamino structure contributing to lower polarizability, *i.e.* coefficient *c* of -0.55 (**1-Me**), -0.97 (**4-OMe**) and 0.11 (**3-OMe**) (Table 4.3).

Effect of solvent dipolarity, assigned with *d* term, showed complex behavior, with the highest value found for compound 2. Dipolar solvent–solute interactions lead to blue shift, except for 1, 1-OMe, 1-Me and 3-OMe, when a moderate and strong electron-acceptor are present at both side of investigated compounds. Higher contribution of the solvent dipolar effect, in compounds with substituent displaying high electron-accepting character could be due to balanced contribution of two similarly oriented effects: electronic substituent effect and presence of nitro substituent on the phenyl ring A. Synergism of these effects cause charge separation and creation of differently oriented local/overall dipolar structure. The better stabilization of the excited state was found mostly for compounds 1, 1-OMe, 1-Me and 3-OMe, which indicates that directional shift of substituent causes more effective charge separation.

# 4.2.6 DFT and TD-DFT calculations4.2.6.1 Evaluation of electronic transitions and ICT

`Electronic properties of investigated molecules were studied by calculation of absorption spectra, the HOMO and LUMO energy levels and energy of HOMO - LUMO transitions ( $E_{gap}$ ). Allvertical excitation energies were computed at the PCM/TD-DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) optimized ground-state geometries, in DMSO, EtOH and THF solvents.

**Table 4.25** The experimental  $(\lambda_{abs})$  and calculated absorption maxima  $(\lambda^*)$ , obtained by the use of TD/DFT method, of (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones in DMSO, EtOH and THF.

		DMSO		EtOH		THF
	$\lambda_{abs} (nm)$	$\lambda^*$ (nm)	$\lambda_{abs} (nm)$	$\lambda^{*}$ (nm)	$\lambda_{abs} (nm)$	$\lambda^{*}$ (nm)
1	357	368	357	365	345	369
	270	287	272	286	272	287
	263	246	264	246	232	246
1-OMe	359	382	355	381	348	390
	282	309, 299	276	308, 298	279	313, 304
	244	250		250	212	250
<b>1-Me</b>	358	371	351	372	346	373
	276	291	271	292	273	292
	223	248	203	248	214	248
2	396	520	392	519	400	519
	568	364	280	364	286	365
		284	252	284	258	287
						284
2-OMe	406	553	398	553	405	554
	364	382	282	382	290	385
	282	296	263	295	263	295
2-Me	400	530	394	529	400	530
	286	370	282	370	285	372
		290	256	290	258	291
3	475	513	356	511	357	509
	362	366	268	365	277	364
	273	267		266	266	275
						266
3-OMe	366	552	362	550	360	549
	283	401	278	400	286	398
		292		292	271	286
				286		
3-Me	364	525	359	523	360	521
	276	377	270	376	277	375
		271		271		280
						271
4	424	/ 514	410	/ 513	416	/ 509
	290	378	283	378	286	380
		285	245	290	247	290
				285		
4-OMe	432	/ 544	416	/ 543	421	/ 541
	286	394	283	394	284	396
		313	257	312	276	314
				295		<u>2</u> 95
4-Me	430	/ 523	414	/ 523	418	/ 520
	291	384	286	384	284	386
		294	249	293	251	293

The calculated absorption maxima of *E*-benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones are compared with the experimental values (Table 4.25), while oscillator strengths (f) and composition of calculated bands are listed in Table 7.12.

Influence of substituents and solvents are estimated by comparing the calculated values of absorption maxima, frontier molecular orbital energies ( $E_{LUMO}$ ,  $E_{HOMO}$ ) and  $E_{gap}$  (Table 4.26). Molecular orbital plots and energy levels of the HOMO, the LUMO and HOMO-LUMO transitions of investigated compounds in DMSO are depicted in Figure 4.36, while analog plots for all compounds in EtOH and THF are given in Figure 7.79 and Figure 7.80, respectively.

**Table 4.26** Calculated energies of the HOMO and LUMO orbitals and energy gap (in eV) for E-(1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazonesin DMSO, EtOH and THF, obtained by TD/DFT.

	1	1-Me	1-OMe	2	2-Me	2-OMe
DMSO						
$E_{\rm LUMO}$	-1.55	-1.54	-1.53	-2.71	-2.71	-2.70
$E_{\rm HOMO}$	-5.34	-5.30	-5.20	-5.49	-5.43	-5.31
$E_{ m gap}$	3.79	3.76	3.66	2.78	2.72	2.61
EtOH						
$E_{\rm LUMO}$	-1.53	-1.54	-1.53	-2.71	-2.71	-2.70
$E_{\rm HOMO}$	-5.34	-5.29	-5.19	-5.49	-5.43	-5.30
$E_{ m gap}$	3.80	3.75	3.66	2.78	2.72	2.60
THF						
$E_{ m LUMO}$	-1.53	-1.52	-1.51	-2.70	-2.69	-2.69
$E_{\rm HOMO}$	-5.31	-5.26	-5.16	-5.48	-5.41	-5.28
$E_{ m gap}$	3.78	3.74	3.65	2.78	2.72	2.59
	3	3-Me	3-OMe	4	4-Me	4-OMe
DMSO						
$E_{\text{LUMO}}$	-2.79	-2.79	-2.79	-2.67	-2.67	-2.67
$E_{\rm HOMO}$	-5.51	-5.45	-5.32	-5.46	-5.40	-5.28
$E_{ m gap}$	2.72	2.66	2.54	2.79	2.73	2.62
EtOH						
$E_{ m LUMO}$	-2.79	-2.79	-2.78	-2.67	-2.67	-2.66
$E_{\rm HOMO}$	-5.51	-5.45	-5.32	-5.46	-5.40	-5.28
$E_{ m gap}$	2.72	2.66	2.54	2.79	2.73	2.62
THF						
$E_{ m LUMO}$	-2.77	-2.77	-2.76	-2.65	-2.65	-2.65
$E_{\rm HOMO}$	-5.51	-5.45	-5.31	-5.46	-5.39	-5.27
$E_{ m gap}$	2.74	2.68	2.55	2.80	2.74	2.62



**Figure 4.36** Molecular orbital plots and energy levels of the HOMO, the LUMO and HOMO-LUMO transitions of the benzyldene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones in DMSO.

The main difference between compounds 1, 1-OMe and 1-Me and nitro-substituted (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones derives from stabilization of LUMO orbital in the

presence of nitro group. Influence of nitro group is clearly reflected in red shifted HOMO-LUMO transitions of all nitro-substituted (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones in comparison to compounds 1, 1-OMe and 1-Me. The different position of nitro group on the phenyl ring A causes the certain changes in frontier molecular orbital energies. As it is well known, electron acceptor group, such as nitro-group, adjacent to the aromatic ring, decreases the electron density on the ring through a resonance withdrawing effect. If an acceptor is in a *para* or *ortho* position, the certain stabilization is expected through the corresponding resonance structures. The change of the position of the nitro-group from *para* to *ortho* and*meta* destabilizes both HOMO and LUMO orbitals. A relatively small increase in HOMO orbital energies can be negligible. Destabilization of the LUMO orbitals by 0.1 eV when nitro substituent changes position from para to ortho or meta position, induces an increase of the energy gap. In all molecules with para and ortho-nitro substituents, the LUMO orbitals are mainly located on the aromatic rings A and hydrazone bridges. In the case of molecules containing the nitro group in metaposition, the LUMO orbitals are mainly located on the aromatic rings A with smaller participation of the hydrazone bridges. The HOMO orbitals are located on selenazole ings, phenyl rings B and hydrazone bridges (Figure 4.36, Figure 7.79 and Figure 7.80).

The presence of electron donating substituents (-Me and -OMe) on the phenyl rings B, destabilize HOMO orbitals and decrease the energy gap. Since -OMe group is stronger electron donating group in comparison to -Me group, the selenazole analogs with OMe substituted phenyl rings B have the smallest energy gap. In a series of -H, -Me and -OMe substituents, the calculated values of the absorption maxima show increasing (red shifted) trend.

For investigated nitro-substituted (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazonescalculated absorption spectra are very similar for DMSO and EtOH, but slightly different for THF. Calculated energy values indicate that THF destabilized the LUMO energy level, which resulted in higher values of energy gap and blue-shifted absorption maxima.

## Fukui functions

For the investigation of molecular reactivity Fukui function was applied. This model relies on Parr and Yang  $^{226,227}$  theory that sites in chemical species with the largest values of Fukui Function f(r) are those with higher reactivity. The Fukui 145

f(r)function can be defined as the change in electron density upon a change in the number of electrons (eq. 3.1), where  $\rho(r)$  is the total electron density of the molecule, N is the number of electrons and v(r) is the external potential exerted by the nucleus. The condensed Fukui function evaluated reactivity of compounds towards nucleophilic and electrophilic (eqs. 3.2 and 3.3, respectively).

The partial atomic charges for investigated compounds were calculated using the natural bond orbital analysis (NBO) at the ground state geometry in DMSO solvent. Calculated values of Fukui functions are listed in Table 4.27 and Table 4.28.

According to the values of  $f^+$  for **1**, **1-OMe** and **1-Me** (Table 4.27), the most reactive sites upon nucleophilic attack are C7 and N1 atoms which are directly bonded atoms to form imino group. On the other hand, N6 atom, as well as Se1 and C9 atoms which are directly bonded atoms within 1,3-selenazole ring are the most favorable for electrophilic attack. The same influence of substituents at phenyl ring was observed in the case of pyridine-based analogues <sup>19</sup>. In a series of -H, -CH<sub>3</sub> and -OCH<sub>3</sub> substituents ofphenyl ring, reactivity of C7 and N1 sites upon nucleophilic attack show increasing trend. In the same series, values of  $f^-$  decrease for Se1 and N6 sites, but increase for C9 atom.

**Table 4.27** Selected highest values of the condensed Fukui functions ( $f^+$  and  $f^-$ ) for unsubstituted (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones, considering DFT/DMSO/NBO charges according to eqs. (3.2) and (3.3).

according	10 <b>U</b>	· (3.2) und	(5.5).					
Comp.		N1	N2	C1	C7	C9	Se1	C6
1	$f^+$	0.119	-0.001	0.024	0.133	0.060	0.091	0.073
	$f^{-}$	0.001	0.111	-0.007	0.077	0.119	0.169	0.028
1-Me	$f^+$	0.122	0.000	0.025	0.134	0.057	0.089	0.074
	$f^{-}$	-0.001	0.101	-0.008	0.072	0.122	0.168	0.026
1-OMe	$f^+$	0.124	0.000	0.026	0.135	0.055	0.086	0.075
	$f^{-}$	-0.004	0.081	-0.008	0.062	0.126	0.161	0.021

The nucleophilic reactivity site order of *ortho*-nitro substituted (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones(Table 4.28) is  $O(NO_2) > N4 > C5 > N1$ , without any influence of Y substitutents, while electrophilic attack is favorable for Se1, C9, N2 and C7 sites.

according to	s eqs.	(5.2) and $($	(3.3).						
Compound		N1	N2	C5	C7	C9	Se1	N4	O(NO <sub>2</sub> )
2	$f^+$	0.075	0.015	0.081	0.010	0.019	0.034	0.093	0.168
2	$f^{-}$	-0.009	0.092	0.001	0.083	0.123	0.172	0.000	0.012
2 M.	$f^+$	0.075	0.015	0.081	0.010	0.019	0.034	0.093	0.168
2-1vie	$f^{-}$	-0.010	0.081	0.002	0.076	0.125	0.169	0.000	0.011
2.014	$f^+$	0.075	0.015	0.082	0.009	0.019	0.034	0.094	0.169
2-0Me	$f^{-}$	-0.010	0.060	0.002	0.062	0.125	0.157	0.000	0.009
		N1	N2	C1	C7	C9	Se1	N4	O(NO <sub>2</sub> )
4	$f^+$	0.081	0.017	0.085	0.017	0.020	0.036	0.080	0.153
-	$f^{-}$	-0.010	0.089	-0.017	0.082	0.122	0.171	0.000	0.016
4 Mo	$f^+$	0.080	0.017	0.085	0.016	0.020	0.035	0.080	0.154
4-1110	$f^{-}$	-0.010	0.078	-0.016	0.075	0.125	0.168	0.000	0.015
4.014	$f^+$	0.080	0.017	0.085	0.016	0.020	0.035	0.080	0.154
4-0Me	$f^{-}$	-0.011	0.058	-0.013	0.061	0.124	0.156	0.000	0.012
	_	N1	N2	C6	C7	C9	Se1	N4	O(NO <sub>2</sub> )
3	$f^+$	0.042	0.009	0.123	-0.001	0.010	0.017	0.100	0.183
5	$f^{-}$	-0.007	0.098	0.022	0.081	0.124	0.175	0.000	0.008
3-Mo	$f^+$	0.042	0.009	0.123	-0.001	0.010	0.017	0.101	0.182
J-141C	$f^{-}$	-0.008	0.087	0.020	0.075	0.127	0.172	0.000	0.007
2 OMa	$f^+$	0.042	0.009	0.123	-0.001	0.010	0.017	0.101	0.183
3-Owie	$f^{-}$	-0.009	0.066	0.016	0.062	0.127	0.160	0.000	0.006

**Table 4.28** Selected highest values of the condensed Fukui functions ( $f^+$  and  $f^-$ ) for the nitro substituted (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones, considering DFT/DMSO/NBO charges according to eqs. (3.2) and (3.3).

Substitution of hydrogen atom by Me and OMe group leads to increasing reactivity only for C9 atom. From the values of *para*-nitro substituted (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones reported in Table 4.28, the reactivity site order for the nucleophilic attack is  $O(NO_2)$ > C1 > N1, while N4 and changes in Y substituent do not have influence on reactivity of these centers. The order of reactive electrophilic sites is Se1 > C9 >N2. Reactivity of C7 and C9 sites increases due to substitution of Y = H with Y = Me, OMe.The results for *meta*-nitro substituted (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones (Table 4.28) show similar trend as for *para*-nitro substituted (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones. The most reactive sites upon nucleophilic attack are oxygen atoms and carbon atom in opposite position of nitro group. Electrophilic sites and influence of Y substituents on their reactivity are the same as in the case of *para*-nitro substituted (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones.

#### 4.2.7 Biological activity

#### 4.2.7.1 Antimicrobial activity

The antimicrobial activity of investigated compounds was examined against four Gram-negative, four Gram-positive bacteria and three fungi strains. In respect of antibacterial activity, the most potent were compounds from the set 1 (Table 4.29).

•	Inhibition zone diameter (mm) <sup>a</sup>							
Comp.	Р.	Р.	Е.	<i>S</i> .	S.	С.	В.	К.
	hauseri	aeruginosa	coli	eneterica	aureus	sporogenes	subtilis	rhizophila
1	12	12	10	10	12	12	10	10
1-Me	12	10	10	10	10	12	10	10
1-OMe	14	12	10	10	10	12	10	10
2	n.a <sup>b</sup>	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a
2-Me	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a
2-OMe	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a
3	10	10	9	9	10	10	9	9
3-Me	10	10	9	9	10	10	9	9
3-OMe	10	10	9	9	10	10	10	9
4	9	10	9	9	10	10	9	9
4-Me	9	9	9	9	10	9	9	9
4-OMe	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a
Amikacin	22	20	38	22	28	20	20	22

**Table 4.29** Antibacterial activity of investigated benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones tested by the disc-diffusion method.

<sup>a</sup> Including diameter of disc (8 mm); <sup>b</sup> n.a. – not active.

*Ortho* substitution of benzene ring (set 2) resulted in inactive compounds. In the case of *metas*ubstitution, almost equal antibacterial activity of all three derivatives from set 3 was observed. Similar level of activity was noticed for **4** and **4-Me**, while **4-OMe** was inactive against all bacterial strains investigated. In the case of antifungal activity, **1** was

the most active compound against *A. niger*, **3-OMe** and **4** were the most active against *C. albicans*, while **2** and **2-Me** showed selectivity to *C. albicans* (Table 4.30).

Compound		Inhibition zone diamete	er (mm)
Compound	A. niger	C. albicans	S. cerevisiae
1	16	12	10
1-OMe	12	10	10
1-Me	10	12	10
2	n.a. <sup>b</sup>	14	n.a.
2-OMe	9	12	12
2-Me	n.a.	12	n.a.
3	9	12	9
<b>3-OMe</b>	10	16	10
3-Me	9	14	9
4	10	16	9
4-OMe	10	12	9
<b>4-Me</b>	10	14	9
Nystatin	30	32	52

 Table 4.30 Antifungal activities of benzylydene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones

 Inhibition zone diameter (mm)<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Including diameter of disc (8 mm); <sup>b</sup> n.a. – not active

#### 4.2.7.2 In vitro antioxidant capacity (AOC)

To study the possible AOC of investigated compounds their radical scavenging activity, the oxygen radical absorption capacity and reduction ability was done in series of four *in vitro* tests. The DPPH' assay has been widely used in assessment of the free radical-scavenging activity of various synthetic compounds, because of its ease and convenience. When an antioxidant scavenges these stable free radical by hydrogen radical or electron donation the purple DPPH assay solutions decolorized. ORAC test assay measures the loss of fluorescein fluorescence due to its oxidation by a radical formed by the breakdown of AAPH over time <sup>178</sup>. Antioxidant suppresses this reaction by hydrogen atom transfer. Trolox, a water soluble vitamin E analog, serves as a positive control for quantification of antioxidant activity present by its normalization to equivalent Trolox units. The reducing power of a substance may serve as a significant indicator of its potential antioxidant activity. For measurement of the reductive ability, the Fe(III)→Fe(II) transformation with the formation of Perl's Prussian blue color complex<sup>176</sup>, as well as the Mo(VI) $\rightarrow$ Mo(V) transformation with the formation of a green phosphate/Mo(V) complex <sup>177</sup>, in the presence of examined compounds was 149

investigated. Consequently, increase in absorbance of the reaction mixture indicated the reducing power of the tested compounds.

In our previous study pyridine-based analogues (HLSe<sup>1</sup>, HLSe<sup>2</sup> and HLSe<sup>3</sup>) of compounds from set 1 were tested in DPPH test and the activities were compared with vitamin C <sup>19</sup>. Unsubstituted derivative, HLSe<sup>1</sup> appeared to be the most active, while addition of -OMe and -Me substituents resulted in less active species. The same trend was observed in the case of their benzylidene-based analogues from set 1 (Table 4.31), but with significant difference in terms of activity. Namely, all three derivatives showed significantly stronger free-radical scavenging activity than vitamin C, especially 1, which was one order of magnitude more active than the standard.

Compound .	$IC_{50} \left(\mu M\right)^a$	EC50 (µM	) <sup>b</sup>	TE
	DPPH'	TAOC	TRP	ORAC
1	$8.63 \pm 1.66$	$648 \pm 55$	$990\pm92$	$0.752\pm0.05$
1-OMe	$54.26 \pm 4.54$	$548 \pm 53$	$806 \pm 85$	$0.736\pm0.06$
1-Me	$45.06\pm6.53$	$603\pm61$	$966\pm88$	$0.650\pm0.07$
2	$21.9\pm5.6$	$357\pm41$	$390\pm48$	$0.824\pm0.07$
2-OMe	$40.5\pm3.8$	$433\pm39$	$560\pm53$	$0.816 \pm 0.07$
2-Me	$20.2\pm4.3$	$416\pm45$	$470\pm41$	$0.768 \pm 0.05$
3	$173.5\pm11.6$	$325\pm38$	$420\pm45$	$0.896 \pm 0.06$
3-OMe	$298.1 \pm 14.8$	$632 \pm 50$	$488\pm46$	$0.864 \pm 0.07$
3-Me	$151.6 \pm 10.1$	$571\pm45$	$480\pm50$	$0.826\pm0.05$
4	$44.8\pm2.3$	$433\pm43$	$376\pm51$	$0.925\pm0.08$
4-OMe	$79.2\pm3.9$	$645\pm53$	$398\pm44$	$0.920 \pm 0.07$
<b>4-Me</b>	$40.1\pm4.7$	$579\pm51$	$495\pm48$	$0.916 \pm 0.07$
vitamin C	$79.1 \pm 1.8$	$140 \pm 10$	$155 \pm 39$	$0.966 \pm 0.07$

**Table 4.31** Antioxidant capacity of investigated benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones and the standard.

<sup>a</sup> IC<sub>50</sub> - concentration providing 50% of radicals scavenging activity; <sup>b</sup>EC<sub>50</sub> - Concentration providing 0.500 of absorbance; The lower IC<sub>50</sub> or EC<sub>50</sub> value the higher the antioxidant capacity; Values are given as means  $\pm$  standard deviations (n = 3).

Addition of nitro group on the phenyl ring A reduced the activity of **2**, **4** and **4**-**OMe** to some extent, while this effect was the strongest for set 3 which is the only series of compounds with lower activity than vitamin C. In all three sets of compounds containing nitro group, the order of activities changed from H > Me > OMe (set 1) to Me > H > OMe (sets 2-4), but activity of non-substituted and Me-derivatives was almost the same in the case of *ortho* and *para* substitution. Compounds **2-OMe**, **2-Me** and **4-Me** are the only nitro-containing compounds which showed better activity than their non-substituted analogues.

To the best of our knowledge ORAC, TAOC, and TRP tests have been performed for the first time for evaluation of AOC of some 1,3-selenazole based compounds. While activities in both tests, evaluating reduction ability, were negligible (Table 4.31), activities of all investigated compounds were greater than vitamin C in ORAC test. Again, the series without nitro substituent showed the best activity, but **1**-**Me** appeared to be the most active compound. Methyl derivatives showed the best activities in all three series. In contrast to DPPH test, compounds having nitro group in *ortho* position showed the weakest activities. Based on results presented in Table 4.31 it was possible to establish simple structure-activity relationship. The order of activities of compounds from the sets is: set 1 > set 2 > set 3 > set 4, while in each set the order of activity is Me> OMe > H.

#### 4.2.7.3 Antiproliferative effects

While 1,3-thiazoles are well known by their anticancer activity <sup>9</sup>, their selenium analogues have been studied in much less extent. To the best of our knowledge, there are only two systematic anticancer activity studies of 1,3-selenazoles <sup>14,63</sup>. Herein we performed the *in vitro* antiproliferative activity of the benzylidene based 1,3-selenazoles on a panel of six human solid tumor cell lines: A549, HBL-100, HeLa, SW1573, T-47D and WiDr (Table 4.32).

In our study, **1** showed moderate activity ( $GI_{50} = 10-100\mu M$ ) when tested against A549, HBL-100, HeLa and SW1573 cell lines, and good activity ( $GI_{50} = 1-10\mu M$ ) against T-47D and WiDr cell lines. Substitution on B phenyl ring reduced activity in set **1** since **1-Me** and **1-OMe** were inactive ( $GI_{50}>100\mu M$ ) against five cell lines. In contrast, the introduction of a nitro group in the phenyl ring A significantly influenced

the antiproliferative activity of analogs 2-4 against A549, HBL-100, HeLa and SW1573 cells. The same trend was observed for nitro analogues of 1-Me and 1-OMe on all six cell lines with one exception. Namely, 4-Meremained inactive against all six cell lines similarly to 1-Me. The most potent compounds were 2 and 2-Me, which showed good activity against A549, HeLa, SW1573 and WiDr cells. However,  $GI_{50}$  values obtained on T-47D cell line were very close to 10  $\mu$ M. Also, a good activity was noticed for 3 on A549 cells, 3-OMeon SW1573 cells and 4 against T-47D and WiDr cells. Some of the activities exhibited by benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones were comparable or even better than values obtained for positive control 5-fluorouracil, the blockbuster anticancer drug.

			GI <sub>50</sub> (	μΜ)		
Compound	A549	HBL-100	HeLa	SW1573	T-47D	WiDr
1	$28.0\pm7.1$	91 ± 12	$41.0\pm9.5$	$24 \pm 8$	$6.4\pm0.9$	$4.8\pm0.8$
1-Me	n.d. <sup>a</sup>	n.d.	n.d.	47 ± 3	n.d.	n.d.
1-OMe	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	$42.0\pm7.7$	n.d.	n.d.
2	$4.9 \pm 1.5$	$21.0\pm8.6$	$6.0\pm0.7$	$5.3\pm0.6$	$12.0\pm1.5$	$5.0\pm0.9$
2-Me	$8.8\pm2.2$	$95.0\pm8.1$	$7.4 \pm 1.4$	$4.6\pm1.2$	$13.0\pm1.3$	$8.2\pm1.0$
2-OMe	$22.0\pm5.6$	n.d.	$49\pm12$	$46 \pm 10$	$36 \pm 8.6$	$22.0\pm2.3$
3	$6.1\pm0.8$	$28.0\pm0.8$	$24.0\pm7.1$	$16.0\pm4.8$	$52 \pm 13$	$40.0\pm0.6$
3-Me	$44\pm15$	$60.0\pm9.5$	$31.0\pm4.0$	$18.0\pm4.5$	$46.0\pm9.7$	$55 \pm 19$
<b>3-OMe</b>	$52\pm1$	n.d.	$26\pm2$	$8.4\pm0.2$	$67.0\ \pm 2.2$	n.d.
4	$16.0\pm3.5$	n.d.	$31.0\pm8.5$	$23.0\pm1.2$	$6.3\pm1.1$	$6.9\pm1.3$
4-Me	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
<b>4-OMe</b>	$16.0\pm5.3$	$47\pm13$	$33 \pm 10$	$26\pm17$	$66 \pm 17$	n.d.
5-fluorouracil	n.d.	$4.0\pm0.7$	$15.0\pm4.7$	$4.6 \pm 1.5$	$47\pm18$	$49.0\pm6.7$

**Table 4.32** Antiproliferative activities of benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones.

<sup>a</sup> n.d. - not determined (GI<sub>50</sub>> 100  $\mu$ M).

### 4.2.7.4 Monoamine oxidase A/B inhibition capacity

With the well-known representative's phenelzine or iproniazid, two hydrazine derivatives as non-selective MAO inhibitors are used as antidepressants in the class of

MAO inhibitors. Based on that, numerous thiazol-2-ylhydrazones were developed to obtain isoform-selective MAO inhibitors<sup>228</sup>, while related hydrazon-selenazoles have so far not been investigated in this context to best of our knowledge. Consequently, we investigated the MAO inhibition capacities of our structurally related (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones. In a prescreening, we found most promising inhibition capacities for compounds **1** and **4** with percental MAO inhibition >70% at a concentration of 1  $\mu$ M (Table 4.33), while substitution on B phenyl ring tend to results in reduced MAO inhibition potency for these two representatives (e.g. **1** vs. **1-Me** and **1-OMe**).

Further more detailed characterization revealed nanomolar activity. The most potent compound **4**, bearing a *meta* nitro-group, showed  $IC_{50}$  values of 73 nM and 258 nM for MAO B and MAO A, respectively. Surprisingly, compound **1** demonstrated high MAO B preference ( $IC_{50} = 252$  nM) with no inhibition of MAO A ( $IC_{50} > 10\ 000$  nM).

	% Inhibition	$n^{a}$ (at 1 $\mu$ M)
Compound	MAO A	MAO B
1	-6.8 ± 12.6	$89.4 \pm 1.5$
1-Me	$12.7\pm3.5$	$23.4 \pm 17.1$
1-OMe	32.4 ±3.3	$33.0\pm11.6$
2	$26.5\pm3.7$	$64.8\pm6.1$
2-Me	$17.2 \pm 1.9$	$22.1\pm8.7$
2-OMe	$28.0\pm4.0$	$35.9 \pm 11.2$
3	$32.7\pm6.2$	$57.5 \pm 11.4$
3-Me	$13.4\pm2.4$	$7.4\pm27.0$
<b>3-OMe</b>	$31.2\pm1.6$	$23.8\pm7.7$
4	$71.9\pm3.5$	$96.3\pm0.5$
4-Me	$22.1\pm2.1$	$48.1\pm2.9$
4-OMe	$49.4 \pm 1.3$	$42.5\pm19.0$

**Table 4.33** Monoamine oxidase (MAO) A/B inhibition capacities of benzylidene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones.

<sup>a</sup> Percental inhibition calculated from remained enzyme activity normalized to control (=100%). Values are given as means  $\pm$  standard deviations (n = 2, global fit).

## **5** CONCLUSIONS

In order to study the structural and solvatochromic properties, as well as the influence of the structure on the biological activity, six pyridine (1,3-thiazol/selenazol-2-yl) hydrazones (**HL**(**S**/**Se**)<sup>1-3</sup>) and their metal complexes ((**1-3**)-(**S**/**Se**)), as well as twelve benzyliden (1,3-selenazol-2-yl) hydrazones (**1–4**, (**1–4**)-**OMe**, (**1–4**)-**Me**) have been synthesized.

Within the first series of six pyridine derivatives and their complexes, results of XRD confirmed bonding of pyridine and imine nitrogen atoms, which is well known coordination behaviour of pyridyl-based hydrazone ligands. 1,3-Selenoazole ring contains two donor atoms capable of coordinating to Co(III) ions forming a chelate ring. XRD revealed a coordination of hard nitrogen atom instead of soft selenium atom to metal centre making (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones NNN tridentate chelators.

UV-Vis spectral characterization in DMF was supported by TD-DFT calculations. Values of  $\lambda_{max}$  for selenoazole derivatives are shifted bathochromically. Calculated values of  $E_{gap}$  for selenoazoles are smaller than for their sulphur analogues which correlate to bathochromic shifts of  $\lambda_{max}$  for selenoazole derivatives. Energetically the most favourable ligand absorptions originate from HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO transitions. The HOMOs orbitals of all ligands and complexes are delocalized mainly at the azomethine group and selen/thiazole ring. These orbitals are also found at the benzene ring of the ligands and on Co atoms in the complexes. LUMOs of the ligands are delocalized on pyridine ring, azomethine group and selen/thiazole ring. Methyl- and methoxy- substituents of benzene ring destabilize HOMO and LUMO orbitals of (1–3)-Se and (1–3)-S are delocalized mainly at the azomethine group, metal centre, and pyridine ring.

Electrochemical study of investigated sulphur and selenium ligands, supported by calculation of Fukui functions, indicate one-electron reduction process of C=N hydrazono group and one two-electron oxidation of C8chalcogen bond. Totally, four-electron oxidations observed in the case of all complexes indicate that C8-chalcogen bond is a possible oxidation site, while only two reversible reduction processes observed were attributed to  $Co(III) \rightarrow Co(II)$  and  $Co(II) \rightarrow Co(I)$  reductions. Further possible reduction sites (Co(I) and C=N) are supposed to be at even lower potentials, outside the potential window applied in our CV experiments.

The investigation of free-radical scavenging activity of all substances within the first series indicates that selenium based compounds are more potent than corresponding sulphur analogues. The strong influence of substituents on benzene ring on free-radical scavenging activity was observed. Non-substituted derivatives appeared to be the most active ones in the case of all ligands and their complexes. **HLSe<sup>2</sup>** and **HLS<sup>2</sup>** were the only investigated compounds less active than the reference compound vitamin C.

Antimicrobial activity assay indicates that  $HLSe^{(1-3)}$  were more active than corresponding sulphur compounds on all bacteria and fungi strains investigated. Preparation of Co(III) complexes enhanced ligands' antibacterial activity. Despite the fact that sulphur based complexes reached almost the same level of antibacterial activity as their selenium analogues, results of acute lethality assay indicate the significantly smaller cell toxicity of Co(III) (1,3-selenazol-2yl)hydrazone complexes, which makes them promising candidates for future antibacterial drug development.

Results of anticancer activity of **1-S**, investigated using the standard monolayer 2-D and 3-D spheroid model, reveal that **1-S** is more potent inducer of cell death than CDDP after 24 h incubation on MCF-7 2-D model, whereas both drugs achieved inhibition of 3-D MCF-7 spheres growth in almost the same extent after eight days of incubation. However, the difference in morphological changes of spheroids subjected to CDDP and **1** was the primary indication that those two compounds do not share the same mechanism of activity, supported by the results of combination treatment. The evidence that these two metal complexes in combination resulted with synergistic interaction might, at first glance, discards the assumption stated above. However, their discordant interaction with paclitaxel contributed to hypothesize on the diversity of CDDP and **1-S** mechanisms for their anticancer effect. Although this is the first study on anticancer property of **1-S**, current results strongly approve its further testing onto other cancer models, especially the underlying mechanism of synergistic interaction with CDDP.

A focused library of twelve benzylydene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones was synthesized to study the impact of substituents on both A and B phenyl rings on structure, solvatochromism, electrochemical properties, antimicrobial and antioxidant activity. The results showed that fragmentation of compounds is sensitive to the appropriate proton-donating/proton-accepting capabilities of the particular sites which proved that local electronic density distribution is an important factor determining fragmentation mode of studied compounds. The results of LSER analysis provide better understanding of attractive/repulsive solvent/solute interactions, and showed diversity of solvent and substituents on to  $v_{max}$  shift in UV-Vis spectra. Largest specific interactions through solvent hydrogen bond donating effect were achieved with *ortho*-and *para*-nitro substituted (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones exerting batochromic shifts for **2** and **2-Me**, while hypsochromic were found for others. UV-Vis spectral characterization in the twenty three solvents of different polarity was supported with TD-DFT calculations.

From the crystal structure of **4-Me** and **4-OMe** and optimized geometries of investigated compounds, it was evident that significant change in torsion angles was observed which determine extent of  $\pi,\pi$ -conjugation and ICT as well. The change of position of the nitro-group from *para* to *ortho* and*meta* destabilized both HOMO and LUMO orbitals, while small influence of solvent effect was observed. Energetically the most favorable absorptions originate from HOMO $\rightarrow$ LUMO transitions. The HOMO orbitals of (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)-hydrazones are delocalized mainly at the 1,3-selenazole moieties, phenyl rings B and hydrazone bridges, while LUMO orbitals are delocalized at phenyl rings A.

Antioxidant capacity study, including radical scavenging activity, the oxygen radical absorption capacity and reduction ability, indicated that mechanism of action of investigated compounds under applied experimental conditions is not based on their reduction power. Namely, compounds possessed excellent antioxidant properties in DPPH and ORAC assays, since most of the compounds showed better activities than Vitamin C. In the case of DPPH assay addition of nitro substituent reduced the activity of compounds from sets 2–4 (with few exceptions) and changed the order of Y substituents impact in comparison to activity of compounds from set 1. In the case of ORAC assay it was possible to establish simple structure- activity relationship. Namely,

methyl and metoxy substituents potentiate, while addition of nitro group reduces the activity.

Study of 12 benzilydenebased (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones compounds in screening on MAO B inhibition revealed that **1** and **4** possess IC50 values in nanomolar concentration range. Docking studies showed that KCCN1 is additional target for **1** and **4**, which indicates their possible multi targeting properties for the treatment of neurodegenerative disorders. Antiproliferative activity screening indicates that **2** and **2**-**Me** are the most potent anticancer agents among investigated compounds with better activity than that of the positive control 5-fluorouracil. Docking studies point to 5-NT and EIF4E as possible cancer-related targets.

# **6 REFERENCES**

- 1 A. Imramovsky, J. Kozic, M. Pesko, J. Stolarikova, J. Vinsova, K. Kralova and J. Jampilek, *Sci. World J.*, 2014, **2014**, 1–11.
- 2 P. Karegoudar, M. S. Karthikeyan, D. J. Prasad, M. Mahalinga, B. S. Holla and N. S. Kumari, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2008, **43**, 261–267.
- 3 S. K. Bharti, G. Nath, R. Tilak and S. K. Singh, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **45**, 651–660.
- 4 H. Mohammad, A. S. Mayhoub, M. Cushman and M. N. Seleem, *J. Antibiot.* (*Tokyo*)., 2015, **68**, 259–266.
- 5 N. P. de Sá, C. M. de Lima, C. I. Lino, P. J. S. Barbeira, L. de M. Baltazar, D. A. Santos, R. B. de Oliveira, E. Mylonakis, B. B. Fuchs and S. Johann, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2017, **61**.
- 6 F. Chimenti, B. Bizzarri, E. Maccioni, D. Secci, A. Bolasco, R. Fioravanti, P. Chimenti, A. Granese, S. Carradori, D. Rivanera, D. Lilli, A. Zicari and S. Distinto, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2007, **17**, 4635–4640.
- 7 A. M. Youssef, A. Malki, M. H. Badr, R. Y. Elbayaa and A. S. Sultan, *Med. Chem.*, 2012, **8**, 151–62.
- 8 C. M. Weekley and H. H. Harris, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 8870.
- 9 A. Ayati, S. Emami, A. Asadipour, A. Shafiee and A. Foroumadi, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **97**, 699–718.
- 10 S. . Ueda, H. . b Terauchi, K. . Suzuki, A. . Yano, M. . Matsumoto, T. . Kubo, H. . Minato, Y. . Arai, J.-I. . Tsuji and N. . Watanabe, *Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2005, **15**, 1361–1366.
- 11 H. van der Goot, J. C. Eriks, R. Leurs and H. Timmerman, *Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett.*, 1994, **4**, 1913–1916.
- V. Zaharia, A. Ignat, B. Ngameni, V. Kuete, M. L. Moungang, C. N. Fokunang, M. Vasilescu, N. Palibroda, C. Cristea, L. Silaghi-Dumitrescu and B. T. Ngadjui, *Med. Chem. Res.*, 2013, 22, 5670–5679.
- 13 M. Hong, Y. Yang, C. Li, L. Xu, D. Li and C. Li, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 102885– 102894.
- H. C. Zhao, Y. P. Shi, Y. M. Liu, C. W. Li, L. N. Xuan, P. Wang, K. Zhang and B. Q. Chen, *Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, 23, 6577–6579.
- 15 A. T. Mbaveng, A. G. Ignat, B. Ngameni, V. Zaharia, B. T. Ngadjui and V. Kuete, *BMC Pharmacol. Toxicol.*, 2016, **17**, 3.
- K. Z. Łaczkowski, K. Motylewska, A. Baranowska-Łaczkowski, A. Biernasiuk,
   K. Misiura, A. Malm and B. Fern\_andez, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2016, 1108, 427–437.
- 17 A. T. Mbaveng, A. G. Ignat, B. Ngameni, V. Zaharia, B. T. Ngadjui and V. Kuete, *BMC Pharmacol. Toxicol.*, 2016, **17**, 3.
- 18 A. Z. Al-Rubaie, S. A. S. Al-Jadaan, S. K. Muslim, E. A. Saeed, E. T. Ali, A. K. J. Al-Hasani, H. N. K. Al-Salman and S. A. M. Al-Fadal, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2014, **774**, 43–47.
- 19 N. R. Filipović, H. Elshaflu, S. Grubišić, L. S. Jovanović, M. Rodić, I. Novaković, A. Malešević, I. S. Djordjević, H. Li, N. Šojić, A. Marinković and T. R. Todorović, *Dalt. Trans.*, 2017, 46, 2910–2924.
- 20 A. Šmelcerović, K. Tomović, Ž. Šmelcerović, Ž. Petronijević, G. Kocić, T. Tomašič, Ž. Jakopin and M. Anderluh, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **135**, 491–516.
- 21 M. J. Kamlet, J. L. M. Abbound and R. W. Taft, in *Progress in Physical Organic Chemistry*, ed. R. W. Taft, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1981, pp. 485–630.

- 22 J. Catalán, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2009, 113, 5951–5960.
- 23 T. Eicher and S. Hauptmann, *The Chemistry of Heterocycles: Structure, Reactions, Synthesis, and Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, 2003.
- 24 V. Savić, *Hemija heterocikličnih jedinjenja*, Farmaceutski fakultet, Beograd, 2011.
- 25 in *Heterocyclic Chemistry at a Glance*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK, 2012, pp. 107–121.
- 26 J. B. Julio Alvarez-Builla, Juan Jose Vaquero, *Modern Heterocyclic Chemistry*, 2011.
- 27 R. Kluger and K. Tittmann, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 1797–1833.
- A. Hantzsch and J. H. Weber, *Berichte der Dtsch. Chem. Gesellschaft*, 1887, **20**, 3118–3132.
- 29 M. Ochiai, Y. Nishi, S. Hashimoto, Y. Tsuchimoto and D.-W. Chen, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 7887–7888.
- 30 A. G. Belyuga, V. S. Brovarets and B. S. Drach, *Russ. J. Gen. Chem.*, 2004, **74**, 1418–1422.
- 31 M. Narender, M. S. Reddy, V. P. Kumar, V. P. Reddy, Y. V. D. Nageswar and K. R. Rao, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 1849–1851.
- 32 E. Bulka, 1963, pp. 343–364.
- 33 Y. Chen, F. Tian, M. Song and S. Lu, *Heteroat. Chem.*, 2008, **19**, 211–214.
- 34 H. Below, W.-D. Pfeiffer, K. Geisler, M. Lalk and P. Langer, *European J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **2005**, 3637–3639.
- 35 C. Madhu, N. R. Panguluri, N. Narendra, V. Panduranga and V. V. Sureshbabu, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, **55**, 6831–6835.
- 36 W. Davies, J. A. Maclaren and L. R. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., 1950, 3491.
- 37 E. Bulka, K.-D. Ahlers and E. Tuček, *Chem. Ber.*, 1967, **100**, 1459–1464.
- 38 E. Klingsberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1963, 85, 2685–2685.
- 39 J. Gasteiger and C. Herzig, *Tetrahedron*, 1981, **37**, 2607–2611.
- 40 W. D. Pfeiffer and E. Bulka, *Chemiker-Ztg*, 1991, **115**, 361–363.
- 41 T. Takahashi and J. Shibasaki, YAKUGAKU ZASSHI, 1949, 69, 496–497.
- 42 J. Haginiwa, YAKUGAKU ZASSHI, 1948, 68, 195–197.
- 43 S. Rollas and Ş. G. Küçükgüzel, *Molecules*, 2007, **12**, 1910–1939.
- 44 A. Kajal, S. Bala, N. Sharma, S. Kamboj and V. Saini, *Int. J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **2014**, 761030.
- 45 G. Verma, A. Marella, M. Shaquiquzzaman, M. Akhtar, M. R. Ali and M. M. Alam, *J. Pharm. Bioallied Sci.*, 2014, **6**, 69–80.
- 46 K. Padmini, P. J. Preethi, M. Divya, P. Rohini, M. Lohita, K. Swetha and P. Kaladar, *Int. J. Pharma Res. Rev.*, 2013, **2**, 43–58.
- 47 M. Asif and A. Husain, J. Appl. Chem., 2013, 2013, 1–7.
- 48 V. J. Negi, Int. J. Pharm. Chem., 2013, 2, 12–13.
- 49 A. Arshad, H. Osman, M. C. Bagley, C. K. Lam, S. Mohamad and A. S. M. Zahariluddin, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **46**, 3788–3794.
- 50 A. M. Vijesh, A. M. Isloor, V. Prabhu, S. Ahmad and S. Malladi, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **45**, 5460–5464.
- 51 F. Chimenti, B. Bizzarri, A. Bolasco, D. Secci, P. Chimenti, S. Carradori, A. Granese, D. Rivanera, N. Frishberg, C. Bordón and L. Jones-Brando, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2009, **52**, 4574–4577.
- 52 M. V. de O. Cardoso, L. R. P. de Siqueira, E. B. da Silva, L. B. Costa, M. Z.
Hernandes, M. M. Rabello, R. S. Ferreira, L. F. da Cruz, D. R. Magalhães Moreira, V. R. A. Pereira, M. C. A. B. de Castro, P. V. Bernhardt and A. C. L. Leite, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 48–59.

- 53 M.-H. Shih, Y.-S. Su and C.-L. Wu, *Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo).*, 2007, **55**, 1126–35.
- 54 F. Chimenti, B. Bizzarri, E. Maccioni, D. Secci, A. Bolasco, P. Chimenti, R. Fioravanti, A. Granese, S. Carradori, F. Tosi, P. Ballario, S. Vernarecci and P. Filetici, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2009, **52**, 530–536.
- 55 F. Chimenti, E. Maccioni, D. Secci, A. Bolasco, P. Chimenti, A. Granese, O. Befani, P. Turini, S. Alcaro, F. Ortuso, M. C. Cardia and S. Distinto, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2007, **50**, 707–712.
- 56 P. Chimenti, A. Petzer, S. Carradori, M. D'Ascenzio, R. Silvestri, S. Alcaro, F. Ortuso, J. P. Petzer and D. Secci, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2013, **66**, 221–227.
- K. J. Wilson, C. R. Illig, N. Subasinghe, J. B. Hoffman, M. J. Rudolph, R. Soll, C. J. Molloy, R. Bone, D. Green, T. Randall, M. Zhang, F. A. Lewandowski, Z. Zhou, C. Sharp, D. Maguire, B. Grasberger, R. L. DesJarlais and J. Spurlino, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2001, 11, 915–8.
- 58 L. Yurttaş, Y. Özkay, H. Karaca Gençer and U. Acar, J. Chem., 2015, 2015, 1–7.
- 59 D. González Cabrera, F. Douelle, T.-S. Feng, A. T. Nchinda, Y. Younis, K. L. White, Q. Wu, E. Ryan, J. N. Burrows, D. Waterson, M. J. Witty, S. Wittlin, S. A. Charman and K. Chibale, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **54**, 7713–7719.
- F. Chimenti, D. Secci, A. Bolasco, P. Chimenti, A. Granese, S. Carradori, M. Yáñez, F. Orallo, M. L. Sanna, B. Gallinella and R. Cirilli, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, 53, 6516–6520.
- 61 D. Secci, A. Bolasco, S. Carradori, M. D'Ascenzio, R. Nescatelli and M. Yáñez, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **58**, 405–417.
- 62 D.-N. Zhang, J.-T. Li, Y.-L. Song, H.-M. Liu and H.-Y. Li, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, 2012, **19**, 475–478.
- V. Zaharia, A. Ignat, B. Ngameni, V. Kuete, M. L. Moungang, C. N. Fokunang,
   M. Vasilescu, N. Palibroda, C. Cristea, L. Silaghi-Dumitrescu and B. T. Ngadjui,
   *Med. Chem. Res.*, 2013, 22, 5670–5679.
- 64 C. Reichardt, *Solvents and Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 3th ed., 2003.
- 65 E. S. Amis and J. F. Hinton, *Solvent Effects on Chemical Phenomena, vol I*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- 66 E. M. Kosower, *An Introduction to Physical Organic Chemistry*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- 67 J. Kakabadse, *Solvent Problems in Industry*, Elsevier Applied Science Publishers, London, 1984.
- 68 Y. Marcus, Ion Solvation, Wiley, Chichester, 1985.
- 69 M. H. Abraham, Pure Appl. Chem., 1985, 57, 1055–1064.
- 70 M. H. G. Abraham and R. W. P. L.; Abboud, J.-L. M.; Doherty, R. M.; Taft, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1988, 66, 2673–2686.
- 71 K. A. Connors, *Chemical Kinetics-The Study of Reaction Rates in Solution*, VCH Publishers, Weinheim, 1990.
- S. Sagadevan and P. Murugasen, J. Cryst. Process Technol., 2014, 04, 99–110.
- S.Dähne and F.Moldenhauer, in *Progress in Physical Organic Chemistry*, ed. R.
   W. Taft, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1985, pp. 1–130.

- 74 J. Fabian and H. Hartman, J. Mol. Struct., 1975, 27, 67–78.
- 75 M. J. Kamlet, J. L. M. Abboud, M. H. Abraham and R. W. Taft, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1983, **48**, 2877–2887.
- 76 M. J. Kamlet, J. L. Abboud and R. W. Taft, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 6027– 6038.
- 77 R. W. Taft and M. J. Kamlet, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1976, 98, 2886–2894.
- 78 F. Riedel and S. Spange, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2012, 25, 1261–1268.
- 79 J. Catalán and H. Hopf, European J. Org. Chem., 2004, 4694–4702.
- 80 J. Catalán, J. Phys. Chem., 2009, **113**, 5951–5960.
- 81 P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev.*, 1964, **136**, B864–B871.
- 82 W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.*, 1965, **140**, A1133–A1138.
- 83 P. Hao, J. Sun, B. Xiao, A. Ruzsinszky, G. I. Csonka, J. Tao, S. Glindmeyer and J. P. Perdew, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2013, 9, 355–363.
- 84 I. B. Cozar, A. Pîrnău, L. Szabo, N. Vedeanu, C. Nastasă and O. Cozar, *Rom. J. Phys.*, 2016, **61**, 1265–1275.
- 85 F. T. Burling and B. M. Goldstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 2313–2320.
- 86 K. Z. Łączkowski, J. Anusiak, M. Świtalska, K. Dzitko, J. Cytarska, A. Baranowska-Łączkowska, T. Plech, A. Paneth, J. Wietrzyk and J. Białczyk, *Med. Chem. Res.*, 2018, 27, 1131–1148.
- M. Ghorbanloo, R. Bikas and G. Małecki, *Inorganica Chim. Acta*, 2016, 445, 8–
   16.
- 88 M. Alam, M. J. Alam, S. A. A. Nami, D. Lee, M. Azam and S. Ahmad, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2016, **1108**, 411–426.
- 89 L. Karanović and D. Poleti, *Rendgenska strukturna analiza*, Zavod za udžbenike i nastavna sredstva, 2003.
- 90 D. Mendoza-Espinosa, G. Ung, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 10614–10616.
- 91 S. Yousuf, H. Siddiqui, R. Farooq and M. I. Choudhary, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. E Struct. Reports Online*, 2012, **68**.
- 92 Manju, P. Joshi and D. Kumar, *Russ. J. Coord. Chem.*, 2014, **40**, 445–459.
- A. S. Thakar, H. B. Friedrich, K. T. Joshi and G. E. Maguire, *South African J. Chem.*, 2015, **68**, 39–44.
- 94 Y. Gu, X. Fei, Y. Lan, B. I. N. Shi, B. Zhang and G. Jia, *Structure*, 2010, 7, 305–312.
- 95 A. Despić, *Elektrohemijske tehnike i tehnologije*, Srpska akademija nauke i umetnosti, Beograd, 2005.
- 96 G. K. R. Das\*, A. Saxena, S. Saxena, J. Adv. Electrochem., 2015, 1, 19–24.
- 97 David T Harvey, *Modern Analytical Chemistry*, Boston, MA, 1999.
- 98 D. . Skoog, D. M. West and F. J. Holler, *Fundamentals of Analytical Chemistry*, Saunders College Publishing, Philadelphia, 1996.
- 99 R. Holze, Berichte der Bunsengesellschaft für Phys. Chemie, 1994, **98**, 1350–1350.
- 100 J. Wang, *Analytical Electrochemistry*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2006.
- 101 A. J. Bard and L. R. Faulkner, *Electrochemical Methods, Fundamentals and Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, 2001.
- 102 R. B. Singh, P. Jain and R. P. Singh, *Talanta*, 1982, 29, 77–84.
- 103 H. Lund, A. Sundholm, A. Magnéli, B. Högberg, P. Kneip and H. Palmstierna,

Acta Chem. Scand., 1959, 13, 249–267.

- 104 E. Biçer and C. Arat, Croat. Chem. Acta, 2009, 82, 583–593.
- 105 S. Çakir, E. Biçer, M. Odabasoglu and Ç. Albayrak, J. Braz. Chem. Soc., 2005, 16, 711–717.
- 106 G. Visbal, G. San-Blas, A. Maldonado, Á. Álvarez-Aular, M. V. Capparelli and J. Murgich, *Steroids*, 2011, 76, 1069–1081.
- 107 H. Shirinzadeh, A. D. Yılmaz, M. Gümüştaş, S. Süzen, S. Özden and A. S. Özkan, Comb. Chem. High Throughput Screen., 2010, 13, 619–627.
- 108 R. J. Abdel-Jalil, E. Q. El Momani, M. Hamad, W. Voelter, M. S. Mubarak, B. H. Smith and D. G. Peters, *Monatshefte für Chemie - Chem. Mon.*, 2010, 141, 251–258.
- 109 H. M. Fahmy and M. H. Elnagdi, *Electrochim. Acta*, 1978, 23, 255–260.
- 110 A. D. Özel, Zehra Durmus, Ibrahim Yılmaz, A. Çukurovalı and E. Kılıç1, *Acta Chim. Slov.*, 2009, **56**, 797–806.
- 111 V. N. D. L. V Kononenko, V. D. Bezuglyi, Zh. Obs. Khim., 1968, 38, 2153– 2159.
- 112 X.-H. Liu, J.-Q. Weng and C.-X. Tan, J. Chem., 2013, 2013, 1–5.
- 113 X.-H. Liu, C.-X. Tan, J.-Q. Weng and H.-J. Liu, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. E Struct. Reports Online, 2012, 68, 0493–0493.
- 114 N.-B. Sun, J.-Q. Fu, J.-Q. Weng, J.-Z. Jin, C.-X. Tan and X.-H. Liu, *Molecules*, 2013, **18**, 12725–12739.
- 115 N.-B. Sun, Y.-X. Shi, X.-H. Liu, Y. Ma, C.-X. Tan, J.-Q. Weng, J.-Z. Jin and B.-J. Li, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2013, 14, 21741–21756.
- 116 M. Alam, G. Verma, M. Shaquiquzzaman, A. Marella, M. Akhtar and M. Ali, *J. Pharm. Bioallied Sci.*, 2014, **6**, 69.
- 117 A. Borges, C. Ferreira, M. J. Saavedra and M. Simões, *Microb. Drug Resist.*, 2013, **19**, 256–265.
- 118 R. C. Finch, D. Greenwood, S. R. Norrby, R. J. Whitley and J. R. Lentino, *Clin. Infect. Dis.*, 2004, **38**, 1197–1197.
- 119 F. Chimenti, D. Secci, A. Bolasco, P. Chimenti, A. Granese, S. Carradori, M. D'Ascenzio, M. Yáñez and F. Orallo, *Medchemcomm*, 2010, 1, 61.
- 120 F. Chimenti, B. Bizzarri, A. Bolasco, D. Secci, P. Chimenti, A. Granese, S. Carradori, M. D'Ascenzio, D. Lilli and D. Rivanera, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, 46, 378–382.
- K. Z. Łączkowski, K. Motylewska, A. Baranowska-Łączkowska, A. Biernasiuk,
   K. Misiura, A. Malm and B. Fernández, J. Mol. Struct., 2016, 1108, 427–437.
- 122 H. Sies, *Exp. Physiol.*, 1997, **82**, 291–5.
- 123 M. Valko, M. Izakovic, M. Mazur, C. J. Rhodes and J. Telser, *Mol. Cell. Biochem.*, 2004, **266**, 37–56.
- 124 M. Carocho and I. C. F. R. Ferreira, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 2013, **51**, 15–25.
- 125 N. Hermans, P. Cos, L. Maes, T. De Bruyne, D. Vanden Berghe, A. J. Vlietinck and L. Pieters, *Curr. Med. Chem.*, 2007, **14**, 417–430.
- 126 S. A. Soto-Rodriguez, N. Simões, D. A. Jones, A. Roque and B. Gomez-Gil, J. *Microbiol. Methods*, 2003, **52**, 101–14.
- 127 R. R. Ramoutar and J. L. Brumaghim, Cell Biochem. Biophys., 2010, 58, 1–23.
- 128 M.-H. Shih, Y.-S. Su and C.-L. Wu, *Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo).*, 2007, **55**, 1126–1135.
- 129 D. Hanahan and R. A. Weinberg, *Cell*, 2000, **100**, 57–70.

- 130 R. Edmondson, J. J. Broglie, A. F. Adcock and L. Yang, Assay Drug Dev. Technol., 2014, **12**, 207–218.
- 131 S.-W. Choi, Y.-C. Yeh, Y. Zhang, H.-W. Sung and Y. Xia, *Small*, 2010, 6, 1492– 1498.
- 132 E. Figallo, C. Cannizzaro, S. Gerecht, J. A. Burdick, R. Langer, N. Elvassore and G. Vunjak-Novakovic, *Lab Chip*, 2007, 7, 710.
- M. MONDRINOS, R. DEMBZYNSKI, L. LU, V. BYRAPOGU, D. WOOTTON, P. LELKES and J. ZHOU, *Biomaterials*, 2006, 27, 4399–4408.
- 134 Y. Wang, E. Bella, C. S. D. Lee, C. Migliaresi, L. Pelcastre, Z. Schwartz, B. D. Boyan and A. Motta, *Biomaterials*, 2010, **31**, 4672–4681.
- 135 D. T. Scadden, *Nature*, 2006, **441**, 1075–1079.
- 136 J. A. Burdick and G. Vunjak-Novakovic, *Tissue Eng. Part A*, 2009, **15**, 205–219.
- 137 G. H. Underhill and S. N. Bhatia, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2007, 11, 357–366.
- 138 L. Gu and D. J. Mooney, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2016, **16**, 56–66.
- 139 D. Huh, G. A. Hamilton and D. E. Ingber, *Trends Cell Biol.*, 2011, **21**, 745–754.
- 140 H.-C. Zhao, Y.-P. Shi, Y.-M. Liu, C.-W. Li, L.-N. Xuan, P. Wang, K. Zhang and B.-Q. Chen, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, 23, 6577–6579.
- 141 T. R. Todorović, A. Bacchi, D. M. Sladić, N. M. Todorović, T. T. Božić, D. D. Radanović, N. R. Filipović, G. Pelizzi and K. K. Anđelković, *Inorganica Chim. Acta*, 2009, 362, 3813–3820.
- 142 W. Yi, C. Dubois, S. Yahiaoui, R. Haudecoeur, C. Belle, H. Song, R. Hardré, M. Réglier and A. Boumendjel, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **46**, 4330–4335.
- 143 H. Elshaflu, S. Bjelogrlić, C. D. Muller, T. R. Todorović, M. Rodić, A. Marinković and N. R. Filipović, J. Coord. Chem., 2016, 69, 3354–3366.
- 144 2014.
- 145 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A Found. Adv., 2015, 71, 3–8.
- 146 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C Struct. Chem., 2015, 71, 3–8.
- 147 C. B. Hübschle, G. M. Sheldrick and B. Dittrich, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2011, **44**, 1281–1284.
- 148 R. Herbst-Irmer and G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B Struct. Sci.*, 1998, **54**, 443–449.
- 149 A. Altomare, G. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo and A. Guagliardi, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1993, **26**, 343–350.
- 150 A. L. Spek, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr., 2009, 65, 148–155.
- 151 H. D. Flack and M. Wörle, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2013, 46, 248–251.
- 152 H. D. Flack and G. Bernardinelli, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A Found. Crystallogr.*, 1999, **55**, 908–915.
- 153 C. R. Groom and F. H. Allen, Angew. Chemie Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 662–671.
- M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C.

Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, 2009.

- 155 A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648–5652.
- 156 C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1988, **37**, 785–789.
- 157 J. P. Perdew, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1986, **33**, 8822–8824.
- 158 A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A*, 1988, **38**, 3098–3100.
- 159 S. H. Vosko, L. Wilk and M. Nusair, Can. J. Phys., 1980, 58, 1200–1211.
- 160 V. A. Rassolov, J. A. Pople, M. A. Ratner and T. L. Windus, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1998, **109**, 1223.
- 161 V. A. Rassolov, M. A. Ratner, J. A. Pople, P. C. Redfern and L. A. Curtiss, J. Comput. Chem., 2001, 22, 976–984.
- 162 P. C. Hariharan and J. A. Pople, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1973, **28**, 213–222.
- 163 M. M. Francl, J. Chem. Phys., 1982, 77, 3654.
- 164 G. Scalmani and M. J. Frisch, J. Chem. Phys., 2010, 132, 114110.
- 165 N. T. Abdel Ghani and A. M. Mansour, J. Mol. Struct., 2011, 991, 108–126.
- 166 L. Miao, Y. Yao, F. Yang, Z. Wang, W. Li and J. Hu, *J. Mol. Struct. THEOCHEM*, 2008, **865**, 79–87.
- 167 E. S. Böes, P. R. Livotto and H. Stassen, *Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **331**, 142–158.
- 168 Y. Li and J. N. S. Evans, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 7756–7759.
- 169 R. G. Parr and W. Yang, *Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1989.
- 170 E. D. Glendening, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter and F. Weinhold, .
- 171 K. Hofmann, K. Schreiter, A. Seifert, T. Rüffer, H. Lang and S. Spange, *New J. Chem.*, 2008, **32**, 2180.
- 172 M. J. Kamlet, J. L. M. Abboud, M. H. Abraham and R. W. Taft, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1983, **48**, 2877–2887.
- 173 Y. Marcus, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1993, 22, 409.
- 174 C. Perez, M. Pauli and P. Bazerque, Acta Biol. Med. Exp., 1990, 15, 113–115.
- 175 R. L. Prior, X. Wu and K. Schaich, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2005, 53, 4290–4302.
- 176 M. Oyaizu, Japanese J. Nutr. Diet., 1986, 44, 307–315.
- 177 P. Prieto, M. Pineda and M. Aguilar, Anal. Biochem., 1999, 269, 337–341.
- 178 B. Ou, M. Hampsch-Woodill and R. L. Prior, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2001, **49**, 4619–4626.
- 179 P. Skehan, R. Storeng, D. Scudiero, A. Monks, J. McMahon, D. Vistica, J. T. Warren, H. Bokesch, S. Kenney and M. R. Boyd, *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, 1990, 82, 1107–12.
- 180 P. O. Miranda, J. M. Padrón, J. I. Padrón, J. Villar and V. S. Martín, *ChemMedChem*, 2006, **1**, 323–329.
- 181 L. Meiring, J. P. Petzer and A. Petzer, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, 23, 5498– 5502.
- 182 C. R. Kowol, W. Miklos, S. Pfaff, S. Hager, S. Kallus, K. Pelivan, M. Kubanik, É. A. Enyedy, W. Berger, P. Heffeter and B. K. Keppler, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2016, 59, 6739–6752.
- 183 N. R. Filipović, S. Bjelogrlić, A. Marinković, T. Ž. Verbić, I. N. Cvijetić, M. Senćanski, M. Rodić, M. Vujčić, D. Sladić, Z. Striković, T. R. Todorović and C. D. Muller, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 95191–95211.
- 184 T. Pilati and A. Forni, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1998, **31**, 503–504.

- 185 T. Pilati and A. Forni, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2000, 33, 417–417.
- 186 H. Zabrodsky, S. Peleg and D. Avnir, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 8278–8289.
- 187 M. Alagesan, N. S. P. Bhuvanesh and N. Dharmaraj, *Dalt. Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 7210.
- 188 V. M. Leovac, V. I. Češljević, L. S. Vojinović-Ješić, V. Divjaković, L. S. Jovanović, K. M. Szécsényi and M. V. Rodić, *Polyhedron*, 2009, 28, 3570–3576.
- 189 M. V. Rodić, V. M. Leovac, L. S. Jovanović, V. Spasojević, M. D. Joksović, T. Stanojković, I. Z. Matić, L. S. Vojinović-Ješić and V. Marković, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **115**, 75–81.
- 190 M.-H. Shih, Y.-S. Su and C.-L. Wu, *Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo).*, 2007, **55**, 1126–1135.
- 191 C. Kleeberg and M. Bröring, *Polyhedron*, 2010, **29**, 507–513.
- 192 E. Ruiz, X. Tang, Y.-J. Li and M. M. Muir, J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res., 1993, 23, 791–794.
- 193 B.-B. Xu, P. Shi, Q.-Y. Guan, X. Shi and G.-L. Zhao, J. Coord. Chem., 2013, 66, 2605–2614.
- 194 R. S. Nicholson, Anal. Chem., 1965, 37, 1351–1355.
- 195 L. Bjelica and L. Jovanović, J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem., 1986, **213**, 85–110.
- 196 C. R. Kowol, E. Reisner, I. Chiorescu, V. B. Arion, M. Galanski, D. V. Deubel and B. K. Keppler, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 11032–11047.
- 197 D. Tomco, F. R. Xavier, M. M. Allard and C. N. Verani, *Inorganica Chim. Acta*, 2012, **393**, 269–275.
- 198 M. van der Meer, Y. Rechkemmer, U. Frank, F. D. Breitgoff, S. Hohloch, C.-Y. Su, P. Neugebauer, R. Marx, M. Dörfel, J. van Slageren and B. Sarkar, *Chem. A Eur. J.*, 2016, 22, 13884–13893.
- 199 I. Yilmaz, H. Temel and H. Alp, *Polyhedron*, 2008, 27, 125–132.
- 200 T. Murai, K. Yamaguchi, F. Hori and T. Maruyama, J. Org. Chem., 2014, **79**, 4930–4939.
- 201 N. Günay, E. Tarcan, D. Avcı, H. Cömert, K. Esmer and Y. Atalay, *Concepts Magn. Reson. Part A*, 2009, 34A, 297–304.
- 202 H. Tavakol, Struct. Chem., 2011, 22, 1165–1177.
- 203 H. Hirao, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 9308–9313.
- 204 S. E. H. Etaiw, D. M. Abd El-Aziz, E. H. Abd El-Zaher and E. A. Ali, Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc., 2011, **79**, 1331–1337.
- 205 H. N. Ly, D. J. R. Brook and O. Oliverio, *Inorganica Chim. Acta*, 2011, **378**, 115–120.
- 206 S. Mukherjee, S. Chowdhury, A. P. Chattopadhyay and H. Stoeckli-Evans, *Polyhedron*, 2010, **29**, 1182–1188.
- 207 D. Sek, M. Siwy, K. Bijak, M. Grucela-Zajac, G. Malecki, K. Smolarek, L. Bujak, S. Mackowski and E. Schab-Balcerzak, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2013, 117, 10320–10332.
- 208 L. A. Berben and J. R. Long, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, 44, 8459–8468.
- 209 P. F. Rapheal, E. Manoj, M. R. P. Kurup and E. Suresh, *Polyhedron*, 2007, **26**, 607–616.
- 210 G. Zhang and C. B. Musgrave, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2007, 111, 1554–1561.
- 211 E. Mukwevho, Z. Ferreira and A. Ayeleso, *Molecules*, 2014, **19**, 19376–19389.
- 212 M. Iwaoka and K. Arai, Curr. Chem. Biol., 2013, 7, 2–24.

- 213 R. L. Prior, X. Wu and K. Schaich, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2005, 53, 4290–4302.
- 214 L. Kelland, Nat. Rev. Cancer, 2007, 7, 573–584.
- 215 P. Y. Muller and M. N. Milton, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.*, 2012, **11**, 751–761.
- 216 D. R. Grimes, C. Kelly, K. Bloch and M. Partridge, J. R. Soc. Interface, 2014, **11**, 20131124–20131124.
- 217 R.-Z. Lin and H.-Y. Chang, *Biotechnol. J.*, 2008, **3**, 1172–1184.
- 218 S. Griffin, G. Dunn, S. Palmer, K. Macfarlane, S. Brent, A. Dyker, S. Erhorn, C. Humphries, S. White, W. Horsley, L. Ferrie and S. Thomas, *Health Technol. Assess. (Rockv).*, 2009, 13.
- 219 B. S. Yadav, World J. Clin. Oncol., 2014, 5, 125.
- 220 E. Bulka, H.-G. Patzwaldt, F.-K. Peper and H. Beyer, *Chem. Ber.*, 1961, **94**, 1127–1137.
- 221 C. R. Groom, I. J. Bruno, M. P. Lightfoot and S. C. Ward, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B Struct. Sci. Cryst. Eng. Mater., 2016, 72, 171–179.
- 222 D. S. Silvester, A. J. Wain, L. Aldous, C. Hardacre and R. G. Compton, J. *Electroanal. Chem.*, 2006, **596**, 131–140.
- 223 A. J. Fry and R. G. Reed, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 6448–6451.
- 224 C. Reichardt, *Solvents and Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, FRG, 2002.
- 225 M. P. Rančić, I. Stojiljković, M. Milošević, N. Prlainović, M. Jovanović, M. K. Milčić and A. D. Marinković, *Arab. J. Chem.*, 2016.
- 226 R. G. Parr and W. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 4049–4050.
- 227 W. Yang, R. G. Parr and R. Pucci, J. Chem. Phys., 1984, 81, 2862–2863.
- 228 S. Carradori and R. Silvestri, J. Med. Chem., 2015, 58, 6717–6732.

## 7 APPENDIX

Table 7.1 Solvent parameters used in	I Kannet-I	all equalion	u .
Solvent	${oldsymbol{\pi}}^{*}$	α	β
Methanol	0.6	0.66	0.98
Ethanol	0.54	0.75	0.86
2-Propanol	0.48	0.84	0.76
2-Butanol	0.4	0.8	0.69
Cyclohexanol	0.45	0.84	0.66
Benzyl alcohol	0.98	0.52	0.6
2-Methoxyethanol	0.71	0	0
2-Chloroethanol	0.46	0.53	1.28
1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME)	0.53	0.41	0
Toluene	0.54	0.11	0
Dichloromethane (DCM)	0.82	0.1	0.13
Acetone	0.71	0.43	0.08
Cyclohexanone (ChO)	0.76	0.53	0
Ethyl acetate (EtAc)	0.55	0.45	0
Formamide (F)	0.97	0.48	0.71
Dimethylformamide (DMF)	0.88	0.69	0
Dimethylacetamide (DMAc)	0.88	0.76	0
1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP)	0.92	0.77	0
Acetonitrile (AcN)	0.75	0.4	0.19
DMSO	1	0.76	0
THF	0.58	0.55	0
2-Pyrrolidone (2-Pyr)	0.85	0.77	0.36

**Table 7.1** Solvent parameters used in Kamlet–Taft equation<sup>172,173</sup>

Solvent	SP	SdP	SA	SB
Ethanol	0.633	0.783	0.4	0.658
Methanol	0.608	0.904	0.605	0.545
1-Propanol	0.658	0.748	0.367	0.782
2-Propanol	0.633	0.808	0.283	0.83
1-Butanol	0.674	0.655	0.341	0.809
Isobutanol	0.657	0.684	0.311	0.828
2-Metoxyethanol	0.6996	0.8952	0.36	0.56
2-Chloroethanol	0.7704	0.9736	0.56	0.38
Diethyl ether	0.617	0.385	0	0.562
Diisopropyl ether	0.625	0.324	0	0.657
Cyclohexane	0.683	0	0	0.073
Heptane	0.635	0	0	0.083
Pentane	0.593	0	0	0.073
Dioxane	0.737	0.312	0	0.444
Acetonitrile	0.645	0.974	0.044	0.286
N,N-Dimethylformamide	0.759	0.977	0.031	0.613
Dimethyl sulfoxide	0.83	1	0.072	0.647
Formamide	0.814	1.006	0.549	0.414

 Table 7.2 Solvent parameters <sup>22</sup>used in Catalán equation<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Catalán parameters for *trans*-1,2-dichloroethene are not available

			-promosi		
	1-S	2-S	1-Se	2-Se	3-Se
$\angle (\Omega_1 A \ \Omega_1 B)$	89.28(5)	85.26(13)	89.33(8)	85.24(7)	88.28(7)
$\angle (\Omega_1 A \ \Omega_2 A)$	6.72(16)	5.7(5)	5.5(2)	2.6(2)	10.1(2)
$\angle (\Omega_1 B \ \Omega_2 B)$	5.16(14)	-	6.6(3)	15.0(2)	10.40(18)
$\angle (\Omega_2 A \ \Omega_3 A)$	88.62(10)	86.5(3)	81.99(14)	84.70(14)	78.75(10)
$\angle (\Omega_2 B \ \Omega_3 B)$	82.86(9)	-	87.62(14)	61.01(16)	73.43(11)
$\angle (\Omega_1 A \ \Omega_3 B)$	4.45(18)	10.5(4)	10.60(19)	10.7(2)	12.83(19)
$\angle (\Omega_1 B \ \Omega_3 A)$	9.90(13)	_	4.7(3)	2.9(3)	3.18(19)

Table 7.3 Selected dihedral angles (°) for complexes

 $\Omega_1 A$  and  $\Omega_1 B$  are chelate planes A and B defined as mean planes through atomsCo1 N1A N2A N4A, and Co1 N1B N2B N4B, respectively.  $\Omega_2 A$  and  $\Omega_2 B$  are (selen/thi)azole planes A and B defined mean planes through atomsN4A C7A S1A/Se1A C8A C9A, and N4B C7B S1B/Se1B C8B C9B, respectively.

 $\Omega_3A$  and  $\Omega_3B$  are planes through terminal phenyl rings A and B defined mean planes through atoms C10A > C15A, and C10B>C15B, respectively.

Compound	HLS <sup>1</sup> *	$\frac{1010 \text{ ClyBta}}{\text{HLS}^{2*}}$	HIS <sup>3</sup> *	HLS <sup>1</sup> *	HLS <sup>2</sup> *	HLS <sup>3</sup> *
X=S	<b>IIL</b> 5	<b>HL</b> 5	<b>HL</b> 5	<b>HL</b> 5	<b>IIL</b> 5	<b>HL</b> 5
		E-iso	omer			Z-isomer
Bond (Å)						
$N_4 - C_7$	1.289	1.289	1.298 (1.300) <sup>a</sup>	1.299	1.300	1.299 (1.265) <sup>b</sup>
N <sub>4</sub> C <sub>9</sub>	1.389	1.390	1.389 (1.388) <sup>a</sup>	1.388	1.388	1.388 (1.373) <sup>b</sup>
$X_1 - C_7$	1.759	1.758	1.758 (1.738) <sup>a</sup>	1.762	1.761	1.762 (1.700) <sup>b</sup>
$X_1 - C_8$	1.746	1.747	1.746 (1.723) <sup>a</sup>	1.744	1.746	1.745 (1.690) <sup>b</sup>
$C_1 - C_6$	1.465	1.465	1.465 (1.465) <sup>a</sup>	1.462	1.462	1.462 (1.414) <sup>b</sup>
$C_6 - N_2$	1.287	1.288	1.288 (1.277) <sup>a</sup>	1.298	1.298	1.298 (1.260) <sup>b</sup>
$N_2 - N_3$	1.344	1.343	1.344 (1.360) <sup>a</sup>	1.337	1.336	1.337 (1.337) <sup>b</sup>
N <sub>3</sub> -H	1.019	1.019	$1.019(0.872)^{a}$	1.026	1.026	1.026 (0.860) <sup>b</sup>
Angle (°)						
$C_7 - N_4 - C_9$	110.77	110.85	110.80 (109.98) <sup>a</sup>	110.84	110.84	110.84 (109.82) <sup>b</sup>
$C_7 - X_1 - C_8$	87.33	87.35	87.37 (87.87) <sup>a</sup>	87.35	87.35	87.35 (87.76) <sup>b</sup>
Torsion (°)						
$X_1 - C_8 - C_9 - C_{10}$	179.90	180.00	180.00 (178.83) <sup>a</sup>	179.89	179.93	179.89 (178.21) <sup>b</sup>
$N_4 - C_9 - C_{10} - C_{11}$	2.07	2.82	-0.74 (-12.51) <sup>a</sup>	5.98	5.59	4.86 (4.46) <sup>b</sup>
$X_1 - C_7 - N_3 - N_2$	-0.13	-0.10	$-0.07 (-0.85)^{a}$	0.21	0.29	0.04 (4.69) <sup>b</sup>
$N_1 - C_1 - C_6 - N_2$	179.98	179.88	179.98 (-175.82) <sup>a</sup>	-0.33	-0.21	$-0.30(3.75)^{b}$
$C_1 - C_6 - N_2 - N_3$	179.94	179.96	179.90 (179.93) <sup>a</sup>	0.13	0.05	0.21 (-0.25) <sup>b</sup>

**Table 7.4** Elements of DFT optimized geometries of *E*- and *Z*-isomers of ligands compared with available crystal structure data.

## Table 7.5 Continued

Compound,	HLSe <sup>1</sup> *	HLSe <sup>2</sup> *	HLSe <sup>3</sup> *	HLSe <sup>1</sup> *	HLSe <sup>2</sup> *	HLSe <sup>3</sup> *
X=Se						
			E-isomer			Z-isomer
Bond (Å)						
$N_4 - C_7$	1.293	1.293	1.292	1.293	1.294	1.294
$N_4-C_9$	1.391	1.392	1.392	1.390	1.390	1.390
$X_{11} - C_7$	1.890	1.888	1.890	1.893	1.893	1.892
$X_{11} - C_8$	1.876	1.877	1.877	1.875	1.875	1.875
$C_1 - C_6$	1.465	1.465	1.465	1.462	1.462	1.462
$C_6-N_2$	1.287	1.287	1.287	1.298	1.298	1.298
$N_2 - N_3$	1.344	1.343	1.343	1.337	1.336	1.337
N <sub>3</sub> -H	1.019	1.019	1.019	1.027	1.026	1.026
Angle (°)						
$C_7 - N_4 - C_9$	112.75	112.78	112.78	112.88	112.95	112.84
$C_7 - X_1 - C_8$	83.19	83.20	83.16	83.20	83.22	83.23
Torsion (°)						
$X_1 - C_8 - C_9 - C_{10}$	-179.99	-179.90	-179.94	179.90	179.96	179.86
$N_4 - C_9 - C_{10} - C_{11}$	-1.02	5.15	0.24	6.78	6.37	6.25
$X_1 - C_7 - N_3 - N_2$	-0.01	0.00	-0.08	0.17	0.15	0.18
$N_1 - C_1 - C_6 - N_2$	-179.88	-180.00	179.94	-0.20	-0.23	-0.25
C1-C6-N2-N3	-179.98	-179.99	179.98	0.09	0.14	0.14

\*Optimized geometries obtained by the use of DFT calculations with the B3LYP/6-31G(d) basis set

<sup>a</sup> Crystal structure data obtained from X-ray diffraction analysis in the present work
 <sup>b</sup> Crystal structure data taken from literature<sup>S35</sup>

**Table 7.6** Comparison of average values of the theoretically calculated Co–N bond lengths (in Å) and N–Co–N angles (in °) obtained for the complex 1-S, using two DFT functionals with several basis sets and experimental measured values.

functionals / basis sets	Co– N1	Co– N <sub>2</sub>	Co– N4	N–C	o–N
				trans	cis
b3lyp / 6-31g(d,p) <sup>a</sup> / 6-31g(d) <sup>a</sup>	1.966	1.899	1.976	167.83	90.38
b3lyp / 6-31g(2d,2p) <sup>b</sup> / 6-31g(d,p) <sup>b</sup> / 6- 31g(d) <sup>b</sup>	1.966	1.899	1.977	167.83	90.38
b3lyp/ LanL2DZ-epc <sup>c</sup> / 6-31g(d) <sup>c</sup>	1.977	1.909	1.992	167.83	90.38
$bvp86 / 6-31g(d,p)^d / 6-31g(d)^d$	1.944	1.888	1.958	168.02	90.37
bvp86 / LanL2DZ-epc <sup>e</sup> / 6-31g(d) <sup>e</sup>	1.960	1.902	1.981	168.08	90.37
$bvp86 / cc-pVTZ^{f} / 6-31g(d)^{f}$	1.958	1.900	1.973	167.67	90.39
measured <sup>g</sup>	1.947	1.887	1.946	167.17	90.41

<sup>a</sup> B3LYP functional with 6-31g(d,p) on Co and 6-31g(d) on all others atoms

<sup>b</sup> B3LYP functional with 6-31g(2d,2p) on Co, 6-31g(d,p) on N atoms and 6-31g(d) on all others atoms

 $^{\rm c}$  B3LYP functional with LanL2DZ-epc on Co and 6-31g(d) on all others atoms

<sup>d</sup> BVP86 functional with 6-31g(d,p) on Co and 6-31g(d) on all others atoms

<sup>e</sup> BVP86 functional with LanL2DZ-epc on Co and 6-31g(d) on all others atoms

 $^{\rm f}$  BVP86 functional with cc-pVTZ on Co and 6-31g(d) on all others atoms

<sup>g</sup>Experimental Co-N bond lengths

**Table 7.7** Comparison of average values of the experimental and theoretical calculated Co–N bond lengths (in Å) and N–Co–N angles (in °) obtained for the (1-3)-Se and (1-3)-S complexes

5)-5 complexe	es	4:		
Compou	nd	<b>1-Se</b> *	<b>2-Se</b> *	<b>3-Se</b> *
Bond (Å	<b>A</b> )			
Co-N <sub>1</sub>		$1.946 (1.949)^{a}$	$1.945 (1.953)^{a}$	$1.947 (1.948)^{a}$
Co-N <sub>2</sub>		$1.884 (1.883)^{a}$	$1.884 (1.888)^{a}$	$1.886 (1.888)^{a}$
Co–N <sub>4</sub>		$1.973(1.955)^{a}$	1.971 (1.957) <sup>a</sup>	$1.960 (1.950)^{a}$
Angle (	°)			
	trans	168.10 (166.97) <sup>a</sup>	168.13 (166.58) <sup>a</sup>	167.75 (167.05) <sup>a</sup>
IN-CO-IN	cis	90.40 (90.42) <sup>a</sup>	90.35 (90.42) <sup>a</sup>	90.37 (90.42) <sup>a</sup>
Compou	nd	<b>1-S</b> *	<b>2-</b> S <sup>*</sup>	<b>3-</b> S <sup>*</sup>
Bond (Å	Å)			
Co-N <sub>1</sub>		$1.944 (1.944)^{a}$	$1.944 (1.948)^{a}$	1.944 (1.946) <sup>b</sup>
Co-N <sub>2</sub>		$1.888(1.887)^{a}$	$1.887 (1.886)^{a}$	$1.889(1.888)^{a}$
Co–N <sub>4</sub>		1.958 (1.946) <sup>a</sup>	1.967 (1.948) <sup>a</sup>	1.956 (1.943) <sup>a</sup>
Angle (	°)			
N Co N	trans	168.02 (167.17) <sup>a</sup>	168.27 (-) <sup>a</sup>	167.89 (167.33) <sup>a</sup>
IN-CO-IN	cis	90.37 (90.41) <sup>a</sup>	90.39 (-) <sup>a</sup>	90.37 (90.41) <sup>a</sup>

 $^*$  Optimized geometries obtained by the use of DFT calculations with the B3LYP/6-31G(d) basis set

<sup>a</sup> Crystal structure data obtained from X-ray diffraction analysis in the present work.

**Table 7.8** Average values of experimental and calculated chemical shifs ( $\delta$  in ppm), coupling constants (*J* in Hz) and assignments of the signals in <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of (1–3)-Se and (1–3)-S complexes

1-8		$^{1}\mathrm{H}$					<sup>13</sup> C	
1-5		Exp.	Calc.	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup>		Exp.	Calc.	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup>
	H–C <sub>8</sub>	6.56 (s, 1H)	6.12	6.60	C <sub>8</sub>	108.12	114.46	106.39
2	H– C <sub>11,15</sub>	6.77 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J = 6.9$ )	7.14	6.81	$C_2$	123.28	118.82	121.24
	H-C <sub>13</sub>	7.29 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 7.3$ , ${}^{3}J = 6.1$ , ${}^{3}J = 1.3$ )	7.76	7.31	$C_4$	126.06	120.78	123.97
5 N1 6	H– C <sub>12,14</sub>	7.42 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J = 7.7$ )	7.63	7.44	C11,15	127.73	126.13	125.61
Co ×	$H-C_5$	7.53 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 5.6$ )	8.18	7.54	$C_{12,14}$	128.78	123.11	126.63
N-7	$H-C_4$	7.60 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 7.5$ )	7.16	7.61	$C_{10}$	129.54	127.68	127.38
11	H–C <sub>2</sub>	7.66 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 7.4$ )	7.55	7.67	C <sub>13</sub>	131.63	125.18	129.43
12 10 8	H-C <sub>6</sub>	7.82 (s, 1H)	8.15	7.83	$C_6$	139.47	134.94	137.11
13 15	H–C <sub>3</sub>	7.96 (td, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 7.8$ , ${}^{3}J = 1.2$ )	7.87	7.96	$C_3$	141.27	136.65	138.87
14					<b>C</b> <sub>9</sub>	147.89	147.56	145.36
					C5	149.19	146.47	146.64
					$C_1$	159.81	154.59	157.04
					$C_7$	180.47	179.14	177.29

1-Se		$^{1}\mathrm{H}$					<sup>13</sup> C	
1-50		Exp.	Calc.	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup>		Exp.	Calc.	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup>
	H-C <sub>8</sub>	6.75 (s, 1H)	6.33	6.79	C <sub>8</sub>	110.90	118.78	109.11
	H– C <sub>11,15</sub>	6.75 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J = 6.4$ )	7.27	6.79	$C_2$	123.68	119.27	121.64
3	H-C <sub>13</sub>	7.29 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 7.4$ , ${}^{3}J = 5.9$ , ${}^{3}J = 1.4$ )	7.75	7.31	$C_4$	125.99	120.80	123.90
	H– C <sub>12,14</sub>	7.40 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J = 7.8$ )	7.65	7.42	C <sub>11,15</sub>	127.66	125.69	125.54
3 N1 6	H–C5	7.49 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 5.3$ )	8.07	7.51	C <sub>12,14</sub>	128.89	123.46	126.74
Co N2	H-C <sub>4</sub>	7.58 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 7.5$ , ${}^{3}J = 6.4$ , ${}^{3}J = 1.2$ )	7.08	7.59	C <sub>10</sub>	129.30	129.71	127.14
N <sub>4</sub> 7	$H-C_2$	7.68 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 8.0$ , ${}^{3}J = 0.8$ )	7.33	7.69	C <sub>13</sub>	133.13	124.65	130.90
11 10 9 Se <sub>1</sub>	H-C <sub>6</sub>	7.82 (s, 1H)	7.87	7.83	$C_6$	139.52	134.13	137.16
12 8	$H-C_3$	7.95 (td, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 7.7$ , ${}^{3}J = 1.3$ )	7.76	7.95	C <sub>3</sub>	141.26	136.29	138.86
13 14					C <sub>9</sub>	148.22	148.26	145.69
					C <sub>5</sub>	149.33	146.17	146.77
					$C_1$	159.94	154.17	157.17
					<b>C</b> <sub>7</sub>	183.60	186.75	180.36

## Table 7.7 Continued

2-8		$^{1}\mathrm{H}$					<sup>13</sup> C	
2-0		Exp.	Calc.	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup>		Exp.	Calc.	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup>
	H-C16	3.23 (s, 3H)	4.11	-	C <sub>16</sub>	55.55	55.05	-
	H-C <sub>8</sub>	6.47 (s, 1H)	6.15	6.52	$C_8$	108.06	113.97	106.33
3	H– C <sub>11,15</sub>	6.65 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=8.6$ )	7.16	6.69	$C_2$	123.39	118.78	121.35
4	H– C <sub>12,14</sub>	6.94 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup> <i>J</i> =8.6)	6.94	6.97	$C_4$	126.08	120.81	123.99
» N1 6	H–C <sub>4</sub>	7.27 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 7.3$ , ${}^{3}J = 6.1$ , ${}^{3}J = 1.3$ )	7.08	7.29	C <sub>12,14</sub>	113.29	109.28	111.45
Co2	$H-C_5$	7.53 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J=5.6$ )	8.08	7.54	C <sub>11,15</sub>	130.30	127.12	128.12
N4 7 3	$H - C_2$	7.65 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J=7.9$ )	7.28	7.66	$C_{10}$	124.01	120.45	121.96
11 10 9 S <sub>1</sub>	$H-C_6$	7.87 (s, 1H)	7.92	7.87	C <sub>13</sub>	160.42	154.37	157.64
12 8	$H-C_3$	7.94 (td, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 7.8$ , ${}^{3}J = 1.2$ )	7.76	7.94	C <sub>6</sub>	139.33	133.99	136.97
OCH3 14					C <sub>3</sub>	141.39	136.34	138.99
16					<b>C</b> <sub>9</sub>	147.98	148.03	145.45
					C <sub>5</sub>	149.29	145.89	146.73
					$C_1$	160.06	154.09	157.29
					C <sub>7</sub>	180.45	179.63	177.27

2-Se		$^{1}\mathrm{H}$					<sup>13</sup> C	
2 50		Exp.	Calc.	Calc.scaled		Exp.	Calc.	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup>
	H-C <sub>16</sub>	3.89 (s, 3H),	4.13	-	C <sub>16</sub>	55.28	55.10	-
	H–C <sub>8</sub>	6.69 (s, 1H)	6.27	6.73	$C_8$	110.57	118.25	108.79
3	H– C <sub>11,15</sub>	6.65 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup> <i>J</i> = 8.4)	7.17	6.69	$C_2$	123.49	119.12	121.45
	H– C <sub>12,14</sub>	6.93 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup> <i>J</i> =8.6)	6.94	6.96	$C_4$	125.77	120.66	123.68
<sup>o</sup> N <sub>1</sub> 6	H-C <sub>4</sub>	7.29 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 7.2$ , ${}^{3}J = 6.1$ , ${}^{3}J = 0.9$ )	7.06	7.31	$C_{12,14}$	112.90	109.15	111.07
Co	H-C <sub>5</sub>	7.50 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J=5.7$ )	8.07	7.52	$C_{11,15}$	130.08	127.41	127.91
N4 7 3	$H - C_2$	7.69 (d, 1H, <sup>3</sup> <i>J</i> =7.7)	7.32	7.70	$C_{10}$	125.29	121.63	123.21
11 10 9 Se <sub>1</sub>	H-C <sub>6</sub>	7.90 (s, 1H)	7.90	7.90	C <sub>13</sub>	159.94	154.30	157.17
12 8	H-C <sub>3</sub>	7.95 (td, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 7.9$ , ${}^{3}J = 0.8$ )	7.76	7.95	C <sub>6</sub>	139.11	133.74	136.76
OCH3 14					C <sub>3</sub>	141.09	136.20	138.70
16					<b>C</b> <sub>9</sub>	147.97	148.19	145.44
					C <sub>5</sub>	149.17	146.17	146.62
					$C_1$	159.94	154.24	157.17
					C <sub>7</sub>	183.19	186.37	179.96

		$^{1}\mathrm{H}$					<sup>13</sup> C	
3-8		Exp.	Calc.	Calc.scaled		Exp.	Calc.	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup>
	H-C16	2.46 (s, 3H)	2.67	-	C <sub>16</sub>	21.19	23.26	-
	H-C <sub>8</sub>	6.50 (s, 1H)	6.10	6.55	$C_8$	107.98	113.93	106.25
13	H– C <sub>11,15</sub>	6.64 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup> <i>J</i> = 8.0)	7.06	6.68	$C_2$	123.22	118.83	121.19
14	H– C <sub>12,14</sub>	7.21 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup> <i>J</i> =8.0)	7.45	7.23	$C_4$	125.98	120.68	123.89
N / N / N	H-C <sub>4</sub>	7.28 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J = 7.4$ , ${}^{3}J = 5.7$ , ${}^{3}J = 1.4$ )	7.17	7.30	C <sub>12,14</sub>	128.22	123.95	126.09
N N	H-C <sub>5</sub>	7.52 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J=5.4$ )	8.19	7.53	C <sub>11,15</sub>	128.66	125.96	126.52
N-1	$H-C_2$	7.65 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J=8.0$ , ${}^{3}J=0.8$ )	7.55	7.66	C <sub>10</sub>	128.84	124.95	126.69
9 4 3 S	H-C <sub>6</sub>	7.82 (s, 1H)	8.19	7.83	C <sub>13</sub>	138.90	136.82	136.55
7 5 5	$H-C_3$	7.99 (td, 1H, $J = 7.8$ , ${}^{3}J = 1.3$ )	7.86	7.99	$C_6$	139.35	134.75	136.99
CH3 6					C <sub>3</sub>	141.01	136.48	138.62
16					C <sub>9</sub>	148.01	147.58	145.48
					C5	149.12	146.50	146.57
					$C_1$	159.91	134.03	157.14
					C <sub>7</sub>	180.43	1/9.11	177.25
		1						
		'Η					$^{13}C$	
3-Se		<sup>1</sup> H Exp.	Calc.	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup>		Exp.	<sup>13</sup> C Calc.	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup>
3-Se	H–C <sub>16</sub>	<sup>1</sup> H Exp. 2.46 (s, 3H)	Calc. 2.68	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup>	C <sub>16</sub>	Exp. 21.05	<sup>13</sup> C Calc. 23.17	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup>
3-Se	H–C <sub>16</sub> H– C <sub>11,15</sub>	<sup>1</sup> H Exp. 2.46 (s, 3H) 6.62 (d, 2H, <sup>3</sup> <i>J</i> =7.7)	Calc. 2.68 7.05	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 6.66	C <sub>16</sub> C <sub>8</sub>	Exp. 21.05 110.54	<sup>13</sup> C Calc. 23.17 118.41	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 108.76
3-Se	H–C <sub>16</sub> H– C <sub>11,15</sub> H–C <sub>8</sub>	<sup>1</sup> H Exp. 2.46 (s, 3H) $6.62 (d, 2H, {}^{3}J=7.7)$ 6.68 (s, 1H)	Calc. 2.68 7.05 6.24	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 6.66 6.72	C <sub>16</sub> C <sub>8</sub> C <sub>2</sub>	Exp. 21.05 110.54 123.42	<sup>13</sup> C Calc. 23.17 118.41 118.82	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 108.76 121.38
<b>3-Se</b>	H–C <sub>16</sub> H– C <sub>11,15</sub> H–C <sub>8</sub> H– C <sub>12,14</sub>	$^{1}\text{H}$ Exp. 2.46 (s, 3H) 6.62 (d, 2H, $^{3}J=7.7$ ) 6.68 (s, 1H) 7.19 (d, 2H, $^{3}J=7.6$ )	Calc. 2.68 7.05 6.24 7.44	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 6.66 6.72 7.21	C <sub>16</sub> C <sub>8</sub> C <sub>2</sub> C <sub>4</sub>	Exp. 21.05 110.54 123.42 125.71	<sup>13</sup> C Calc. 23.17 118.41 118.82 120.63	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 108.76 121.38 123.63
<b>3-Se</b>	H–C <sub>16</sub> H– C <sub>11,15</sub> H–C <sub>8</sub> H– C <sub>12,14</sub> H–C <sub>4</sub>	<sup>1</sup> H Exp. 2.46 (s, 3H) 6.62 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.7$ ) 6.68 (s, 1H) 7.19 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.6$ ) 7.28 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J=7.4$ , ${}^{3}J=5.9$ , ${}^{3}J$ = 1.4)	Calc. 2.68 7.05 6.24 7.44 7.14	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> 6.66 6.72 7.21 7.30	C <sub>16</sub> C <sub>8</sub> C <sub>2</sub> C <sub>4</sub> C <sub>12,14</sub>	Exp. 21.05 110.54 123.42 125.71 127.92	<sup>13</sup> C Calc. 23.17 118.41 118.82 120.63 123.74	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 108.76 121.38 123.63 125.79
<b>3-Se</b>	H-C <sub>16</sub> H- C <sub>11,15</sub> H-C <sub>8</sub> H- C <sub>12,14</sub> H-C <sub>4</sub> H-C <sub>5</sub>	<sup>1</sup> H Exp. 2.46 (s, 3H) 6.62 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.7$ ) 6.68 (s, 1H) 7.19 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.6$ ) 7.28 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J=7.4$ , ${}^{3}J=5.9$ , ${}^{3}J$ = 1.4) 7.48 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J=5.5$ )	Calc. 2.68 7.05 6.24 7.44 7.14 8.13	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 6.66 6.72 7.21 7.30 7.50	C <sub>16</sub> C <sub>8</sub> C <sub>2</sub> C <sub>4</sub> C <sub>12,14</sub> C <sub>11,15</sub>	Exp. 21.05 110.54 123.42 125.71 127.92 128.59	<sup>13</sup> C Calc. 23.17 118.41 118.82 120.63 123.74 126.54	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 108.76 121.38 123.63 125.79 126.45
<b>3-Se</b>	$\begin{array}{c} H-C_{16} \\ H- \\ C_{11,15} \\ H-C_8 \\ H- \\ C_{12,14} \\ H-C_4 \\ H-C_5 \\ H-C_2 \end{array}$	<sup>1</sup> H Exp. 2.46 (s, 3H) 6.62 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.7$ ) 6.68 (s, 1H) 7.19 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.6$ ) 7.28 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J=7.4$ , ${}^{3}J=5.9$ , ${}^{3}J$ = 1.4) 7.48 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J=5.5$ ) 7.68 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{14,15}=7.9$ , ${}^{3}J=0.6$ )	Calc. 2.68 7.05 6.24 7.44 7.14 8.13 7.53	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 6.66 6.72 7.21 7.30 7.50 7.69	$\begin{array}{c} C_{16} \\ C_8 \\ C_2 \\ C_4 \\ C_{12,14} \\ C_{11,15} \\ C_{10} \end{array}$	Exp. 21.05 110.54 123.42 125.71 127.92 128.59 130.16	<sup>13</sup> C Calc. 23.17 118.41 118.82 120.63 123.74 126.54 126.11	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 108.76 121.38 123.63 125.79 126.45 127.99
<b>3-Se</b>	$\begin{array}{c} H-C_{16} \\ H- \\ C_{11,15} \\ H-C_8 \\ H- \\ C_{12,14} \\ H-C_4 \\ H-C_4 \\ H-C_5 \\ H-C_2 \\ H-C_6 \end{array}$	<sup>1</sup> H Exp. 2.46 (s, 3H) 6.62 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.7$ ) 6.68 (s, 1H) 7.19 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.6$ ) 7.28 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J=7.4$ , ${}^{3}J=5.9$ , ${}^{3}J$ = 1.4) 7.48 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J=5.5$ ) 7.68 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{14,15}=7.9$ , ${}^{3}J=0.6$ ) 7.83 (s, 1H)	Calc. 2.68 7.05 6.24 7.44 7.14 8.13 7.53 8.08	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> 6.66 6.72 7.21 7.30 7.50 7.69 7.84	$\begin{array}{c} C_{16} \\ C_8 \\ C_2 \\ C_4 \\ C_{12,14} \\ C_{11,15} \\ C_{10} \\ C_{13} \end{array}$	Exp. 21.05 110.54 123.42 125.71 127.92 128.59 130.16 138.36	<sup>13</sup> C Calc. 23.17 118.41 118.82 120.63 123.74 126.54 126.11 136.43	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 108.76 121.38 123.63 125.79 126.45 127.99 136.02
<b>3-Se</b>	$H-C_{16}$ H- $C_{11,15}$ $H-C_8$ H- $C_{12,14}$ $H-C_4$ $H-C_4$ $H-C_5$ $H-C_2$ $H-C_2$ $H-C_6$ $H-C_3$	<sup>1</sup> H Exp. 2.46 (s, 3H) 6.62 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.7$ ) 6.68 (s, 1H) 7.19 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.6$ ) 7.28 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J=7.4$ , ${}^{3}J=5.9$ , ${}^{3}J$ = 1.4) 7.48 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J=5.5$ ) 7.68 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{14,15}=7.9$ , ${}^{3}J=0.6$ ) 7.83 (s, 1H) 7.94 (td, 1H, ${}^{3}J=7.8$ , ${}^{3}J=1.3$ )	Calc. 2.68 7.05 6.24 7.44 7.14 8.13 7.53 8.08 7.83	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 6.66 6.72 7.21 7.30 7.50 7.69 7.84 7.94	$\begin{array}{c} C_{16} \\ C_8 \\ C_2 \\ C_4 \\ C_{12,14} \\ C_{11,15} \\ C_{10} \\ C_{13} \\ C_6 \end{array}$	Exp. 21.05 110.54 123.42 125.71 127.92 128.59 130.16 138.36 139.19	<sup>13</sup> C Calc. 23.17 118.41 118.82 120.63 123.74 126.54 126.54 126.11 136.43 134.51	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 108.76 121.38 123.63 125.79 126.45 127.99 136.02 136.84
<b>3-Se</b>	$H-C_{16}$ H- $C_{11,15}$ $H-C_8$ H- $C_{12,14}$ $H-C_4$ $H-C_5$ $H-C_5$ $H-C_2$ $H-C_6$ $H-C_3$	<sup>1</sup> H Exp. 2.46 (s, 3H) 6.62 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.7$ ) 6.68 (s, 1H) 7.19 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.6$ ) 7.28 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J=7.4$ , ${}^{3}J=5.9$ , ${}^{3}J$ = 1.4) 7.48 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J=5.5$ ) 7.68 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{14,15}=7.9$ , ${}^{3}J=0.6$ ) 7.83 (s, 1H) 7.94 (td, 1H, ${}^{3}J=7.8$ , ${}^{3}J=1.3$ )	Calc. 2.68 7.05 6.24 7.44 7.14 8.13 7.53 8.08 7.83	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 6.66 6.72 7.21 7.30 7.50 7.69 7.84 7.94	$\begin{array}{c} C_{16} \\ C_8 \\ C_2 \\ C_4 \\ C_{12,14} \\ C_{11,15} \\ C_{10} \\ C_{13} \\ C_6 \\ C_3 \end{array}$	Exp. 21.05 110.54 123.42 125.71 127.92 128.59 130.16 138.36 139.19 141.05	<sup>13</sup> C Calc. 23.17 118.41 118.82 120.63 123.74 126.54 126.54 126.11 136.43 134.51 136.38	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 108.76 121.38 123.63 125.79 126.45 127.99 136.02 136.84 138.66
<b>3-Se</b>	$\begin{array}{c} H-C_{16} \\ H- \\ C_{11,15} \\ H-C_8 \\ H- \\ C_{12,14} \\ H-C_4 \\ H-C_5 \\ H-C_5 \\ H-C_2 \\ H-C_6 \\ H-C_3 \end{array}$	<sup>1</sup> H Exp. 2.46 (s, 3H) 6.62 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.7$ ) 6.68 (s, 1H) 7.19 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.6$ ) 7.28 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J=7.4$ , ${}^{3}J=5.9$ , ${}^{3}J$ = 1.4) 7.48 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J=5.5$ ) 7.68 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{14,15}=7.9$ , ${}^{3}J=0.6$ ) 7.83 (s, 1H) 7.94 (td, 1H, ${}^{3}J=7.8$ , ${}^{3}J=1.3$ )	Calc. 2.68 7.05 6.24 7.44 7.14 8.13 7.53 8.08 7.83	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 6.66 6.72 7.21 7.30 7.50 7.69 7.84 7.94	$\begin{array}{c} C_{16} \\ C_8 \\ C_2 \\ C_4 \\ C_{12,14} \\ C_{11,15} \\ C_{10} \\ C_{13} \\ C_6 \\ C_3 \\ C_9 \end{array}$	Exp. 21.05 110.54 123.42 125.71 127.92 128.59 130.16 138.36 139.19 141.05 148.12	<sup>13</sup> C Calc. 23.17 118.41 118.82 120.63 123.74 126.54 126.54 126.51 136.43 134.51 136.38 147.51	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 108.76 121.38 123.63 125.79 126.45 127.99 136.02 136.84 138.66 145.59
<b>3-Se</b>	$\begin{array}{c} H-C_{16} \\ H- \\ C_{11,15} \\ H-C_8 \\ H- \\ C_{12,14} \\ H-C_4 \\ H-C_5 \\ H-C_5 \\ H-C_2 \\ H-C_6 \\ H-C_3 \end{array}$	${}^{1}\text{H}$ Exp. 2.46 (s, 3H) 6.62 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.7$ ) 6.68 (s, 1H) 7.19 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J=7.6$ ) 7.28 (ddd, 1H, ${}^{3}J=7.4$ , ${}^{3}J=5.9$ , ${}^{3}J$ = 1.4) 7.48 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J=5.5$ ) 7.68 (dd, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{14,15}=7.9$ , ${}^{3}J=0.6$ ) 7.83 (s, 1H) 7.94 (td, 1H, ${}^{3}J=7.8$ , ${}^{3}J=1.3$ )	Calc. 2.68 7.05 6.24 7.44 7.14 8.13 7.53 8.08 7.83	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 6.66 6.72 7.21 7.30 7.50 7.69 7.84 7.94	$\begin{array}{c} C_{16} \\ C_8 \\ C_2 \\ C_4 \\ C_{12,14} \\ C_{11,15} \\ C_{10} \\ C_{13} \\ C_6 \\ C_3 \\ C_9 \\ C_5 \end{array}$	Exp. 21.05 110.54 123.42 125.71 127.92 128.59 130.16 138.36 139.19 141.05 148.12 149.07	<sup>13</sup> C Calc. 23.17 118.41 118.82 120.63 123.74 126.54 126.54 126.54 126.11 136.43 134.51 136.38 147.51 146.51	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 108.76 121.38 123.63 125.79 126.45 127.99 136.02 136.84 138.66 145.59 146.52
<b>3-Se</b>	$\begin{array}{c} H-C_{16} \\ H- \\ C_{11,15} \\ H-C_8 \\ H- \\ C_{12,14} \\ H-C_4 \\ H-C_5 \\ H-C_5 \\ H-C_2 \\ H-C_6 \\ H-C_3 \end{array}$	$^{1}\text{H}$ Exp. 2.46 (s, 3H) 6.62 (d, 2H, $^{3}J=7.7$ ) 6.68 (s, 1H) 7.19 (d, 2H, $^{3}J=7.6$ ) 7.28 (ddd, 1H, $^{3}J=7.4$ , $^{3}J=5.9$ , $^{3}J$ = 1.4) 7.48 (d, 1H, $^{3}J=5.5$ ) 7.68 (dd, 1H, $^{3}J_{14,15}=7.9$ , $^{3}J=0.6$ ) 7.83 (s, 1H) 7.94 (td, 1H, $^{3}J=7.8$ , $^{3}J=1.3$ )	Calc. 2.68 7.05 6.24 7.44 7.14 8.13 7.53 8.08 7.83	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 6.66 6.72 7.21 7.30 7.50 7.69 7.84 7.94	$\begin{array}{c} C_{16} \\ C_8 \\ C_2 \\ C_4 \\ C_{12,14} \\ C_{11,15} \\ C_{10} \\ C_{13} \\ C_6 \\ C_3 \\ C_9 \\ C_5 \\ C_1 \end{array}$	Exp. 21.05 110.54 123.42 125.71 127.92 128.59 130.16 138.36 139.19 141.05 148.12 149.07 159.85	<sup>13</sup> C Calc. 23.17 118.41 118.82 120.63 123.74 126.54 126.54 126.54 126.54 136.43 134.51 136.38 147.51 146.51 154.56	Calc. <sup>scaled</sup> - 108.76 121.38 123.63 125.79 126.45 127.99 136.02 136.84 138.66 145.59 146.52 157.08

Table 7.7 Continued

Exp. - Experimental 1H and 13C NMR chemical shifts. Calc. - Calculated <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts at PCM(DMSO)DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)/6-31G(d) level of theory with respect to TMS. Calculated chemical shifts of TMS at PCM(DMSO)DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(d) have values 190.11 ppm for <sup>13</sup>C and 32.17 ppm for 1H. Calc.scaled - the linearly scaled calculated values (Calc.) by applied the correlation between the experimental and the calculated (Calc.) chemical shifts. Linear Regression was applied at experimental and calculated chemical shifts of all C-*sp*<sup>2</sup> atoms and the best fit straight line corresponding to  $\delta$ calc.= 0.43 + 0.98\delta exp. (R=0.98). Resulting linear regression line for all protons attached to C-*sp*<sup>2</sup> atoms has form  $\delta$ calc.= 0.24 + 0.97\delta exp. (R=0.79).

unazoics		2-1,5-50	Julia							
Compound	No.	$\lambda_{ma}$	х	f		Μ	O major contribut	ors		
					Transition	%	Transition	%	Transition	%
<b>HLSe</b> <sup>1</sup>	1	26409	379	0.4185	HOMO→LUMO	98				
	2	33331	300	0.0336	H-1→LUMO	29	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	67		
	3	34349	291	0.3009	H-1→LUMO	18	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	10	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	68
	4	34721	288	0.7809	H-1→LUMO	49	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	20	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	28
	5	35498	282	0.0012	HOMO→L+4	97				
	6	35791	279	0.0011	H-3→LUMO	96	H-3→L+1	2		
	7	37511	267	0.0180	H-2→LUMO	36	$H-2\rightarrow L+1$	10	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	48
	8	38554	259	0.0033	H-2→LUMO	61	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	30	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	5
	9	40536	247	0.0010	H-4→LUMO	48	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	11	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	21
	10	40855	245	0.0003	H-6→LUMO	93	H-6→L+1	4	H-6→L+5	2
	11	41080	243	0.1792	H-4→LUMO	14	$H-1 \rightarrow L+1$	74	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	4
	12	41508	241	0.0124	H-5→LUMO	14	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	39	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	20
	13	42081	238	0.0553	H-5→LUMO	76	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	15	H-1→L+2	3
	14	42358	236	0.0031	H-3→L+2	98				
	15	42894	233	0.0078	H-2→L+1	45	H-1→L+3	16	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	14

**Table 7.9** TD-DFT/B3LYP calculated electronic transitions (absorption maxima  $\lambda_{max}$  in cm<sup>-1</sup> and nm), oscillator strengths (*f*) and major MO contributors in percent of *E*-1,3-thiazoles and *E*-1,3-selenazoles in DMF solvent.

Compound	No.	$\lambda_{ma}$	ıx	f		Μ	O major contribu	tors		
					Transition	%	Transition	%	Transition	%
HLSe <sup>2</sup>	1	25265	396	0.2651	HOMO→LUMO	99				
	2	31939	313	0.7786	H-1→LUMO	97				
	3	33518	298	0.0635	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	51	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	45		
	4	33937	295	0.3403	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	43	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	50		
	5	34772	288	0.0012	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	16	$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	80		
	6	35844	279	0.0011	H-4→LUMO	97	H-4→L+1	2		
	7	36815	272	0.0776	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	65	$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	13		
	8	38740	258	0.0838	H-2→LUMO	87	H-3→LUMO	3	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	5
	9	39271	255	0.1111	$H-1 \rightarrow L+1$	78	H-7→LUMO	3	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	8
	10	39317	254	0.1567	H-3→LUMO	44	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	39	H-7→LUMO	5
	11	40076	250	0.0651	H-3→LUMO	31	H-1→L+2	37	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	24
	12	40818	245	0.0042	H-6→LUMO	74	H-5→LUMO	14	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	5
	13	40864	245	0.0173	H-6→LUMO	20	H-5→LUMO	55	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	11
	14	42371	236	0.0047	H-4→L+1	18	H-4→L+2	79		
	15	42382	236	0.1043	H-5→LUMO	20	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	11	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	36

Compound	No.	$\lambda_{ma}$	IX	f		Μ	O major contribu	tors		
					Transition	%	Transition	%	Transition	%
HLSe <sup>3</sup>	1	26090	383	0.3747	HOMO→LUMO	99				
	2	33211	301	0.2759	H-1→LUMO	72	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	25		
	3	34055	294	0.4179	H-1→LUMO	10	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	41	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	45
	4	34382	291	0.5260	H-1→LUMO	15	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	31	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	51
	5	35311	283	0.0002	HOMO→L+4	98				
	6	35855	279	0.0011	H-3→LUMO	96	H-3→L+1	2		
	7	37186	269	0.0184	H-2→LUMO	31	$H-2\rightarrow L+1$	10	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	54
	8	38227	262	0.0034	H-2→LUMO	67	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	25	$H-1 \rightarrow L+1$	5
	9	40268	248	0.0031	H-4→LUMO	28	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	19	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	17
	10	40620	246	0.2442	H-4→LUMO	20	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	65		
	11	40837	245	0.0001	H-6→LUMO	93	H-6→L+1	3	H-6→L+5	2
	12	41150	243	0.0228	H-5→LUMO	24	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	31	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	25
	13	41374	242	0.0370	H-5→LUMO	67	H-4→LUMO	13		
	14	42404	236	0.0030	H-3→L+2	97				
	15	42714	234	0.0184	H-4→LUMO	14	$H-2\rightarrow L+1$	33	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+3	15

Table 7.8 Continued

Compound	No.	$\lambda_{ma}$	ix	f		М	O major contribu	tors		
					Transition	%	Transition	%	Transition	%
HLS <sup>1</sup>	1	26929	371	0.5163	HOMO→LUMO	98				
	2	33732	296	0.0289	H-1→LUMO	28	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	69		
	3	34672	288	0.1744	H-1→LUMO	12	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	77	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	6
	4	35120	285	0.7943	H-1→LUMO	57	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	22	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	18
	5	35771	280	0.0011	H-3→LUMO	97				
	6	37773	265	0.0125	H-2→LUMO	34	$H-2\rightarrow L+1$	11	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	50
	7	38737	258	0.0027	H-2→LUMO	64	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	28	$H-1 \rightarrow L+1$	4
	8	39026	256	0.0000	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	98				
	9	40905	244	0.0001	H-4→LUMO	52	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	14	$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	16
	10	41596	240	0.2430	$H-1 \rightarrow L+1$	82	H-4→LUMO	8	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	3
	11	42015	238	0.0178	H-6→LUMO	15	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	36	$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	30
	12	42379	236	0.0030	H-3→L+2	97				
	13	42522	235	0.0000	H-5→LUMO	92	H-5→L+1	3	H-5→L+4	2
	14	42961	233	0.0088	0.0088 H-2→L+1		H-1→L+3	19	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	15
	15	43833	228	0.0010	H-8→LUMO	96				

Table 7.8 Continued

		iiiiiueu								
Compound	No.	$\lambda_{ma}$	ix	f		Μ	O major contribu	tors		
					Transition	%	Transition	%	Transition	%
HLS <sup>2</sup>	1	25753	388	0.3256	HOMO→LUMO	99				
	2	32179	311	0.7855	H-1→LUMO	97				
	3	33823	296	0.0235	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	39	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	56		
	4	34343	291	0.3198	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	54	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	38		
	5	35859	279	0.0011	H-4→LUMO	97	H-4→L+1	2		
	6	36989	270	0.0837	HOMO→L+3	77	H-2→LUMO	5	H-2→L+1	7
	7	38315	261	0.0000	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	97				
	8	39135	256	0.0100	H-2→LUMO	87	$H-1 \rightarrow L+1$	8	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	3
	9	39499	253	0.0018	H-3→LUMO	14	$H-1 \rightarrow L+1$	33	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	26
	10	39653	252	0.3091	H-3→LUMO	19	$H-1 \rightarrow L+1$	43	$H-1\rightarrow L+2$	24
	11	40442	247	0.0881	H-3→LUMO	36	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	28	$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	31
	12	42342	236	0.0030	H-4→L+1	19	H-4→L+2	78		
	13	42448	236	0.0637	H-3→LUMO	16	H-1→L+3	18	$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	33
	14	42458	236	0.0016	H-6→LUMO	90	H-6→L+1	3	H-6→L+4	2
	15	42935	233	0.0362	H-2→L+1	10	H-1→L+3	49	$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	20

Compound	No.	$\lambda_{ma}$	ix	f		М	O major contribu	tors		
					Transition	%	Transition	%	Transition	%
HLS <sup>3</sup>	1	26561	376	0.4682	HOMO→LUMO	99				
	2	33538	298	0.3203	H-1→LUMO	77	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	19		
	3	34393	291	0.2010	HOMO->L+1	30	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	62	H-1→LUMO	4
	4	34726	288	0.5796	H-1→LUMO	17	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	48	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	33
	5	35769	280	0.0011	H-3→LUMO	97				
	6	37426	267	0.0134	H-2→LUMO	29	H-2→L+1	11	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	55
	7	38369	261	0.0026	H-2→LUMO	69	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	24	$H-1 \rightarrow L+1$	4
	8	38808	258	0.0000	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	98				
	9	40505	247	0.0046	H-4→LUMO	46	H-1→L+2	20	$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	13
	10	40985	244	0.3004	$H-1 \rightarrow L+1$	81	H-4→LUMO	9	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	3
	11	41533	241	0.0206	H-7→LUMO	10	H-1→L+2	42	$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	28
	12	42352	236	0.0030	H-3→L+2	94	H-5→LUMO	3		
	13	42443	236	0.0001	H-5→LUMO	91	H-5→L+1	3	H-3→L+2	3
	14	42771	234	0.0057	H-2→L+1	38	H-1→L+3	21	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	15
	15	43559	230	0.1024	H-4→LUMO	17	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	12	$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	48

сотрил	5 WIU	$L^{-1}, J$	-unaz	Joies an	$L^{-1}, J^{-3}$	azoi	es in Divit solv	JIII.		
Compound	No.	$\lambda_{ma}$	x	f			MO major contribu	tors		
					Transition	%	Transition	%	Transition	%
1-Se	1	15129	661	0.0012	H-1→L+2	54	H-8→L+2	9	H-1→LUMO	9
	2	17165	583	0.0042	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	29	HOMO→LUMO	63		
	3	17406	575	0.0033	H-1→LUMO	36	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	58		
	4	18368	544	0.0076	H-1→L+1	16	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	81		
	5	19593	510	0.2780	H-1→L+1	50	HOMO→LUMO	34		
	6	19719	507	0.3441	H-1→LUMO	52	HOMO→L+1	40		
	7	20551	487	0.0152	H-1→L+3	87	$H-1 \rightarrow L+1$	2		
	8	20775	481	0.0042	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	90	H-15→L+3	2		
	9	23134	432	0.0116	H-11→L+2	19	H-8→L+2	17	H-1→L+2	36
	10	24167	414	0.0147	H-17→L+2	13	H-16→L+3	24	H-15→L+2	13
	11	24431	409	0.0033	H-17→L+3	13	H-16→L+2	23	H-15→L+3	13
	12	27569	363	0.0377	H-2→L+1	13	HOMO→L+4	70	H-1→L+5	8
	13	27607	362	0.0012	H-2→LUMO	69	H-5→L+1	2	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	9
	14	27801	360	0.0078	H-3→LUMO	14	$H-2\rightarrow L+1$	63	$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	16
	15	27822	359	0.0000	H-1→L+4	65	HOMO→L+5	23	H-2→LUMO	7

**Table 7.10** TD-DFT/B3LYP calculated electronic transitions (absorption maxima  $\lambda_{max}$  in cm<sup>-1</sup> and nm), oscillator strengths (*f*) and major MO contributors in percent of Co(III) complexes with *E*-1,3-thiazoles and *E*-1,3-selenazoles in DMF solvent.

Compound	No.	$\lambda_{ma}$	x	f			MO major contribu	tors		
					Transition	%	Transition	%	Transition	%
2-Se	1	15192	658	0.0012	H-8→L+2	10	H-1→L+2	49	H-1→LUMO	8
	2	17029	587	0.0016	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	29	HOMO→LUMO	54	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	10
	3	17222	581	0.0016	H-1→LUMO	44	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	47		
	4	18390	544	0.0163	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	14	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	76	H-1→L+2	5
	5	19354	517	0.2784	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	25	HOMO→LUMO	42	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	15
	6	19494	513	0.3184	H-1→LUMO	39	$H-1 \rightarrow L+1$	26	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	26
	7	20516	487	0.0071	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+3	78	H-3→L+3	4	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	9
	8	20855	479	0.0035	$HOMO \rightarrow L+3$	80	H-2→L+3	2	H-1→L+3	9
	9	22782	439	0.0093	H-11 $\rightarrow$ L+2	13	H-8→L+2	13	H-1→L+2	34
	10	22972	435	0.0047	H-3→L+1	17	H-2→LUMO	79		
	11	23142	432	0.0034	H-3→LUMO	19	$H-2\rightarrow L+1$	66	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	4
	12	24019	416	0.0066	H-17→L+2	16	H-6→L+2	10	H-2→L+2	17
	13	24166	414	0.0027	H-17→L+3	10	H-3→LUMO	33	H-2→L+1	12
	14	24533	408	0.0237	H-3→L+1	80	H-2→LUMO	15		
	15	27437	364	0.0329	$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	79	H-1→L+5	8	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	7

Compound	No.	λ <sub>ma</sub>	x	f			MO major contr	ributor	S	
					Transition	%	Transition	%	Transition	%
3-Se	1	15535	644	0.0001	H-8→L+2	13	H-1→L+2	61	H-11→L+2	8
	2	17372	576	0.0137	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	27	HOMO→LUMO	71		
	3				H-	36		62		
		17473	572	0.0071	1→LUMO		$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$			
	4				HOMO→L	93				
		18155	551	0.0056	+2					
	5	19736	507	0.2654	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	65	HOMO→LUMO	22		
	6				H-	58		33		
		19871	503	0.3286	1→LUMO		$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$			
	7				HOMO→L	83		5		4
		20726	482	0.0460	+3		H-1→L+1		HOMO→LUMO	
	8	20900	478	0.0051	H-1→L+3	89	H-8→L+3	2	H-7→L+3	2
	9	23723	422	0.0029	H-11 $\rightarrow$ L+2	19	H-8→L+2	23	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	32
	10	24390	410	0.0101	H-17→L+3	26	H-16→L+2	16	H-15→L+2	10
	11	24717	405	0.0059	H-17→L+2	24	H-16→L+3	14	H-15→L+3	9
	12	26871	372	0.0016	H-3→L+1	10	H-2→LUMO	57		
	13				H-	51		24		
		26971	371	0.0032	3→LUMO		H-3→L+1			
	14				HOMO→L	93		4		
		27564	363	0.0569	+4		H-1→L+5			
	15	27825	359	0.0056	H-2→L+1	23	H-2→LUMO	20	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	33

Compound	No	λ		f			MO major contribu	tors		
Compound	110.	<b>r</b> ema	x	J	Transition	%	Transition	%	Transition	%
1-S	1	15644	639	0.0002	H-9→L+2	24	H-1→L+2	61		
	2	17660	566	0.0179	H-1→L+1	23	HOMO→LUMO	75		
	3	17811	561	0.0088	H-1→LUMO	34	HOMO→L+1	64		
	4	18235	548	0.0044	HOMO→L+2	91	H-1→LUMO	5		
	5	20016	500	0.1941	H-1→L+1	58	HOMO→LUMO	14	HOMO→L+3	21
	6	20213	495	0.3084	H-1→LUMO	54	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	32	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	5
	7	20715	483	0.1014	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	16	HOMO→L+3	69	HOMO→LUMO	9
	8	20867	479	0.0238	H-1→L+3	85	H-9→L+3	4	H-1→LUMO	4
	9	23965	417	0.0031	H-9→L+2	32	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	27	H-11→L+2	8
	10	24481	408	0.0092	H-17→L+3	28	H-16→L+2	15	H-11→L+3	10
	11	24874	402	0.0062	H-17→L+2	21	H-16→L+3	13	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	11
	12	27871	359	0.0605	HOMO→L+4	94	H-1→L+5	3		
	13	28166	355	0.0173	H-1→L+4	14	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	84		
	14	28593	350	0.0025	H-3→L+1	13	H-2→LUMO	76	H-5→L+1	3
	15	28715	348	0.0044	H-3→LUMO	38	H-2→L+1	53	H-5→LUMO	5

Compound	No.	$\lambda_{ma}$	x	f			MO major contribut	ors		
					Transition	%	Transition	%	Transition	%
2-S	1	15329	652	0.0013	H-9→L+2	20	H-1->L+2	51	H-1→LUMO	8
	2	17397	575	0.0020	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	31	HOMO→LUMO	60	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	5
	3	17606	568	0.0010	H-1→LUMO	42	HOMO→L+1	49	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	5
	4	18623	537	0.0123	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	15	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	79		
	5	19728	507	0.2592	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	41	HOMO→LUMO	36	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	9
	6	19841	504	0.3235	H-1→LUMO	48	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	40	$H-1 \rightarrow L+1$	4
	7	20408	490	0.0209	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+3	80	H-9→L+3	4	H-3→L+3	4
	8	20771	481	0.0052	HOMO->L+3	86	H-2→L+3	2	H-1→L+3	3
	9	23057	434	0.0126	H-9→L+2	24	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	35	H-23→L+2	8
	10	23266	430	0.0034	H-3→L+1	16	H-2→LUMO	80		
	11	23464	426	0.0028	H-3→LUMO	19	H-2→L+1	69	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	3
	12	24185	413	0.0082	H-17→L+2	17	H-16→L+3	11	H-2→L+2	16
	13	24341	411	0.0004	H-17→L+3	17	H-3→LUMO	16	H-16→L+2	8
	14	24861	402	0.0256	H-3→LUMO	57	H-2→L+1	15	H-17→L+3	5
	15	27750	360	0.0392	$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	78	$H-1 \rightarrow L+S$	14		

Table 7.9 Continued

Compound	No.	$\lambda_{max}$		f	MO major contributors						
					Transition	%	Transition	%	Transition	%	
3-S	1	15659	639	0.0002	H-9→L+2	25	H-1→L+2	61	H-23→L+2	4	
	2	17619	568	0.0174	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	24	HOMO->LUMO	75			
	3	17762	563	0.0089	H-1→LUMO	34	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	65			
	4	18228	549	0.0046	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	91	H-1→LUMO	5			
	5	19970	501	0.1954	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+1	58	HOMO→LUMO	14	HOMO→L+3	21	
	6	20167	496	0.3106	H-1→LUMO	55	$HOMO \rightarrow L+1$	32	$HOMO \rightarrow L+2$	5	
	7	20651	484	0.0995	H-1→L+1	15	HOMO→L+3	70	HOMO→LUMO	9	
	8	20825	480	0.0193	H-1→L+3	86	H-9→L+3	5	H-1→LUMO	4	
	9	23940	418	0.0027	H-9→L+2	33 28	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	29	H-11→L+2	8	
	10	24441	409	0.0091	H-17→L+3		H-16→L+2	15	H-11→L+3	10	
	11	24794	403	0.0063	H-17→L+2	22	H-16→L+3	13	H-1 $\rightarrow$ L+2	10	
	12	26996	370	0.0005	H-3→L+1	26	H-2→LUMO	66	H-2→L+1	6	
	13	27041	370	0.0020	H-3→LUMO	56	H-2→L+1	38	H-3→L+1	3	
	14 <b>27790 360</b> 0.0527		$HOMO \rightarrow L+4$	89	H-2→L+1	5	H-1→L+5	3			
	15	27973	357	0.0066	H-3→L+1	27	H-2→LUMO	16	$HOMO \rightarrow L+5$	45	

Comp. / atom	0	$N_1$	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	$N_4$	C <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>8</sub>	Se
HI So <sup>1</sup>	$f^+$	0.063	0.127	0.004	0.046	0.121	0.053	0.084
IILSC	$f^{-}$	0.023	-0.006	0.100	0.050	0.082	0.123	0.173
$HISo^2$	$f^+$	0.064	0.130	0.005	0.047	0.122	0.049	0.081
IILSC	$f^{-}$	0.018	-0.008	0.069	0.032	0.063	0.127	0.160
	$f^+$	0.064	0.129	0.004	0.047	0.121	0.050	0.082
IILSE	$f^{-}$	0.085	0.122	0.094	0.090	0.197	0.176	0.253
Comp. / atom		$N_1$	$N_2$	N <sub>3</sub>	$N_4$	$C_6$	C <sub>8</sub>	S
Comp. / atom	$f^+$	N <sub>1</sub> 0.064	N <sub>2</sub> 0.132	N <sub>3</sub>	N <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>8</sub>	S 0.073
Comp. / atom HLS <sup>1</sup>	$f^+$ $f^-$	N <sub>1</sub> 0.064 0.025	N <sub>2</sub> 0.132 -0.003	N <sub>3</sub> 0.005 0.106	N <sub>4</sub> 0.047 0.057	C <sub>6</sub> 0.121 0.086	C <sub>8</sub> 0.049 0.139	S 0.073 0.129
Comp. / atom HLS <sup>1</sup>	$egin{array}{c} f^+ \ f^- \ f^+ \ f^+ \end{array}$	N <sub>1</sub> 0.064 0.025 0.065	N <sub>2</sub> 0.132 -0.003 0.134	N <sub>3</sub> 0.005 0.106 0.006	N <sub>4</sub> 0.047 0.057 0.048	C <sub>6</sub> 0.121 0.086 0.122	C <sub>8</sub> 0.049 0.139 0.046	S 0.073 0.129 0.071
Comp. / atom HLS <sup>1</sup> HLS <sup>2</sup>	$egin{array}{c} f^+ \ f^- \ f^+ \ f^- \ f^- \ f^- \end{array}$	N <sub>1</sub> 0.064 0.025 0.065 0.018	N <sub>2</sub> 0.132 -0.003 0.134 -0.007	N <sub>3</sub> 0.005 0.106 0.006 0.073	N <sub>4</sub> 0.047 0.057 0.048 0.036	C <sub>6</sub> 0.121 0.086 0.122 0.066	C <sub>8</sub> 0.049 0.139 0.046 0.141	S 0.073 0.129 0.071 0.120
Comp. / atom HLS <sup>1</sup> HLS <sup>2</sup>	$egin{array}{c} f^+ \ f^- \ f^+ \ f^- \ f^- \ f^+ \ f^- \ f^+ \end{array}$	N <sub>1</sub> 0.064 0.025 0.065 0.018 0.064	N <sub>2</sub> 0.132 -0.003 0.134 -0.007 0.134	N <sub>3</sub> 0.005 0.106 0.006 0.073 0.006	N <sub>4</sub> 0.047 0.057 0.048 0.036 0.047	C <sub>6</sub> 0.121 0.086 0.122 0.066 0.122	C <sub>8</sub> 0.049 0.139 0.046 0.141 0.047	S 0.073 0.129 0.071 0.120 0.072

**Table 7.11** Selected highest values of the condensed Fukui functions ( $f^+$  and  $f^-$ ) for the ligands, considering DFT / DMF / NBO charges according to equations (1 and 2).

Lio	DMSO FtOH					THF			
	<b>ک</b> *	oscillator	composition	<b>ک</b> *	oscillator	composition	<u>م</u> *	oscillator	composition
	(nm)	strengths	of bands	(nm)	strengths	of bands	(nm)	strengths	of bands
	(IIII)	(f)	of ballds	(IIII)	(f)	of ballds	(IIII)	(f)	of ballds
	368	0.4753		365	0.4926		369	0.4648	
1	287	1.0820	H→L	286	1.0665	H→L	287	1 0028	H→L
I	207	0.1120	98%	200	0.1107	98%	207	0.1152	98%
	292	0.3162		291	0.1107		240	0.1132	
1	300	0.5102	Н⊸Л	308	0.5115	н_л	313	0.4255	н_л
1- OMe	209, 200	0.0381,		208,	0.0410, 0.5750		304	0.6012,	11→L 08%
Ome	259	0.3974	9970	250	0.3730	<b>77</b> 70	250	0.0322	<b>70</b> 70
	230	0.1942		230	0.1001		230	0.1870	
1-	201	1 2247	H→L	202	0.4198	H→L	273	0.4171	H→L
Me	291	1.2247	98%	292	0.1400	98%	292	1.2170	98%
	240	0.1392		240	0.1409		<u>240</u> 510	0.1473	
	520	0.2038	пл	519	0.2002	пл	265	0.2073	ц л
2	364	0.3700	$\Pi \rightarrow L$	364	0.3665	$\Pi \rightarrow L$	202	0.3707	$\Pi \rightarrow L$
	284	0.2790	/0%	284	0.2775	/0%	287	0.2376	70%
	550	0.1460		550	0.1.4.40	TT T	284	0.2481	
2-	222	0.1469	H→L	222	0.1442	H→L	554 205	0.1494	H→L
OMe	382	0.1993	70%	382	0.1980	/0%	385	0.1960	70%
	296	0.4174		295	0.4018		295	0.3927	
2-	530	0.1887	H→L	529	0.1852	H→L	530	0.1916	H→L
Me	370	0.3142	70%	370	0.3128	70%	372	0.3174	70%
	290	0.6482		290	0.6138		291	0.5776	
	513	0.3883		511	0.3792	TT T	509	0.3796	TT T
3	366	0.4766	H→L	365	0.4754	H→L	364	0.4891	H→L
	267	0.3237	/1%	266	0.3126	71%	275	0.2553	/1%
					0.0460		266	0.3294	
•	552	0.2536	TT T	550	0.2462	TT T	549	0.2438	TT T
3-	401	0.5407	H→L	400	0.5390	H→L	398	0.5541	H→L
ОМе	292	0.3270	71%	292	0.2975	71%	286	0.5542	71%
				286	0.3100		501	0.2270	
•	525	0.3474	TT T	523	0.3388	TT T	521	0.3379	TT T
3-	377	0.5043	H→L 710/	376	0.5034	H→L 710	3/5	0.5179	H→L 710/
Me	271	0.4224	/1%	271	0.4111	/1%	280	0.3840	/1%
				,			271	0.3904	
	/	0.01.40		/	0.0148		/	0.01.00	
	514	0.0149	H→L	513	0.4039	H→L	509	0.0169	H→L
4	378	0.4162	70%	3/8	0.5929	70%	380	0.4076	70%
	285	0.7088		290	0.6876		290	0.8191	
				285			/		
	/	0.0110		/	0.0111		/	0.0125	
4-	544	0.0112	H→L	545	0.2720	H→L	541	0.2282	H→L
OMe	394	0.2828	70%	394	0.8229	70%	396	0.8519	70%
	313	0.8309		312	0.4535		314	0.4394	
				295			295		
4	522	0.0139	н л	502	0.0138	II JI	520	0.0157	II JI
4- Ma	323 381	0.3096	11→L 70%	J∠J 384	0.2997	11→L 70%	320 386	0.3296	11→L 70%
Ivie	304 204	0.7168	/0%	304 202	0.6712	/0%	300 202	0.6580	/0%
	294			293			293		

**Table 7.12** Calculated absorption maxima ( $\lambda^*$ , nm), oscillator strengths (*f*) and composition of bands of benzylydene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones in DMSO, EtOH and THF obtained by the use of TD/DFT method.



**Figure 7.1**<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of HLSe1in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>





**Figure 7.3** 2D COSY spectrum of HLSe<sup>1</sup> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>



Figure 7.4 2D HMBC spectrum of HLSe<sup>1</sup> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>



Figure 7.5 2D HSQC spectrum of HLSe<sup>1</sup> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>



**Figure 7.6**<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of HLSe<sup>2</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$ 



**Figure 7.7**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of HLSe<sup>2</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$ 



Figure 7.8 2D COSY spectrum of HLSe<sup>2</sup>in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>





**Figure 7.12**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of HLSe<sup>3</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$ 



Figure 7.14 2D HMBC spectrum of HLSe<sup>3</sup>in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>



Figure 7.15 2D HSQC spectrum of HLSe<sup>3</sup> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>



**Figure 7.16**<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of  $HLS^{1}$  in DMSO- $d_{6}$ 



**Figure 7.17**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of HLS<sup>1</sup>in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>



**Figure 7.18** 2D COSY spectrum of HLS<sup>1</sup> in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>







Figure 7.22 2D COSY spectrum of HLS<sup>2</sup>in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>



**Figure 7.23** 2D HMBC spectrum of HLS<sup>2</sup>in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>



Figure 7.24 2D HSQC spectrum of HLS<sup>2</sup>in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>



**Figure 7.25**<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of HLS<sup>3</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$ 



**Figure 7.26**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of HLS<sup>2</sup> in DMSO- $d_6$ 



**Figure 7.27**<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 1-Sein DMSO- $d_6$ 



**Figure 7.28**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 1-Se in DMSO- $d_6$


**Figure 7.29**<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 2-Sein DMSO- $d_6$ 



**Figure 7.30**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 2-Sein DMSO- $d_6$ 



**Figure 7.32**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 3-Sein DMSO- $d_6$ 



**Figure 7.33**<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 1-Sin DMSO- $d_6$ 



**Figure 7.34**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 1-Sin DMSO- $d_6$ 



**Figure 7.35**<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 2-Sin DMSO- $d_6$ 



**Figure 7.36**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 2-Sin DMSO- $d_6$ 



**Figure 7.38**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 3-Sin DMSO- $d_6$ 



Figure 7.40<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 1 in DMSO- $d_6$ .



Figure 7.42 NOESY spectrum of 1 in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure 7.44**<sup>1</sup>H $^{-13}$ C HMBC NMR spectrum of 1 in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure 7.46**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 1-OMe in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure 7.47**<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 1-Me in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure 7.48**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 1-Me in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure 7.49**<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 2 in DMSO- $d_6$ .



Figure 7.50<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 2 in DMSO- $d_{6.}$ 





Figure 7.52<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 2-OMe in DMSO- $d_{6.}$ 



Figure 7.54 NOESY spectrum of 2-OMe in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure 7.56**<sup>1</sup>H $^{-13}$ C HMBC NMR spectrum of 2-OMe in DMSO- $d_6$ .





13.2 13.0 12.8 12.6 12.4 12.2 12.0 11.8 11.6 11.4 11.2 11.0 9.0 8.8 8.6 8.4 8.2 8.0 7.8 7.6 7.4 7.2 7.0 fil (ppm)

**Figure 7.59**<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 3 in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure 7.60**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 3 in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure 7.61**<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 3-OMe in DMSO- $d_6$ .



Figure 7.62<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 3-OMe in DMSO- $d_{6.}$ 



**Figure 7.63**<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 3-Me in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure 7.64**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 3-Me in DMSO- $d_6$ .



Figure 7.65 COSY spectrum of 3-Me in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure 7.66 NOESY spectrum of 3-Me in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure 7.68**<sup>1</sup>H $^{-13}$ C HMBC NMR spectrum of 3-Me in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure 7.69<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 4 in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure 7.70**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 4 in DMSO- $d_6$ .



Figure 7.72 NOESY spectrum of 4 in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure 7.73**<sup>1</sup>H $^{-13}$ C HSQC NMR spectrum of 4 in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure 7.74**<sup>1</sup>H $^{-13}$ C HMBC NMR spectrum of 4 in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure 7.76**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 4-OMe in DMSO- $d_6$ .

220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 fl (ppm)

50 40 30 20 10 0



**Figure 7.78**<sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of 4-Me in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Figure 7.79** Molecular orbital plots and energy levels of the HOMO, the LUMO and HOMO-LUMO transition of the benzylydene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones in EtOH.



**Figure 7.80** Molecular orbital plots and energy levels of the HOMO, the LUMO and HOMO-LUMO transition of the benzylydene-based (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones in THF.

## BIOGRAPHY

Hana Abduallah Elshaflu je rođena 19. avgusta 1984. godine u Qasr Alakhyaar-u, Libija, gde je završila osnovno i srednje obrazovanje tokom 1999 - 2002, Elhadi Arafa u Al-Alous sa prosečnom ocenom veoma dobar, 76%.

Osnovne studije na Fakultetu za Umetnost i nauku, Elmergib Univerziteta, Libija, upisala je školske 2001/2002. godine, a završila 2005. godine na Katedri za organsku hemiju sa prosečnom ocenom 68,47%. Tema diplomskog rada pod nazivom "**Synthesis And Characterization Of Schiff Bases From Isatin**" odbranila je 2006. godine na Katedri za hemiju, Elmergib Univerzitet.

Master studije je upisala na Hemijskom fakultetu Univerziteta u Beogradu, i završila školske 2011/2012. godine. Master tezu pod naslovom "**Synthesis And Investigation Of Pseudorotaxanes Containing An Imide Axles And Crown Ethers As Wheels**" odbranila je 2012. godine.

Školske 2012/13.godine upisala je doktorske studije na Tehnološko–metalurškom fakultetu, Univerziteta u Beogradu, studijski program Hemija. Položila je sve ispite predviđene programom dokstorskih studija i odbranila završni ispit: "Sinteza, svojstva i primena 1,3-Tiazola i 1,3-Selenazola", 30.06.2015. godine.

Oblast naučnoistraživačkog rada Hane Abduallah Elshaflu obuhvata sintezu, karakterizaciju i ispitivanje svojstava organskih jedinjenja prirodnog i sintetskog porekla, sa posebnim osvrtom na sintezu i svojstva derivata 1,3-tiazola i 1,3-selenazola i njihovih kompleksa.

Hana Abduallah Elshaflu govori engleski jezik. Osim toga, odlično poznaje rad na računaru, kao i na instrumentima koji se koriste za karakterizaciju organskih jedinjenja (UV/vis, FTIR i NMR).

## Radovi objavljeni u časopisima međunarodnog značaja – M20

#### Rad u vrhunskom međunarodnom časopisu–(M21)

1. **Elshaflu, Hana**, Tamara R. Todorović, Milan Nikolić, Aleksandar Lolić, Aleksandar Višnjevac, Stefanie Hagenow, José M. Padrón, et al. 2018. "Selenazolyl-Hydrazones as Novel Selective MAO Inhibitors With Antiproliferative and Antioxidant Activities: Experimental and In-Silico Studies." *Frontiers in Chemistry* 6 (2018). IF (2017) = 4.155; ISSN: 2296-2646; doi:10.3389/fchem.2018.00247.

N.R. Filipović, H. Elshaflu, S. Grubišić, L.S. Jovanović, M. Rodić, I. Novaković, A. Malešević, I.S. Djordjević, H. Li, N. Šojić, A. Marinković, T.R. Todorović, Co( III ) complexes of (1,3-selenazol-2-yl)hydrazones and their sulphur analogues, *Dalt. Trans.* 46 (2017) 2910–2924. IF (2017) = 4.029; ISSN 1477-9226; doi:10.1039/C6DT04785H. *Rad u istaknutom međunarodnom časopisu– (M22)*

1. **H. Elshaflu**, S. Bjelogrlić, C. D. Muller, T. R. Todorović, M. Rodić, A. Marinković, N. R. Filipović; Co(III) complex with (*E*)-2-(2-(pyridine-2-ylmethylene)hydrazinyl)-4-(4-tolyl)-1,3-thiazole: structure and activity against two- and three-dimensional cancer cell model, Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 69(22) (2016) 3354-3366; IF(2015)=1,756; ISSN: 0095-8972; DOI: 10.1080/00958972.2016.1232404 *Radovi u međunarodnim časopisima (M23)* 

1. D. Brkić, A. Božić, A. Marinković, **H. Elshaflu**, J. Nikolić, S. Drmanić; Solvatochromism of isatin based compounds: LSER and LFER study of 3aryliminoindolin-2-one derivatives, Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society, 81(9) (2016) 979–997; IF(2015)=0,970; ISSN 0352-5139; DOI:10.2298/JSC160119049B

## Saopštenja sa skupova nacionalnog značaja u izvodu – M64

1. Milica Rančić, Ivana Stojiljković, **Hana Elshaflu**, Miloš Milčić, Aleksandar Marinković Azo-hidrazon tautomerija novih 5-arilazo-6(2)-hidroksi-4-metil-3-cijano-N(1)-fenil-2(6)-okso-piridin-3-karbonitrilnih boja, 53. Savetovanje Srpskog hemijskog društva, Kragujevac, Srbija, Jun 10-11, 2016, Program i kratki izvodi radova, str. 106.

## Образац 5.

## Изјава о ауторству

Име и презиме аутора Хана Елсхафлу (Hana Elshaflu)

Број индекса 4061/2012

#### Изјављујем

да је докторска дисертација под насловом

"Spektroskopska i elektrohemijska karakterizacija, kvantnomehanička studija i biološka aktivnost 1,3-selenazol-2-il-hidrazona, 1,3-tiazol-2-il-hidrazona i njihovih kompleksa sa kobaltom(III) (Spectroscopic and electrochemical characterization, quantum mechanical study and biological activity of 1,3-selenazol-2-yl-hydrazones, 1,3-thiazole-2-yl-hydrazones and their complexes with cobalt(III))"

- резултат сопственог истраживачког рада;
- да дисертација у целини ни у деловима није била предложена за стицање друге дипломе према студијским програмима других високошколских установа;
- да су резултати коректно наведени и
- да нисам кршио/ла ауторска права и користио/ла интелектуалну својину других лица.

Потпис аутора

У Београду, 21.05.2018. године

#### Образац 6.

# Изјава о истоветности штампане и електронске верзије докторског рада

Име и презиме аутора Хана Елсхафлу (Hana Elshaflu)

Број индекса 4061/2012

Студијски програм Хемија

Наслов рада

"Спектроскопска и електрохемијска карактеризација, квантномеханичка студија и биолошка активност 1,3-селеназол-2-ил-хидразона, 1,3-тиазол-2ил-хидразона и њихових комплекса са кобалтом(III) (Spectroscopic and electrochemical characterization, quantum mechanical study and biological activity of 1,3-selenazol-2-yl-hydrazones, 1,3-thiazole-2-yl-hydrazones and their complexes with cobalt(III))"

Ментори др Александар Маринковић, ванредни професор и др Ненеад Филиповић, ванредни професор

Изјављујем да је штампана верзија мог докторског рада истоветна електронској верзији коју сам предао/ла ради похрањена у **Дигиталном репозиторијуму** Универзитета у Београду.

Дозвољавам да се објаве моји лични подаци везани за добијање академског назива доктора наука, као што су име и презиме, година и место рођења и датум одбране рада.

Ови лични подаци могу се објавити на мрежним страницама дигиталне библиотеке, у електронском каталогу и у публикацијама Универзитета у Београду.

Потпис аутора

У Београду, 21.05.2018. године

Образац 7.

# Изјава о коришћењу

Овлашћујем Универзитетску библиотеку "Светозар Марковић" да у Дигитални репозиторијум Универзитета у Београду унесе моју докторску дисертацију под насловом:

"Спектроскопска и електрохемијска карактеризација, квантномеханичка студија и биолошка активност 1,3-селеназол-2-ил-хидразона, 1,3-тиазол-2ил-хидразона и њихових комплекса са кобалтом(III) (Spectroscopic and electrochemical characterization, quantum mechanical study and biological activity of 1,3-selenazol-2-yl-hydrazones, 1,3-thiazole-2-yl-hydrazones and their complexes with cobalt(III))"

која је моје ауторско дело.

Дисертацију са свим прилозима предао/ла сам у електронском формату погодном за трајно архивирање.

Моју докторску дисертацију похрањену у Дигиталном репозиторијуму Универзитета у Београду и доступну у отвореном приступу могу да користе сви који поштују одредбе садржане у одабраном типу лиценце Креативне заједнице (Creative Commons) за коју сам се одлучио/ла.

1. Ауторство (СС ВҮ)

- 2. Ауторство некомерцијално (СС ВУ-NС)
- 3. Ауторство некомерцијално без прерада (СС ВУ-NC-ND)

4. Ауторство – некомерцијално – делити под истим условима (CC BY-NC-SA)

5. Ауторство – без прерада (СС ВУ-ND)

6. Ауторство – делити под истим условима (СС ВУ-SA)

(Молимо да заокружите само једну од шест понуђених лиценци. Кратак опис лиценци је саставни део ове изјаве).

Потпис аутора

У Београду, 21.05.2018. године

Hang

1. **Ауторство**. Дозвољавате умножавање, дистрибуцију и јавно саопштавање дела, и прераде, ако се наведе име аутора на начин одређен од стране аутора или даваоца лиценце, чак и у комерцијалне сврхе. Ово је најслободнија од свих лиценци.

2. **Ауторство – некомерцијално**. Дозвољавате умножавање, дистрибуцију и јавно саопштавање дела, и прераде, ако се наведе име аутора на начин одређен од стране аутора или даваоца лиценце. Ова лиценца не дозвољава комерцијалну употребу дела.

3) Ауторство – некомерцијално – без прерада. Дозвољавате умножавање, дистрибуцију и јавно саопштавање дела, без промена, преобликовања или употребе дела у свом делу, ако се наведе име аутора на начин одређен од стране аутора или даваоца лиценце. Ова лиценца не дозвољава комерцијалну употребу дела. У односу на све остале лиценце, овом лиценцом се ограничава највећи обим права коришћења дела.

4. Ауторство – некомерцијално – делити под истим условима. Дозвољавате умножавање, дистрибуцију и јавно саопштавање дела, и прераде, ако се наведе име аутора на начин одређен од стране аутора или даваоца лиценце и ако се прерада дистрибуира под истом или сличном лиценцом. Ова лиценца не дозвољава комерцијалну употребу дела и прерада.

5. Ауторство – без прерада. Дозвољавате умножавање, дистрибуцију и јавно саопштавање дела, без промена, преобликовања или употребе дела у свом делу, ако се наведе име аутора на начин одређен од стране аутора или даваоца лиценце. Ова лиценца дозвољава комерцијалну употребу дела.

6. **Ауторство – делити под истим условима**. Дозвољавате умножавање, дистрибуцију и јавно саопштавање дела, и прераде, ако се наведе име аутора на начин одређен од стране аутора или даваоца лиценце и ако се прерада дистрибуира под истом или сличном лиценцом. Ова лиценца дозвољава комерцијалну употребу дела и прерада. Слична је софтверским лиценцама, односно лиценцама отвореног кода.